

**Fiscal Year (FY) 2016 and FY 2017 Report to Congress on Contract
Funding of Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act Awards
(Includes FY 2016 and FY 2017 Data)**



**In Response to:
Section 106(c) of Public Law 93-638, as amended**

Prepared by the
Department of Health and Human Services
Indian Health Service

**Indian Health Service
FY 2016 and FY 2017 Report to Congress on Contract Funding of Indian
Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act Awards
(Includes FY 2016 and FY 2017 Data)**

Table of Contents

Introduction and Background	3
Report Preparation.....	4
Report Elements - Summary	5
An accounting of the total amounts of funds provided for each program and the budget activity for direct program costs and contract support costs of tribal organizations under self-determination;	5
An accounting of any deficiency in funds needed to provide required contract support costs to all contractors for the fiscal year for which the report is being submitted;	6
The indirect cost rate and type of rate for each tribal organization that has been negotiated with the appropriate Secretary;	6
The direct cost base and type of base from which the indirect cost rate is determined for each tribal organization;.....	6
The indirect cost pool amounts and the types of costs included in the indirect cost pool; and.....	7
An accounting of any deficiency in funds needed to maintain the preexisting level of services to any Indian tribes affected by contracting activities under this chapter, and a statement of the amount of funds needed for transitional purposes to enable contractors to convert from a Federal fiscal year accounting cycle, as authorized by section 5324(d) of this title.	7
Tables - FY 2016 and FY 2017 Contract Support Costs Data	
Explanation of Columns	8
FY 2016 Summary by Area.....	9
FY 2016 Summary by Tribe or Tribal Organization.....	10-15
FY 2017 Summary by Area.....	16
FY 2017 Summary by Tribe or Tribal Organization.....	17-22

Indian Health Service
Fiscal Year (FY) 2016 and FY 2017 Report to Congress on Contract Funding of Indian
Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act Awards
(Includes FY 2016 and FY 2017 Data)

Introduction and Background

This report, consisting of the following narrative and the attached tables with FY 2016 and FY 2017 data, is prepared in response to section 106(c) of the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (ISDEAA), Public Law (P.L.) No. 93-638, as amended. 25 U.S.C. § 5325. This report is based on FYs 2016 and 2017 funds provided to a tribe or tribal organization (T/TO) under ISDEAA contracts and compacts.

This report estimates the aggregate contract support costs (CSC) need for future year appropriations, as part of the budget process. The CSC appropriations need is an estimate for a variety of reasons, including the fact that the Indian Health Service (IHS) is using data from prior years to project the need for future appropriations. In addition, the prior-year data used in this report for each T/TO can fluctuate over time. For example, the data used in this report for each T/TO is based on: (a) unaudited data, rather than audited, actual costs; and (b) non-final indirect cost rates, as final rates often are not yet available. Finally, the IHS must often estimate data such as pass-throughs and exclusions; although the IHS may request such information from a T/TO when preparing the report estimates, the report is not a negotiated document and the T/TO might not provide the information requested. The report also does not delineate between a T/TO's total indirect costs and those indirect costs that are CSC, which are limited to costs for activities not already transferred with the Secretarial amount. For these reasons and others, this report is not evidence of any contractor's or compactor's CSC. The report does not represent a contractual agreement between the IHS and a contractor or compactor and is not an admission of liability.

The ISDEAA allows a T/TO to assume operation of federal programs and to receive at least the funding amount that the Secretary would have otherwise provided for the transferred programs had the IHS continued to operate the program directly. More than half of the IHS appropriation is contracted through ISDEAA contracts and compacts for tribal health administration. The ISDEAA also provides that a CSC amount shall be added to the Secretarial amount. Contract Support Costs are defined in the ISDEAA as the reasonable costs for activities that a T/TO must carry out to ensure contract compliance and prudent management, but that are activities either the Secretary does not normally carry on in his direct operation of the program, or that the Secretary provides from resources other than those transferred under the contract or compact. 25 U.S.C. § 5325(a)(2).

Specific elements of the annual report required by statute are as follows:

- (1) an accounting of the total amounts of funds provided for each program and the budget activity for direct program costs and contract support costs of tribal organizations under self-determination;
- (2) an accounting of any deficiency in funds needed to provide required contract support costs to all contractors for the fiscal year for which the report is being submitted;
- (3) the indirect cost rate and type of rate for each tribal organization that has been negotiated with the appropriate Secretary;
- (4) the direct cost base and type of base from which the indirect cost rate is determined for each tribal organization;
- (5) the indirect cost pool amounts and the types of costs included in the indirect cost pool; and
- (6) an accounting of any deficiency in funds needed to maintain the preexisting level of services to any Indian tribes affected by contracting activities under this chapter, and a statement of the amount of funds needed for transitional purposes to enable contractors to convert from a federal fiscal year accounting cycle, as authorized by section 5324(d) of this title.

25 U.S.C. § 5325(c).

Report Preparation

The IHS policy governing CSC administration, allocation, and preparation of this report has been in effect since 1992. The policy was developed through extensive tribal consultation and participation with the IHS CSC Workgroup, comprised of tribal representatives and federal staff. In October 2016, the IHS CSC policy was revised and in December 2017, the IHS temporarily rescinded §6-3.2E(3) – Alternative Methods for Calculating Indirect Costs Associated with Recurring Service Unit Shares. In 2018, the IHS completed tribal consultation on the rescinded provision, and a final policy decision is pending.

Each IHS Area Office provides data for the tribes and tribal organizations in its respective area to IHS Headquarters for inclusion in this report. IHS Area Offices are charged with working as closely as possible with each T/TO as part of their responsibility to update the data, including data regarding more recent indirect cost rates that a T/TO has negotiated with their cognizant federal agency. IHS Area Offices also make best efforts to incorporate pass-throughs and exclusions that each T/TO has negotiated with its cognizant federal agency, although the IHS must estimate those amounts based on funding levels if a T/TO does not make the appropriate documentation available. IHS Headquarters consolidates the data and develops a projection of the CSC appropriations need for the current and subsequent fiscal year.

Summarized report information is presented below for FYs 2016 and 2017. The narrative accompanying each element describes the ISDEAA provision and includes the reference to the columns of the attached tables and the formula, if applicable, for calculating the amount for that particular report element.

Report Elements - Summary

An accounting of the total amounts of funds provided for each program and the budget activity for direct program costs and contract support costs of tribal organizations under self-determination;

The estimated total direct costs funded in the Secretarial amount to a T/TO for ISDEAA contracts and compacts is located on the “Summary by Area” tables, column E (“Secretarial Funds (R/NR), Including 80% of Area/HQ Shares”),¹ which includes recurring/non-recurring Secretarial funds from all levels of the IHS (Headquarters, Areas, and Service Units), less 20 percent of the Area and Headquarters “Tribal Shares.” The ISDEAA authorizes “Secretarial” funding in the amount the Secretary would have otherwise provided if the IHS operated the program directly. This amount includes both direct and indirect costs the Secretary would have incurred and is commonly referred to as the Secretarial amount.

25 U.S.C. § 5325(a)(1). The ISDEAA requires the Secretary to provide funds for direct costs as well as contractible administrative functions (i.e., indirect costs) as part of the Secretarial amount. For awards of Area and Headquarters “Tribal Shares,” indirect costs are estimated to be 20 percent and, therefore, 20 percent is removed so that column E reflects only the estimated direct costs awarded in the Secretarial amount. Tribes and tribal organizations also receive direct costs funding in the form of direct CSC, which is reflected in column G (“Direct CSC Negotiated Need”) and column H (“Direct CSC Funding Paid”). The estimated total direct costs funded under the ISDEAA contract or compact, including in the Secretarial amount and direct CSC funding, are reflected in column J (“Estimated Final Direct Cost Base”) and should be consistent with the direct cost base that a T/TO uses when negotiating its indirect cost rate with its cognizant federal agency. These amounts are all funding amounts and do not represent the amount a T/TO may actually expend in carrying out their ISDEAA contracts and compacts.

Total CSC funding (including both direct and indirect costs) is shown in column R (“Total CSC Funding Paid for Estimated Need Indirect + Direct”), which is the total of column H (“Direct CSC Funding Paid”) and column P (“Indirect CSC Funding Paid”). The ISDEAA authorizes the Secretary to provide funds for reasonable CSC in addition to the Secretarial amount. Contract Support Costs include direct and indirect costs for activities that must be carried out under the contract or compact for contract compliance and prudent management and that are not activities funded under the Secretarial amount. In addition, CSC include startup and pre-award activities for newly transferred programs. The approved costs for startup and pre-award activities are shown in column F (“Pre-award and Startup Costs”), and the funding for such costs is included in the “Direct CSC Funding Paid” in column H. The table reflects the estimated costs that are CSC, but do not represent the final amount of reasonable costs that a T/TO actually incurs in carrying out activities necessary for performance of their ISDEAA contracts and compacts and that otherwise meet the ISDEAA definition of CSC. The amount of Area and Headquarters “Tribal Shares” funds that are estimated to be indirect costs already funded in the Secretarial amount are removed from columns O (“Estimated Indirect CSC Need (N/R) (Indirect Costs, Less Credit for 20% Funded in Tribal Shares)”) and column Q (“Total Estimated CSC Need Indirect + Direct”). The estimated indirect CSC need does

¹ Both the FY 2016 and FY 2017 data include the tables referenced throughout the report.

not include any credit for indirect cost activities that are funded in the Service Unit shares of the Secretarial amount and that, similar to the estimated amounts in the Area and Headquarters “Tribal Shares,” cannot be CSC.

An accounting of any deficiency in funds needed to provide required contract support costs to all contractors for the fiscal year for which the report is being submitted;

In FY 2016 and FY 2017, Congress enacted a separate appropriation for CSC, appropriating “such sums as may be necessary” for that purpose. Due to the frequent fluctuations in CSC need described above, the current data reflects that many tribes and tribal organizations received funds in excess of their actual CSC need. Because these amounts were not expended, they do not qualify for CSC under the ISDEEA. The deficiencies are fluid and may not be actual shortfalls; updates to Indirect Cost rates, final reconciliation of costs for startup, actual pass-throughs, and exclusions, may change the amount of deficiency. In 2016, Congress provided that such funds shall be applied to CSC otherwise due in subsequent years. Therefore, the IHS is working with tribes and tribal organizations to identify the final amount of any overpayments and apply those amounts in a subsequent year.

The indirect cost rate and type of rate for each tribal organization that has been negotiated with the appropriate Secretary;

The majority of indirect cost rate agreements negotiated between a T/TO contractor or compactor and their cognizant federal agency consist of fixed carryforward rates or provisional/final rates. The most current approved rate for each T/TO is located on the “Summary by Tribe or Tribal Organization” tables, column K (“Approved Indirect Cost (IDC) Rate”), with column L (“CY/FY/SY IDC Rate”) indicating the applicable year of the rate. The type of rate is located on the “Summary by Tribe or Tribal Organization” tables, column M (“Type of IDC Rate”).

The direct cost base and type of base from which the indirect cost rate is determined for each tribal organization;

The aggregate estimated direct cost base for all tribes or tribal organizations is in the “Summary by Area” tables, column J (“Estimated Final Direct Cost Base”). As noted above, this includes direct costs funded in the Secretarial amount and as CSC, and each T/TO’s direct cost base (also shown in column J in the “Summary by Tribe or Tribal Organization” tables) should be consistent with the direct cost base the T/TO used in its indirect cost rate negotiation with its cognizant federal agency. The type of base, which is identified in column N (“Type of Direct Cost Base”) and in the “Summary by Tribe or Tribal Organization” tables, refers to which of a T/TO’s direct costs (typically either total direct salaries and wages or total direct costs, exclusive of any extraordinary or distorting expenditures or pass-through amounts) are used in the T/TO’s indirect cost rate negotiation to calculate the distribution of indirect costs. The direct cost base selected should result in each award bearing a fair share of the T/TO’s indirect costs in reasonable relation to the benefits received from the costs. (As discussed above, however, not all indirect costs are

CSC under the ISDEAA.)

The indirect cost pool amounts and the types of costs included in the indirect cost pool; and

The aggregate indirect cost pool attributable to IHS-funded programs for all tribes or tribal organizations is in the “Summary by Area” tables, column O (“Estimated Indirect CSC Need (N/R) (Indirect Costs, Less Credit for 20% Funded in Tribal Shares”), plus 20 percent of Area and Headquarters “Tribal Shares” (which are estimated to represent the indirect costs covered by the Secretarial amount for Area and Headquarters programs).²

The indirect cost pool is the accumulated costs that jointly benefit two or more programs or other cost objectives. Indirect cost pool expenditures typically include the following:

- administrative salaries and fringe benefits associated with overall financial and organizational administration;
- operational and maintenance costs for facilities and equipment; and
- payroll and procurement services.

An accounting of any deficiency in funds needed to maintain the preexisting level of services to any Indian tribes affected by contracting activities under this chapter, and a statement of the amount of funds needed for transitional purposes to enable contractors to convert from a Federal fiscal year accounting cycle, as authorized by section 5324(d) of this title.

With regard to the requirement in 25 U.S.C. § 5325(c) that the Agency provide “an accounting of any deficiency in funds needed to maintain the preexisting level of services to any Indian tribes affected by contracting activities under this chapter,” we note the following distinction: An accounting of any deficiency in funds needed to maintain preexisting services is not typically quantified because a T/TO that is not providing direct care does not have preexisting services.

Once a T/TO contracts or compacts, however, the difference between the actual expense and medical costs incurred by the T/TO is tracked within the data provided in the “FY 2016 and FY 2017 Report to Congress on Contract Funding of Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act Awards.” Regarding the amount of funds needed for transitional purposes to enable a T/TO contractor or compactor to convert from a federal fiscal year accounting cycle, the IHS is establishing a process for consistently identifying this amount for a T/TO that indicates interest in converting from a federal fiscal year accounting cycle.

² The data identifies the total portion of each T/TO’s indirect cost pool that is attributable to IHS programs, as required by section 106(c). As discussed above, under the ISDEAA, the IHS funds indirect costs through both the Secretarial amount and CSC. Indirect costs are funded through the Secretarial amount if the Secretary also carried out the related activities and funded those activities from resources transferred under the contract or compact (25 U.S.C. § 5325(a)(1)-(2)). Consistent with the ISDEAA, the IHS will agree to fund indirect CSC, which are reasonable costs for activities that a T/TO must carry out, but that either are not normally carried on by the Secretary or are funded by the Secretary through resources other than those transferred under the contract or compact (§ 5325(a)(2)). The ISDEAA does not require the IHS to distinguish between these categories of indirect costs for this report.

Indian Health Service
FY 2016 and FY 2017 Contract Support Costs Data
Explanation of Columns

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S
Number of Contracting/Compacting T/TO per Area	Title I/V	Tribe	IHS Area	Secretarial Funds (R/NR), Including 80% of Area/HQ Shares	Pre-award and Startup Costs	Direct CSC Negotiated Need	Direct CSC Funding Paid	Pass-through and Exclusions	Estimated Final Direct Cost Base	Approved Indirect Cost (IDC) Rate	CY/FY/SY IDC Rate	Type of IDC Rate	Type of Direct Cost Base	Estimated Indirect CSC Need (N/R) (Indirect Costs, Less Credit for 20% Funded in Tribal Shares)	Indirect CSC Funding Paid	Total Estimated CSC Need Indirect + Direct	Total CSC Funding Paid for Estimated CSC Need Indirect + Direct	Estimated CSC Deficiency

Explanation of Columns

- A Number of contracting/compacting Tribe or Tribal Organization (T/TO) per Area.
- B Title I/V. Indicates if the T/TO has a Title I contract or a Title V compact under the ISDEAA.
- C Tribe. Name of the T/TO.
- D IHS Area. Indicates one of the 12 IHS physical areas in which the T/TO is geographically located.
- E Secretarial Funds (R/NR), Including 80% of Area/HQ Shares. This is the Secretarial funding, including 80 percent of Area and Headquarters "Tribal Shares" (unless the IHS Area and T/TO have negotiated a different amount).
- F Pre-award and Startup Costs. The amount of CSC funding awarded for startup and pre-award costs, negotiated consistent with the requirements of 25 U.S.C. § 5325(a)(2)-(3), (5)-(6).
- G Direct CSC Negotiated Need. This is the amount of the direct CSC need from the prior fiscal year multiplied by the applicable medical inflation rate, unless the T/TO renegotiated its direct CSC need.
- H Direct CSC Funding Paid. The amount of direct CSC funds paid to a T/TO for its estimated direct CSC need; this amount is paid on a recurring basis.
- I Pass-through and Exclusions. The pass-through and exclusion amount is determined consistent with the awardee's IDC rate proposal. If IHS does not receive the necessary information from an awardee, IHS will estimate the amount consistent with the awardee's IDC rate agreement.
- J Estimated Final Direct Cost Base. The estimated total direct program costs funded under the ISDEAA contract or compact, including those funded in the Secretarial amount and with CSC. The amount for each T/TO should be consistent with the direct cost base that the T/TO uses when negotiating its IDC rate with its cognizant federal agency. While the IHS takes steps to confirm that its estimates are consistent with the T/TO's IDC rate agreement, the Agency may not have all of the necessary information (such as pass-through and exclusion amounts) unless the T/TO agrees to provide the information to the IHS.
- K Approved Indirect Cost (IDC) Rate. The most current IDC rate negotiated by the T/TO with its cognizant federal agency. For FY 2016 and FY 2017, the IHS will use an IDC rate that is up to 3 years old. For a T/TO with an IDC rate more than 3 years old or that chooses not to use an IDC rate to negotiate indirect CSC, "Indirect Type Costs" is indicated in this column.
- L CY/FY/SY IDC Rate. The Calendar Year, Fiscal Year, or School Year associated with the IDC rate used in preparing this report.
- M Type of IDC Rate. Type of IDC rate that the T/TO negotiates with its cognizant federal agency, e.g., fixed with carry forward or provisional/final.
- N Type of Direct Cost Base. Type of direct cost base that the T/TO uses when negotiating its IDC rate with its cognizant federal agency, e.g., total direct salaries and wages or total direct costs.
- O Estimated Indirect CSC Need (N/R) (Indirect Costs, Less Credit for 20% Funded in "Tribal Shares"). The estimated indirect CSC need for the T/TO based on application of its most current IDC rate (column K) to its estimated final direct cost base (column J), less an amount for IDC activities that are known to be funded in the Secretarial amount. IDC are funded through the Secretarial amount if the Secretary also carried out the related activities and funded those activities from resources transferred under the contract or compact. 25 U.S.C. § 5325(a)(1)-(2). Consistent with the statute, the IHS will fund a T/TO's indirect CSC, which are the reasonable costs for activities that the T/TO must carry out, but that either are not normally carried on by the Secretary or are funded by the Secretary through resources other than those transferred under the contract or compact. § 5325(a)(2). While this column excludes 20% of Area and Headquarters "Tribal Shares" (or the amount negotiated with the T/TO, if different), which reflects some IDC funded through the Secretarial amount, the estimate does not make any reductions for IDC funded in a T/TO's service unit shares.
- P Indirect CSC Funding Paid. The total amount of indirect CSC funding paid.
- Q Total Estimated CSC Need Indirect + Direct. This is the total of the estimated CSC need, including direct (column G) and indirect (column O).
- R Total CSC Funding Paid for Estimated CSC Need Indirect + Direct. The total CSC funding, including direct (column H) and indirect (column P) paid to the T/TO.
- S Estimated CSC deficiency. This is the FY 2016 and FY 2017 estimated CSC deficiency associated with the programs, based on estimated need and payments as of September 14, 2018. For at least some contracts and compacts, negotiations of final CSC need are ongoing and all deficiencies will be addressed through such negotiations and paid from the FY 2016 and FY 2017 appropriation. The deficiency included in column S does not represent an assessment of the amount due under any contract or compact with any T/TO because, for example, the calculations in this report do not identify all IDC activities that are funded in the Secretarial amount and/or may be based on estimated pass-through and exclusion information.

NOTE: This report was prepared based on prior-year funding amounts as a budget tool to estimate the aggregate CSC need for future-year appropriations. The report relies on the information available to the IHS at the time, i.e., between October 1, 2015, and December 31, 2016, for FY 2016 and between October 1, 2016, and December 31, 2017, for FY 2017, and largely includes only estimated costs and not the actual costs to a T/TO of carrying out its ISDEAA contracts and compacts. Further, the estimates may be based on incomplete information if the documentation is in the possession of the T/TO and has not been made available to the IHS. For example, the IHS can identify the appropriate pass-through and exclusion amounts in order to determine the estimated final direct cost base in column J only if the T/TO provides the IHS with the information. For these and other reasons, the contents of this report do not represent an assessment of the amount due under any contract or compact with any T/TO and do not represent an admission of liability.

**Indian Health Service
FY 2016 Contract Support Costs Data
Summary by Area**

A	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	O	P	Q	R	S
	IHS Area	Secretarial Funds (R/NR), Including 80% of Area/HQ Shares	Pre-award and Startup Costs	Direct CSC Negotiated Need	Direct CSC Funding Paid	Pass-through and Exclusions	Estimated Final Direct Cost Base	Estimated Indirect CSC Need (N/R) (Indirect Costs, Less Credit for 20% Funded in Tribal Shares)	Indirect CSC Funding Paid	Total Estimated CSC Need Indirect + Direct	Total CSC Funding Paid for Estimated CSC Need Indirect + Direct	Estimated CSC Deficiency
1	Alaska Area IHS	\$ 530,840,701	\$ 309,516	\$ 49,909,572	\$ 49,909,573	\$ 49,775,278	\$ 530,974,995	\$ 168,806,324	\$ 169,248,507	\$ 218,715,896	\$ 219,158,080	\$ (442,184)
2	Albuquerque Area IHS	\$ 63,139,063	\$ 259,809	\$ 6,901,683	\$ 6,901,683	\$ 15,530,618	\$ 52,066,943	\$ 10,984,839	\$ 10,890,245	\$ 17,886,522	\$ 17,791,928	\$ 94,594
3	Bemidji Area IHS	\$ 162,467,176	\$ -	\$ 15,497,152	\$ 15,415,770	\$ 30,353,717	\$ 119,299,979	\$ 22,370,274	\$ 22,300,771	\$ 37,867,426	\$ 37,716,541	\$ 150,885
4	Billings Area IHS	\$ 44,292,922	\$ 1,410,443	\$ 5,220,080	\$ 5,220,080	\$ 11,322,054	\$ 37,954,509	\$ 9,739,405	\$ 8,390,031	\$ 14,959,485	\$ 13,610,111	\$ 1,349,374
5	California Area IHS	\$ 140,154,328	\$ 23,239	\$ 5,463,539	\$ 5,463,540	\$ 7,869,193	\$ 135,503,086	\$ 55,526,185	\$ 56,076,640	\$ 60,989,724	\$ 61,540,180	\$ (550,456)
6	Great Plains Area IHS	\$ 91,669,117	\$ 1,229,290	\$ 6,823,142	\$ 6,826,560	\$ 9,631,721	\$ 62,930,218	\$ 13,939,132	\$ 13,059,238	\$ 20,762,274	\$ 19,885,798	\$ 876,476
7	Nashville Area IHS	\$ 120,667,418	\$ -	\$ 5,528,231	\$ 5,528,234	\$ 19,554,091	\$ 101,264,724	\$ 23,801,087	\$ 25,007,295	\$ 29,329,318	\$ 30,535,529	\$ (1,206,211)
8	Navajo Area IHS	\$ 176,706,728	\$ -	\$ 15,728,317	\$ 15,723,913	\$ 12,682,023	\$ 179,753,022	\$ 39,149,669	\$ 39,154,987	\$ 54,877,986	\$ 54,878,900	\$ (914)
9	Oklahoma City Area IHS	\$ 423,361,009	\$ 245,281	\$ 39,410,703	\$ 39,399,490	\$ 58,368,355	\$ 374,316,893	\$ 69,259,241	\$ 68,607,061	\$ 108,669,944	\$ 108,006,551	\$ 663,393
10	Phoenix Area IHS	\$ 144,322,184	\$ 2,682	\$ 8,174,950	\$ 8,174,255	\$ 14,299,427	\$ 135,947,579	\$ 40,040,912	\$ 40,151,465	\$ 48,215,862	\$ 48,325,720	\$ (109,858)
11	Portland Area IHS	\$ 173,096,697	\$ -	\$ 11,024,784	\$ 10,939,116	\$ 25,064,300	\$ 142,679,928	\$ 45,590,889	\$ 43,198,030	\$ 56,615,673	\$ 54,137,146	\$ 2,478,527
12	Tucson Area IHS	\$ 43,396,048	\$ 4,561,781	\$ 5,459,613	\$ 5,459,613	\$ 30,656,835	\$ 18,198,826	\$ 4,189,594	\$ 4,275,939	\$ 9,649,207	\$ 9,735,552	\$ (86,345)
	Totals	\$ 2,114,113,390	\$ 8,042,041	\$ 175,141,767	\$ 174,961,827	\$ 285,107,612	\$ 1,890,890,702	\$ 503,397,549	\$ 500,360,209	\$ 678,539,316	\$ 675,322,036	\$ 3,217,280

NOTE: This report was prepared based on prior-year funding amounts as a budget tool to estimate the aggregate CSC need for future-year appropriations. The report relies on the information available to the IHS at the time, i.e., between October 1, 2015, and December 31, 2016, and largely includes only estimated costs and not the actual costs to a T/TO of carrying out its ISDEAA contracts and compacts. Further, the estimates may be based on incomplete information if the documentation is in the possession of the T/TO and has not been made available to the IHS. For example, the IHS can identify the appropriate pass-through and exclusion amounts in order to determine the estimated final direct cost base in column J only if the T/TO provides the IHS with the information. For these and other reasons, the contents of this report do not represent an assessment of the amount due under any contract or compact with any T/TO and do not represent an admission of liability.

Indian Health Service
FY 2016 Contract Support Costs Data
Summary by Tribe or Tribal Organization

Table with columns A-S: Number of Contracting/Compacting/T/O per Area, Title I/V, Tribe, IHS Area, Secretariat Funds (R/NR), Pre-startup and Startup Costs, Direct CSC Negotiated Need, Direct CSC Funding Paid, Pass-through and Exclusions, Estimated Final Direct Cost Base, Approved Indirect Cost (IDC) Rate, CV/FY/SY IDC Rate, Type of IDC Rate, Type of Direct Cost Base, Indirect CSC Funding Paid, Total Estimated CSC Need Indirect + Direct, Total CSC Funding Paid for Estimated CSC Need Indirect + Direct, Estimated CSC Deficiency.

NOTE: This report was prepared based on prior-year funding amounts as a budget tool to estimate the aggregate CSC need for future-year appropriations. The report relies on the information available to the IHS at the time, i.e., between October 1, 2015, and December 31, 2016, for FY 2016 and between October 1, 2016, and December 31, 2017, for FY 2017, and largely includes only estimated costs and not the actual costs to a T/O of carrying out its ISDEAA contracts and compacts. Further, the estimates may be based on incomplete information if the documentation is in the possession of the T/O and has not been made available to the IHS.

Indian Health Service
FY 2016 Contract Support Costs Data
Summary by Tribe or Tribal Organization

Table with columns A through S. Columns include: Number of Contracting/Compacting T/TO per Area, Title I/V, Tribe, IHS Area, Secretarial Funds (R/NR), Pre-award and Startup Costs, Direct CSC Negotiated Need, Direct CSC Funding Paid, Pass-through and Exclusions, Estimated Final Direct Cost Base, Approved Indirect Cost (IDC) Rate, CY/FY/IDV IDC Rate, Type of IDC Rate, Type of Direct Cost Base, Estimated Indirect CSC Need (Indirect CSC, Less Credit for 20% Funded in Tribal Shares), Indirect CSC Funding Paid, Total Estimated CSC Need Indirect + Direct, Total CSC Funding Paid for Estimated CSC + Direct, and Estimated CSC Deficiency. The table contains numerous rows of data for various tribes and organizations, including California Area IHS, Great Plains, and Alabama-Coushatta Tribe of Texas.

NOTE: This report was prepared based on prior-year funding amounts as a budget tool to estimate the aggregate CSC need for future-year appropriations. The report relies on the information available to the IHS at the time, i.e., between October 1, 2015, and December 31, 2016, for FY 2016 and between October 1, 2016, and December 31, 2017, for FY 2017, and largely includes only estimated costs and not the actual costs to a T/TO of carrying out its ISDEA contracts and compacts. Further, the estimates may be based on incomplete information if the documentation is in the possession of the T/TO and has not been made available to the IHS. For example, the IHS can identify the appropriate pass-through and exclusion amounts in order to determine the estimated final direct cost base in column J only if the T/TO provides the IHS with the information. For these and other reasons, the contents of this report do not represent an assessment of the amount due under any contract or compact with any T/TO and do not represent an admission of liability.

**Indian Health Service
FY 2016 Contract Support Costs Data
Summary by Tribe or Tribal Organization**

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S
Number of Contracting/T/TO per Area	Title I/V	Tribe	IHS Area	Secretarial Funds (R/RR), Including 80% of Area/HQ Shares	Pre-award and Startup Costs	Direct CSC Negotiated Need	Direct CSC Funding Paid	Pass-through and Exclusions	Estimated Final Direct Cost Base	Approved Indirect Cost (IDC) Rate	CV/FY/SY IDC Rate	Type of IDC Rate	Type of Direct Cost Base	Estimated Indirect CSC Need (N/R) (Indirect Costs, Less Credit for 20% Funded in Tribal Shares)	Indirect CSC Funding Paid	Total Estimated CSC Need Indirect + Direct	Total CSC Funding Paid for Estimated CSC Need Indirect + Direct	Estimated CSC Deficiency
28	I	NW Portland Area Indian Health Board	Portland	\$ 683,614	\$ -	\$ 49,858	\$ 49,709	\$ 337,000	\$ 396,472	35.90%	FY 2016	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 142,333	\$ 139,575	\$ 192,192	\$ 189,284	\$ 2,908
29	I	NW Washington SUIHB	Portland	\$ 194,985	\$ -	\$ 22,674	\$ 22,606	\$ -	\$ 217,659	31.60%	FY 2016	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 68,780	\$ 71,152	\$ 91,454	\$ 93,758	\$ (2,304)
30	V	Port Gamble SKlallam Tribe	Portland	\$ 2,711,045	\$ -	\$ 136,267	\$ 136,267	\$ -	\$ 1,862,286	71.96%	CY 2016	Fixed w/CF	Sal & Fringe	\$ 1,302,465	\$ 1,302,873	\$ 1,438,732	\$ 1,439,140	\$ (408)
31	I	Puyallup Tribal Health Authority	Portland	\$ 13,220,755	\$ -	\$ 570,245	\$ 568,539	\$ 4,225,820	\$ 9,565,179	15.30%	FY 2016	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 1,346,094	\$ 1,281,782	\$ 1,916,339	\$ 1,850,321	\$ 66,018
32	I	Quileute Tribal Council	Portland	\$ 1,296,328	\$ -	\$ 106,791	\$ 106,472	\$ 731,891	\$ 671,228	65.00%	FY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 420,858	\$ 195,973	\$ 527,650	\$ 302,445	\$ 225,205
33	V	Quinault Indian Nation	Portland	\$ 5,744,112	\$ -	\$ 219,769	\$ 219,112	\$ 2,296,101	\$ 3,667,781	46.58%	FY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 1,638,478	\$ 1,583,874	\$ 1,858,248	\$ 1,802,986	\$ 55,262
34	I	Samish Indian Nation	Portland	\$ 1,006,764	\$ -	\$ 93,209	\$ 92,930	\$ -	\$ 1,099,973	33.44%	CY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 367,831	\$ 355,754	\$ 461,040	\$ 448,684	\$ 12,356
35	I	Sauk-Suiattle Indian Tribe	Portland	\$ 737,232	\$ -	\$ 28,945	\$ 28,858	\$ -	\$ 314,275	58.48%	CY 2016	Fixed w/CF	Sal & Fringe	\$ 172,058	\$ 194,881	\$ 201,003	\$ 223,739	\$ (22,736)
36	V	Shoalwater Bay Indian Tribe	Portland	\$ 1,751,471	\$ -	\$ 280,954	\$ 280,114	\$ 285,000	\$ 1,747,425	46.97%	FY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 804,769	\$ 805,234	\$ 1,085,724	\$ 1,085,348	\$ 376
37	I	Shoshone-Bannock Tribes	Portland	\$ 10,121,112	\$ -	\$ 365,715	\$ 364,621	\$ -	\$ 2,594,852	24.68%	FY 2016	Fixed w/CF	Sal & Fringe	\$ 600,647	\$ 490,422	\$ 966,362	\$ 855,043	\$ 111,319
38	V	Skokomish Indian Tribe	Portland	\$ 2,055,645	\$ -	\$ 112,147	\$ 112,147	\$ 380,000	\$ 1,787,793	30.01%	FY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 501,980	\$ 301,500	\$ 614,127	\$ 613,647	\$ 480
39	I	Snoqualmie Tribe	Portland	\$ 590,173	\$ -	\$ 60,323	\$ 60,143	\$ 36,660	\$ 613,836	29.09%	FY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 178,565	\$ 211,364	\$ 238,888	\$ 271,507	\$ (32,619)
40	I	Spokane Tribe of Indians	Portland	\$ 883,725	\$ -	\$ 79,003	\$ 79,003	\$ 60,959	\$ 901,769	12.90%	FY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 116,328	\$ 125,044	\$ 195,332	\$ 204,047	\$ (8,715)
41	V	Squaxin Island Tribe	Portland	\$ 2,830,582	\$ -	\$ 197,712	\$ 194,017	\$ 10,375	\$ 3,017,919	42.17%	FY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 1,242,534	\$ 958,216	\$ 1,440,246	\$ 1,152,233	\$ 288,013
42	I	Stillaguamish Tribe of Indians	Portland	\$ 538,330	\$ -	\$ 19,032	\$ 18,975	\$ -	\$ 557,362	27.11%	FY 2015	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 151,101	\$ 150,082	\$ 170,133	\$ 169,057	\$ 1,076
43	V	Suquamish Tribe	Portland	\$ 1,664,614	\$ -	\$ 148,024	\$ 150,348	\$ -	\$ 1,810,871	37.62%	CY 2016	Fixed w/CF	Sal & Fringe	\$ 655,066	\$ 672,960	\$ 803,089	\$ 823,308	\$ (20,219)
44	V	Swinomish Indian Tribal Community	Portland	\$ 2,220,793	\$ -	\$ 177,273	\$ 177,273	\$ 300,000	\$ 2,098,066	28.73%	CY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 567,128	\$ 566,697	\$ 744,401	\$ 743,970	\$ 431
45	V	Tulalip Tribes of Washington	Portland	\$ 7,367,654	\$ -	\$ 317,968	\$ 317,017	\$ 652,748	\$ 7,032,874	24.07%	CY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 1,640,349	\$ 1,610,850	\$ 1,958,317	\$ 1,927,867	\$ 30,450
46	I	Upper Skagit Indian Tribe	Portland	\$ 1,467,628	\$ -	\$ 40,798	\$ 40,676	\$ -	\$ 1,508,426	40.24%	CY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 606,991	\$ 570,369	\$ 647,789	\$ 611,045	\$ 36,744
		Portland Area IHS		\$ 173,096,697	\$ -	\$ 11,024,784	\$ 10,939,116	\$ 25,064,300	\$ 142,679,928					\$ 45,590,889	\$ 43,198,030	\$ 56,615,673	\$ 54,137,146	\$ 2,478,527
				\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
1	I	Pascua Yaqui Tribe - Tucson	Tucson	\$ 13,610,849	\$ -	\$ 144,620	\$ 144,620	\$ 9,338,575	\$ 4,416,893	36.57%	FY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 1,595,068	\$ 1,641,445	\$ 1,739,687	\$ 1,786,065	\$ (46,378)
2	I	Tohono O'dham Nation	Tucson	\$ 4,795,702	\$ -	\$ 559,054	\$ 559,054	\$ 331,845	\$ 5,022,911	20.12%	FY 2015	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 926,325	\$ 940,892	\$ 1,485,380	\$ 1,499,946	\$ (14,566)
3	V	Tohono O'dham Nation - Title V Compact	Tucson	\$ 24,989,498	\$ 4,561,781	\$ 4,755,939	\$ 4,755,939	\$ 20,986,415	\$ 8,759,022	20.12%	FY 2015	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 1,668,201	\$ 1,693,602	\$ 6,424,140	\$ 6,449,541	\$ (25,401)
		Tucson Area IHS		\$ 43,396,048	\$ 4,561,781	\$ 5,459,613	\$ 5,459,613	\$ 30,656,835	\$ 18,198,826					\$ 4,189,594	\$ 4,275,939	\$ 9,649,207	\$ 9,735,552	\$ (86,345)
		TOTALS		\$ 2,114,113,390	\$ 8,042,041	\$ 175,141,767	\$ 174,961,827	\$ 285,107,612	\$ 1,890,890,702	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 503,397,549	\$ 500,360,209	\$ 678,539,316	\$ 675,322,036	\$ 3,217,280

NOTE: This report was prepared based on prior-year funding amounts as a budget tool to estimate the aggregate CSC need for future-year appropriations. The report relies on the information available to the IHS at the time, i.e., between October 1, 2015 and December 31, 2016, and largely includes only estimated costs and not the actual costs to a T/TO of carrying out its ISDEAA contracts and compacts. Further, the estimates may be based on incomplete information if the documentation is in the possession of the T/TO and has not been made available to the IHS. For example, the IHS can identify the appropriate pass-through and exclusion amounts in order to determine the estimated final direct cost base in column J only if the T/TO provides the IHS with the information. For these and other reasons, the contents of this report do not represent an assessment of the amount due under any contract or compact with any T/TO and do not represent an admission of liability.

**Indian Health Service
FY 2017 Contract Support Costs Data
Summary by Area**

A	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	O	P	Q	R	S
	IHS Area	Secretarial Funds (R/NR), Including 80% of Area/HQ Shares	Pre-award and Startup Costs	Direct CSC Negotiated Need	Direct CSC Funding Paid	Pass-through and Exclusions	Estimated Final Direct Cost Base	Estimated Indirect CSC Need (N/R) (Indirect Costs, Less Credit for 20% Funded in Tribal Shares)	Indirect CSC Funding Paid	Total Estimated CSC Need Indirect + Direct	Total CSC Funding Paid for Estimated CSC Need Indirect + Direct	Estimated CSC Deficiency
1	Alaska Area IHS	\$ 543,930,832	\$ -	\$ 51,336,059	\$ 51,336,059	\$ 52,337,260	\$ 542,929,631	\$ 174,453,394	\$ 175,151,987	\$ 225,789,453	\$ 226,488,046	\$ (698,593)
2	Albuquerque Area IHS	\$ 66,526,931	\$ -	\$ 6,871,913	\$ 6,887,590	\$ 14,688,886	\$ 55,547,632	\$ 11,631,687	\$ 12,059,958	\$ 18,503,600	\$ 18,947,548	\$ (443,948)
3	Bemidji Area IHS	\$ 160,933,599	\$ -	\$ 16,033,615	\$ 15,580,196	\$ 24,916,525	\$ 115,150,910	\$ 21,302,899	\$ 21,110,383	\$ 37,336,513	\$ 36,690,579	\$ 645,934
4	Billings Area IHS	\$ 56,913,070	\$ 309,183.00	\$ 4,253,658.92	\$ 4,253,656.00	\$ 13,286,179.00	\$ 47,990,242.84	\$ 11,333,648.54	\$ 9,880,838.00	\$ 15,587,307.45	\$ 14,134,494.00	\$ 1,452,813.45
5	California Area IHS	\$ 140,486,690	\$ -	\$ 5,577,378	\$ 5,577,376	\$ 3,659,290	\$ 137,647,567	\$ 54,849,103	\$ 54,930,380	\$ 60,426,481	\$ 60,507,756	\$ (81,275)
6	Great Plains Area IHS	\$ 98,228,515	\$ -	\$ 6,429,593.88	\$ 6,422,380.00	\$ 14,403,728.00	\$ 67,288,499.28	\$ 16,116,253.64	\$ 15,809,065.00	\$ 22,545,847.52	\$ 22,231,445.00	\$ 314,402.52
7	Nashville Area IHS	\$ 127,639,892	\$ -	\$ 5,721,722	\$ 5,721,722	\$ 13,136,277	\$ 109,343,688	\$ 29,243,921	\$ 29,855,472	\$ 34,965,643	\$ 35,577,194	\$ (611,551)
8	Navajo Area IHS	\$ 179,395,185	\$ -	\$ 16,274,251	\$ 15,251,931	\$ 16,855,631	\$ 178,813,805	\$ 48,146,729	\$ 42,967,852	\$ 64,420,980	\$ 58,219,783	\$ 6,201,197
9	Oklahoma City Area IHS	\$ 446,352,254	\$ 1,888,052	\$ 42,426,009	\$ 42,329,305	\$ 65,650,895	\$ 393,676,642	\$ 83,078,653	\$ 77,107,298	\$ 125,504,662	\$ 119,436,603	\$ 6,068,059
10	Phoenix Area IHS	\$ 145,886,845	\$ 2,840,162	\$ 11,500,219	\$ 11,305,017	\$ 14,489,014	\$ 140,779,329	\$ 36,653,286	\$ 35,457,292	\$ 48,153,505	\$ 46,762,309	\$ 1,391,196
11	Portland Area IHS	\$ 175,101,193	\$ -	\$ 11,367,113	\$ 10,976,377	\$ 21,883,572	\$ 148,652,248	\$ 47,569,572	\$ 45,004,977	\$ 58,936,685	\$ 55,981,354	\$ 2,955,331
12	Tucson Area IHS	\$ 82,028,882	\$ -	\$ 2,496,975	\$ 2,496,975	\$ 48,816,384	\$ 35,709,473	\$ 7,545,116	\$ 7,545,116	\$ 10,042,091	\$ 10,042,091	\$ (0)
	Totals	\$ 2,223,423,887	\$ 5,037,397	\$ 180,288,506	\$ 178,138,584	\$ 304,123,641	\$ 1,973,529,668	\$ 541,924,261	\$ 526,880,618	\$ 722,212,767	\$ 705,019,202	\$ 17,193,565

NOTE: This report was prepared based on prior-year funding amounts as a budget tool to estimate the aggregate CSC need for future-year appropriations. The report relies on the information available to the IHS at the time, i.e., between October 1, 2016 and December 31, 2017, and largely includes only estimated costs and not the actual costs to a T/TO of carrying out its ISDEAA contracts and compacts. Further, the estimates may be based on incomplete information if the documentation is in the possession of the T/TO and has not been made available to the IHS. For example, the IHS can identify the appropriate pass-through and exclusion amounts in order to determine the estimated final direct cost base in column J only if the T/TO provides the IHS with the information. For these and other reasons, the contents of this report do not represent an assessment of the amount due under any contract or compact with any T/TO and do not represent an admission of liability.

**Indian Health Service
FY 2017 Contract Support Costs Data
Summary by Tribe or Tribal Organization**

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S
Number of contracting/compacting T/TO per Area	Title I/V	Tribe	Area	Secretarial Funds (R/NR), Including 80% of Area/HQ Shares	Pre-award and Startup Costs	Direct CSC Negotiated Need	Direct CSC Funding Paid	Pass-through and Exclusions	Estimated Final Direct Cost Base	Approved Indirect Cost (IDC) Rate	CV/FY/SY IDC Rate	Type of IDC Rate	Type of Direct Cost Base	Estimated Indirect CSC Need (N/R), Less Credits for 20% Funded in Tribal Shares)	Indirect CSC Funding Paid	Total Estimated CSC Need Indirect + Direct	Total CSC Funding Paid for Estimated CSC Need Indirect + Direct	Estimated CSC Deficiency
1	I	Akiachak Native Community	Alaska	\$ 426,693	-	\$ 35,364	\$ 35,364	\$ 62,613	\$ 399,444	0.00%	Other	Other	Other	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 35,364	\$ 35,364	\$ (0)
2	I	Chitna Traditional Village Council	Alaska	\$ 167,155	-	\$ 16,459	\$ 16,459	\$ -	\$ 183,614	0.00%	Other	Other	Other	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 16,459	\$ 16,459	\$ (0)
3	I	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc.	Alaska	\$ 1,618,510	-	\$ 56,174	\$ 56,174	\$ 127,208	\$ 1,547,476	71.26%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 750,323	\$ 811,872	\$ 806,497	\$ 868,046	\$ (61,549)
4	I	Fairbanks Native Association, Inc.	Alaska	\$ 670,058	-	\$ 81,860	\$ 81,860	\$ -	\$ 751,918	24.04%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 180,761	\$ 178,678	\$ 262,621	\$ 260,538	\$ 2,083
5	I	Karluk IRA Tribal Council	Alaska	\$ 129,837	-	\$ 13,705	\$ 13,705	\$ -	\$ 143,542	17.06%	FY 2016	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 24,488	\$ 24,135	\$ 38,194	\$ 37,840	\$ 354
6	I	Native Village of Kwinhagak	Alaska	\$ 360,341	-	\$ 42,405	\$ 42,405	\$ -	\$ 402,746	33.28%	SY 2015	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 134,034	\$ 132,586	\$ 176,439	\$ 174,991	\$ 1,448
7	I	Native Village of Tanana	Alaska	\$ 998,982	-	\$ 54,997	\$ 54,997	\$ 5,050	\$ 1,048,928	21.29%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 223,080	\$ 212,191	\$ 278,077	\$ 267,188	\$ 10,889
8	I	Native Village of Tyonek	Alaska	\$ 36,102	-	\$ 36,102	\$ 36,102	\$ 512	\$ 337,330	0.00%	Other	Other	Other	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 36,102	\$ 36,102	\$ (0)
9	I	Nimilchik Traditional Council	Alaska	\$ 1,612,832	-	\$ 32,034	\$ 32,034	\$ 364,239	\$ 1,280,627	44.64%	FY 2016	Provisional	Sal & Fringe	\$ 568,838	\$ 556,196	\$ 600,873	\$ 588,230	\$ 12,643
10	I	St. George Traditional Council	Alaska	\$ 177,400	-	\$ 13,559	\$ 13,559	\$ -	\$ 190,959	-	FY 2017	IDC Type Costs	Other	\$ 68,189	\$ 78,396	\$ 81,748	\$ 91,955	\$ (10,208)
11	I	Valdez Native Tribe	Alaska	\$ 274,624	-	\$ 13,805	\$ 13,805	\$ 973	\$ 287,456	43.00%	FY 2016	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 123,606	\$ 115,943	\$ 137,411	\$ 129,748	\$ 7,663
12	V	Alaska Native Tribal Health Consortium	Alaska	\$ 119,063,988	-	\$ 11,260,625	\$ 11,260,625	\$ 28,475,346	\$ 101,849,267	34.00%	FY 2016	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 21,491,857	\$ 21,491,857	\$ 32,752,482	\$ 32,752,482	\$ 0
13	V	Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association, Inc.	Alaska	\$ 1,564,313	-	\$ 141,861	\$ 141,861	\$ -	\$ 1,706,174	67.50%	FY 2017	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 1,110,420	\$ 1,110,420	\$ 1,252,281	\$ 1,252,281	\$ 0
14	V	Arctic Slope Native Association	Alaska	\$ 23,113,620	-	\$ 3,180,555	\$ 3,180,555	\$ 87,888	\$ 26,206,287	33.50%	FY 2017	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 6,749,820	\$ 6,749,820	\$ 9,930,375	\$ 9,930,375	\$ 0
15	V	Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation	Alaska	\$ 22,987,742	-	\$ 2,138,412	\$ 2,138,412	\$ 2,350,553	\$ 22,775,602	44.00%	FY 2017	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 9,722,403	\$ 9,722,403	\$ 11,860,816	\$ 11,860,816	\$ 1
16	V	Chickaloon Village Traditional Council	Alaska	\$ 52,213	-	\$ 14,514	\$ 14,514	\$ -	\$ 66,727	29.62%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 13,560	\$ 13,560	\$ 28,074	\$ 28,074	\$ 0
17	V	Chugachmuit	Alaska	\$ 3,643,352	-	\$ 216,600	\$ 216,600	\$ 607,332	\$ 3,252,620	57.71%	FY 2017	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 1,799,578	\$ 1,812,925	\$ 2,016,178	\$ 2,029,525	\$ (13,347)
18	V	Copper River Native Association	Alaska	\$ 5,479,492	-	\$ 468,801	\$ 468,801	\$ 68,180	\$ 5,880,113	42.30%	FY 2017	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 2,065,601	\$ 2,065,601	\$ 2,534,402	\$ 2,534,402	\$ 0
19	V	Council of Athabascan Tribal Government	Alaska	\$ 1,908,325	-	\$ 96,364	\$ 96,364	\$ 102,608	\$ 1,902,081	67.70%	FY 2017	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 1,287,709	\$ 1,287,709	\$ 1,384,072	\$ 1,384,072	\$ (1)
20	V	Eastern Aleutian Tribes, Inc.	Alaska	\$ 3,050,922	-	\$ 171,831	\$ 171,831	\$ -	\$ 3,192,211	59.00%	FY 2017	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 1,819,991	\$ 1,819,991	\$ 1,991,822	\$ 1,991,822	\$ 0
21	V	Kenaitze Indian Tribe, I.R.A.	Alaska	\$ 10,592,440	-	\$ 383,370	\$ 383,370	\$ 882,505	\$ 10,093,305	81.46%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 5,593,762	\$ 5,593,762	\$ 5,977,132	\$ 5,977,132	\$ (0)
22	V	Ketchikan Indian Corporation	Alaska	\$ 5,717,342	-	\$ 531,405	\$ 531,405	\$ 2,011,161	\$ 4,237,586	79.91%	CY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 3,317,720	\$ 3,317,720	\$ 3,849,125	\$ 3,849,125	\$ 0
23	V	Knik Tribal Council	Alaska	\$ 65,946	-	\$ 9,941	\$ 9,941	\$ -	\$ 75,887	22.11%	FY 2017	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 10,635	\$ 10,635	\$ 20,577	\$ 20,577	\$ 1
24	V	Kodiak Area Native Association	Alaska	\$ 7,458,985	-	\$ 440,222	\$ 440,222	\$ 39,695	\$ 7,859,312	34.50%	FY 2017	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 2,596,753	\$ 2,596,753	\$ 3,036,975	\$ 3,036,975	\$ 0
25	V	Mantlax Association	Alaska	\$ 29,371,839	-	\$ 2,729,436	\$ 2,729,436	\$ 1,944,905	\$ 30,156,369	49.00%	FY 2017	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 14,510,921	\$ 14,510,921	\$ 17,240,356	\$ 17,240,357	\$ (1)
26	V	Metlakala Indian Community	Alaska	\$ 7,071,664	-	\$ 459,582	\$ 459,582	\$ 1,125,458	\$ 6,562,789	21.82%	FY 2015	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 1,126,368	\$ 1,350,818	\$ 1,585,950	\$ 1,810,400	\$ (224,450)
27	V	Mount Sanford Tribal Consortium	Alaska	\$ 7,777,941	-	\$ 79,009	\$ 79,009	\$ -	\$ 856,949	33.50%	FY 2017	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 279,812	\$ 279,812	\$ 358,820	\$ 358,821	\$ (1)
28	V	Native Village of Eklutna	Alaska	\$ 170,333	-	\$ 5,951	\$ 5,951	\$ -	\$ 176,284	-	FY 2015	IDC Type Costs	Other	\$ 35,901	\$ 42,891	\$ 41,852	\$ 48,842	\$ (6,990)
29	V	Native Village of Eyak	Alaska	\$ 789,699	-	\$ 85,320	\$ 85,320	\$ 38,009	\$ 837,010	29.96%	FY 2017	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 237,971	\$ 237,971	\$ 323,291	\$ 323,291	\$ (0)
30	V	Norton Sound Health Corporation	Alaska	\$ 44,794,160	-	\$ 4,197,082	\$ 4,197,082	\$ 2,505,697	\$ 46,485,546	35.90%	FY 2017	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 12,273,558	\$ 12,601,418	\$ 16,470,640	\$ 16,798,500	\$ (327,860)
31	V	Seldovia Village Tribe	Alaska	\$ 1,855,758	-	\$ 84,452	\$ 84,452	\$ 561,703	\$ 1,378,507	31.55%	FY 2017	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 412,559	\$ 412,559	\$ 496,870	\$ 497,011	\$ (141)
32	V	Southcentral Foundation	Alaska	\$ 94,921,553	-	\$ 9,704,937	\$ 9,704,937	\$ 1,799,925	\$ 102,826,565	33.64%	FY 2015	Other	TDC less PT	\$ 32,069,486	\$ 32,069,486	\$ 41,774,242	\$ 41,774,243	\$ 1
33	V	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	Alaska	\$ 40,809,833	-	\$ 3,451,821	\$ 3,451,821	\$ 298,950	\$ 43,962,704	39.70%	FY 2014	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 16,661,136	\$ 16,661,136	\$ 20,112,958	\$ 20,112,957	\$ 1
34	V	Tanana Chiefs Conference	Alaska	\$ 62,502,531	-	\$ 5,484,114	\$ 5,484,114	\$ 8,537,351	\$ 59,449,294	33.30%	FY 2017	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 15,324,529	\$ 15,411,527	\$ 20,808,643	\$ 20,895,641	\$ (86,998)
35	V	Yukutat Thingit Tribe	Alaska	\$ 30,225	-	\$ 30,225	\$ 30,225	\$ -	\$ 340,569	38.56%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 132,201	\$ 132,201	\$ 162,246	\$ 162,246	\$ (0)
36	V	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	Alaska	\$ 49,118,527	-	\$ 5,573,165	\$ 5,573,165	\$ 308,857	\$ 54,382,834	41.44%	FY 2016	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 21,738,094	\$ 21,738,094	\$ 27,311,259	\$ 27,311,259	\$ (0)
		Alaska Area IHS		\$ 543,930,832	-	\$ 51,336,059	\$ 51,336,059	\$ 52,337,260	\$ 542,929,631					\$ 174,453,394	\$ 175,151,987	\$ 225,789,453	\$ 226,488,046	\$ (698,593)
1	I	Alamo Navajo School Board, Inc. 14-10001	Albuquerque	\$ 8,824,652	-	\$ 671,160	\$ 671,160	\$ 2,998,830	\$ 6,496,982	11.34%	SY 16 - 17	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 671,551	\$ 671,551	\$ 1,342,712	\$ 1,342,711	\$ 1
2	I	Albuquerque Area Indian Health Board 242-15-10008C	Albuquerque	\$ 557,025	-	\$ 112,960	\$ 112,960	\$ 29,811	\$ 633,995	42.82%	FY 2017	Provisional	Sal & Fringe	\$ 271,477	\$ 271,477	\$ 384,437	\$ 384,437	\$ (0)
3	I	Canoncito Band of Navajos Health Center 14-10002C	Albuquerque	\$ 3,803,553	-	\$ 143,949	\$ 143,949	\$ -	\$ 1,629,270	51.80%	FY 2017	Provisional	Sal & Fringe	\$ 778,154	\$ 922,103	\$ 922,103	\$ 922,103	\$ (0)
4	I	Eight Northern 242-2017-10003C	Albuquerque	\$ 552,079	-	\$ 109,959	\$ 109,959	\$ -	\$ 662,039	19.00%	FY 2017	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 100,796	\$ 120,755	\$ 210,755	\$ 210,755	\$ 0
5	I	Five Sandoval Indian Pueblos, Inc. CHR 242-17-10010C	Albuquerque	\$ 180,648	-	\$ 43,560	\$ 43,560	\$ -	\$ 224,208	16.00%	CY 2017	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 35,318	\$ 78,878	\$ 78,878	\$ 78,878	\$ 0
6	I	Five Sandoval Indian Pueblos, Inc. SAP 242-17-10011C	Albuquerque	\$ 453,741	-	\$ 43,877	\$ 43,877	\$ -	\$ 497,618	16.00%	CY 2017	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 57,012	\$ 57,012	\$ 100,889	\$ 100,889	\$ 0
7	I	Icarilla Apache Nation BH 242-2016-10017C	Albuquerque	\$ 1,135,551	-	\$ 65,109	\$ 65,109	\$ -	\$ 1,200,660	14.66%	CY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 171,962	\$ 171,962	\$ 237,071	\$ 237,071	\$ 0
8	I	Icarilla Apache Nation EMS 242-2016-10015C	Albuquerque	\$ 136,715	-	\$ 20,060	\$ 20,060	\$ -	\$ 156,775	14.66%	CY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 22,983	\$ 21,557	\$ 43,044	\$ 41,617	\$ 1,427
9	I	Icarilla Apache Nation H&F 242-2016-10016C	Albuquerque	\$ 350,655	-	\$ 39,069	\$ 39,069	\$ -	\$ 389,724	14.66%	CY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 53,514	\$ 53,514	\$ 92,583	\$ 92,583	\$ 3,542
10	I	Icarilla Apache Nation Health Depart 242-2014-10005C	Albuquerque	\$ 372,402	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 372,402	14.66%	CY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 54,594	\$ 54,594	\$ 54,594	\$ 54,594	\$ 0
11	I	Icarilla Apache Nation PHN 242-2016-10001C	Albuquerque	\$ 114,031	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,679	\$ 114,031	14.66%	CY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 16,717	\$ 15,679	\$ 16,717	\$ 31,358	\$ (14,641)
12	I	Kewa Pueblo Health Corporation (Contract1)	Albuquerque	\$ 7,009,155	-	\$ 113,232	\$ 113,232	\$ 3,151,644	\$ 3,970,744	29.33%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 1,163,127	\$ 1,163,127	\$ 1,276,360	\$ 1,276,359	\$ 1
13	I	Mescalero Apache Tribe CHR 242-17-10013C	Albuquerque	\$ 67,158	-	\$ 67,158	\$ 67,158	\$ -	\$ 335,591	24.16%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 80,897	\$ 80,897	\$ 148,055	\$ 148,055	\$ 0
14	I	Mescalero Apache Tribe HE 242-14-10014C	Albuquerque	\$ 58,672	-	\$ 15,825	\$ 15,825	\$ -	\$ 74,497	24.16%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 17,999	\$ 17,999	\$ 33,824	\$ 33,824	\$ (0)
15	I	Mescalero Apache Tribe PBC 242-17-10015C	Albuquerque	\$ 49,399	-	\$ 21,422	\$ 21,422	\$ -	\$ 70,822	24.16%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 15,885	\$ 15,885	\$ 37,308	\$ 37,308	\$ (0)
16	I	M																

Indian Health Service
FY 2017 Contract Support Costs Data
Summary by Tribe or Tribal Organization

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S
Number of contracting/compacting T/O per Area	Title IV	Tribe	Area	Secretarial Funds (R/NR), Including 80% of Area/HQ Shares	Pre-award and Startup Costs	Direct CSC Negotiated Need	Direct CSC Funding Paid	Pass-through and Exclusions	Estimated Final Direct Cost Base	Approved Indirect Cost (IDC) Rate	CV/FY/SY IDC Rate	Type of IDC Rate	Type of Direct Cost Base	Estimated Indirect CSC Need (N/R), Indirect Costs, Less Credit for 20% Funded in Tribal Shares)	Indirect CSC Funding Paid	Total Estimated CSC Need Indirect + Direct	Total CSC Funding Paid for Estimated CSC Need Indirect + Direct	Estimated CSC Deficiency
36	I	Ramah Navajo School Board, Inc. 242-2017-10018C	Albuquerque	\$ 3,083,518	\$ -	\$ 669,055	\$ 669,055	\$ -	\$ 3,387,254	33.66%	CY 2017	Provisional	Sal & Fringe	\$ 1,087,333	\$ 1,087,333	\$ 1,756,389	\$ 1,756,388	\$ (1)
37	I	Santa Fe Indian School 242-2013-10010C	Albuquerque	\$ 136,823	\$ -	\$ 19,102	\$ 19,102	\$ -	\$ 155,925	9.00%	SY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 14,033	\$ 14,033	\$ 33,135	\$ 33,135	\$ 0
38	I	Southern Ute Indian Tribe CHR 242-13-10003C	Albuquerque	\$ 195,384	\$ -	\$ 70,564	\$ 70,564	\$ -	\$ 265,948	40.92%	FY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 107,550	\$ 107,550	\$ 178,114	\$ 178,114	\$ (0)
39	I	Southern Ute Indian Tribe EMS 242-13-10004C	Albuquerque	\$ 40,075	\$ -	\$ 1,304	\$ 1,304	\$ 36,757	\$ 4,622	40.92%	FY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 1,891	\$ 1,891	\$ 3,195	\$ 3,195	\$ (0)
40	I	Southern Ute Indian Tribe Health Center 242-13-10002C	Albuquerque	\$ 3,800,725	\$ -	\$ 378,749	\$ 378,749	\$ 2,193,960	\$ 1,985,514	40.92%	FY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 741,960	\$ 741,960	\$ 1,120,709	\$ 1,120,709	\$ (0)
41	I	Southern Ute SUCAP 242-2016-10012C	Albuquerque	\$ 105,629	\$ -	\$ 878,706	\$ 105,629	\$ -	\$ 984,335	10.50%	CY 2017	Predetermined	TDC less PT	\$ 99,795	\$ 99,795	\$ 205,424	\$ 205,424	\$ 0
42	I	Ute Mountain Ute Tribe CHR 242-2015-10002C	Albuquerque	\$ 153,511	\$ -	\$ 35,663	\$ 35,663	\$ -	\$ 189,174	36.08%	FY 2015	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 59,646	\$ 68,121	\$ 95,309	\$ 103,784	\$ (8,475)
43	I	Ute Mountain Ute Tribe EMS 242-2015-10003C	Albuquerque	\$ 46,514	\$ -	\$ 406,014	\$ 46,514	\$ -	\$ 452,528	36.08%	FY 2015	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 141,925	\$ 162,198	\$ 188,439	\$ 208,712	\$ (20,273)
44	I	Ute Mountain Ute Tribe MH/HE/PHN 242-2015-10004C	Albuquerque	\$ 192,177	\$ -	\$ 40,938	\$ 40,938	\$ -	\$ 233,115	36.08%	FY 2015	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 73,664	\$ 84,108	\$ 114,603	\$ 125,046	\$ (10,443)
45	I	Ute Mountain Ute Tribe SAP 242-2015-10005C	Albuquerque	\$ 424,941	\$ -	\$ 79,276	\$ 79,276	\$ -	\$ 504,217	36.08%	FY 2015	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 145,555	\$ 167,944	\$ 224,631	\$ 247,220	\$ (22,589)
46	I	Ysleta Del Sur Pueblo 242-2017-00001C	Albuquerque	\$ 2,934,299	\$ -	\$ 637,181	\$ 637,181	\$ 1,184,436	\$ 2,387,044	14.95%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 329,871	\$ 329,871	\$ 967,053	\$ 967,052	\$ (1)
47	V	Pueblo of Jemez 57G110106-1	Albuquerque	\$ 938,037	\$ -	\$ 938,037	\$ 938,037	\$ 2,671,738	\$ 7,872,282	20.58%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 1,488,787	\$ 1,800,993	\$ 2,426,824	\$ 2,739,030	\$ (312,206)
48	V	Pueblo of Sandia 57G100098-1	Albuquerque	\$ 1,924,523	\$ -	\$ 146,321	\$ 146,321	\$ 433,509	\$ 1,637,335	16.45%	FY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 230,941	\$ 230,941	\$ 377,262	\$ 377,262	\$ (0)
49	V	Taos Pueblo 57G100097-1	Albuquerque	\$ 204,798	\$ -	\$ 204,798	\$ 204,798	\$ -	\$ 1,082,494	24.34%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 234,054	\$ 255,799	\$ 438,852	\$ 460,597	\$ (21,745)
		Albuquerque Area IHS		\$ 66,526,931	\$ -	\$ 6,871,913	\$ 6,887,590	\$ 14,688,886	\$ 55,547,632					\$ 11,631,687	\$ 12,059,958	\$ 18,503,600	\$ 18,947,548	\$ (443,948)
1	I	Bad River Band of Lake Superior Tribe of Chippewa Indians	Bemidji	\$ 3,629,472	\$ -	\$ 114,063	\$ 110,206	\$ 1,055,522	\$ 2,688,013	15.41%	FY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 407,363	\$ 406,685	\$ 521,426	\$ 516,891	\$ 4,535
2	I	Bay Mills Indian Community, Michigan	Bemidji	\$ 2,463,905	\$ -	\$ 133,682	\$ 133,682	\$ -	\$ 1,005,996	20.45%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	Sal & Fringe	\$ 190,189	\$ 190,189	\$ 323,870	\$ 323,871	\$ (1)
3	I	Grand Portage Reservation	Bemidji	\$ 1,060,601	\$ -	\$ 48,268	\$ 48,268	\$ 150,000	\$ 958,869	16.02%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 146,944	\$ 146,944	\$ 194,762	\$ 194,762	\$ 0
4	I	Hannahville Indian Community, Michigan	Bemidji	\$ 1,728,308	\$ -	\$ 126,155	\$ 126,155	\$ -	\$ 1,186,324	42.08%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	Salaries Only	\$ 486,972	\$ 486,972	\$ 613,127	\$ 613,127	\$ 0
5	I	Ho-Chunk Nation of Wisconsin	Bemidji	\$ 8,341,211	\$ -	\$ 918,830	\$ 885,103	\$ 1,907,367	\$ 7,352,673	11.80%	FY 2016	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 847,039	\$ 873,962	\$ 1,765,869	\$ 1,759,065	\$ 6,804
6	I	Lac Courte Oreilles Band of Lake Superior Chippewa of Wisconsin	Bemidji	\$ 6,878,060	\$ -	\$ 268,076	\$ 259,011	\$ 3,028,383	\$ 4,117,754	20.09%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 793,540	\$ 713,443	\$ 1,061,617	\$ 972,454	\$ 89,163
7	I	Lac du Flambeau Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians, Wisconsin	Bemidji	\$ 5,331,556	\$ -	\$ 1,738,758	\$ 1,679,959	\$ -	\$ 4,487,068	19.35%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	Salaries Only	\$ 827,988	\$ 830,962	\$ 2,566,745	\$ 2,510,921	\$ 55,824
8	I	Lac Vieux Desert Band of Lake Superior Chippewa of Michigan	Bemidji	\$ 1,489,900	\$ -	\$ 125,901	\$ 125,900	\$ -	\$ 1,008,416	36.59%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	Salaries Only	\$ 358,304	\$ 358,304	\$ 484,204	\$ 484,204	\$ 0
9	I	Leech Lake Band of Ojibwe	Bemidji	\$ 3,223,637	\$ -	\$ 559,216	\$ 559,215	\$ 490,455	\$ 3,292,398	19.70%	FY 2017	Final	TDC less PT	\$ 614,611	\$ 640,655	\$ 1,173,827	\$ 1,199,870	\$ (26,043)
10	I	Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa Indians, Michigan	Bemidji	\$ 4,277,040	\$ -	\$ 183,692	\$ 183,692	\$ 1,187,871	\$ 3,274,861	29.14%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 950,479	\$ 950,479	\$ 1,136,171	\$ 1,136,171	\$ 0
11	I	Lower Sioux Indian Community	Bemidji	\$ 1,786,656	\$ -	\$ 27,403	\$ 27,402	\$ 10,000	\$ 1,804,059	58.73%	FY 2017	Final	TDC less PT	\$ 1,045,364	\$ 1,038,869	\$ 1,072,767	\$ 1,066,271	\$ 6,496
12	I	Match-E-Nash-She-Wish Band of Pottawatomis of Michigan	Bemidji	\$ 644,167	\$ -	\$ 25,672	\$ 24,804	\$ 210,000	\$ 459,839	32.92%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 96,421	\$ 96,421	\$ 124,341	\$ 121,225	\$ 3,116
13	I	Menominee Indian Tribe of Wisconsin	Bemidji	\$ 12,347,950	\$ -	\$ 2,243,381	\$ 2,167,518	\$ 78,333	\$ 14,512,998	10.29%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 1,465,286	\$ 1,400,957	\$ 3,708,667	\$ 3,568,475	\$ 140,192
14	I	Nottawaseppi Huron Band of the Potawatomi of Michigan	Bemidji	\$ 1,200,038	\$ -	\$ 51,472	\$ 51,472	\$ -	\$ 781,760	30.00%	CY 2017	Provisional	Salaries Only	\$ 225,134	\$ 218,967	\$ 276,605	\$ 270,433	\$ 6,166
15	I	Pokagon Band of Potawatomi Indians, Michigan and Indiana	Bemidji	\$ 3,614,083	\$ -	\$ 730,279	\$ 730,279	\$ -	\$ 2,715,263	83.26%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	Salaries Only	\$ 2,225,634	\$ 2,225,634	\$ 2,955,913	\$ 2,955,913	\$ 0
16	I	Prairie Island Indian Community in Minnesota	Bemidji	\$ 9,911,414	\$ -	\$ 17,815	\$ 17,815	\$ 40,000	\$ 909,229	23.78%	FY 2017	Final	TDC less PT	\$ 229,465	\$ 225,896	\$ 247,281	\$ 243,711	\$ 3,570
17	I	Red Cliff Band of Lake Superior Chippewa of Wisconsin	Bemidji	\$ 3,027,306	\$ -	\$ 204,833	\$ 204,832	\$ 77,874	\$ 3,154,265	22.98%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 703,893	\$ 697,178	\$ 908,725	\$ 902,550	\$ 6,175
18	I	Red Lake Band of Chippewa Indians, Minnesota	Bemidji	\$ 10,573,976	\$ -	\$ 1,430,272	\$ 1,381,905	\$ -	\$ 6,024,271	17.21%	CY 2016	Fixed w/CF	Salaries Only	\$ 991,571	\$ 1,280,547	\$ 2,421,842	\$ 2,662,452	\$ (240,610)
19	I	Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan	Bemidji	\$ 4,850,747	\$ -	\$ 97,292	\$ 97,297	\$ 221,968	\$ 4,726,071	14.25%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 656,800	\$ 656,800	\$ 754,092	\$ 754,097	\$ (5)
20	I	Sokaogon Chippewa Community of Wisconsin (Mole Lake Band)	Bemidji	\$ 1,075,444	\$ -	\$ 44,975	\$ 44,975	\$ 219,809	\$ 900,610	16.24%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 139,324	\$ 139,324	\$ 184,299	\$ 184,299	\$ 0
21	I	Taos Pueblo Chippewa Indians of Wisconsin	Bemidji	\$ 3,464,477	\$ -	\$ 70,708	\$ 68,113	\$ -	\$ 2,179,264	23.52%	FY 2016	Fixed w/CF	Salaries Only	\$ 498,944	\$ 491,479	\$ 569,652	\$ 559,592	\$ 10,060
22	I	Upper Sioux Community, Minnesota	Bemidji	\$ 817,106	\$ -	\$ 27,001	\$ 27,001	\$ 342,185	\$ 501,922	11.25%	FY 2017	Final	TDC less PT	\$ 56,236	\$ 51,316	\$ 83,237	\$ 78,317	\$ 4,920
23	I	White Earth Reservation	Bemidji	\$ 3,938,732	\$ -	\$ 504,404	\$ 487,347	\$ 563,164	\$ 3,879,972	15.00%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 581,996	\$ 543,995	\$ 1,086,400	\$ 1,031,342	\$ 55,058
24	V	Bois Forte Band of Minnesota Chippewa (Nett Lake)	Bemidji	\$ 2,741,468	\$ -	\$ 389,542	\$ 376,369	\$ 127,989	\$ 3,003,021	22.14%	FY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 595,990	\$ 764,949	\$ 985,532	\$ 1,141,318	\$ (155,786)
25	V	Fond du Lac Reservation	Bemidji	\$ 1,183,839	\$ -	\$ 1,143,806	\$ 1,143,806	\$ -	\$ 10,090,152	8.46%	FY 2016	Fixed w/CF	Sal & Fringe	\$ 820,976	\$ 765,793	\$ 2,004,815	\$ 1,909,599	\$ 95,216
26	V	Forest County Potawatomi Community, Wisconsin	Bemidji	\$ 2,338,796	\$ -	\$ 721,706	\$ 708,456	\$ -	\$ 1,608,503	24.05%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	Sal & Fringe	\$ 371,465	\$ 466,178	\$ 1,093,171	\$ 1,174,634	\$ (81,463)
27	V	Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians, Michigan	Bemidji	\$ 3,184,575	\$ -	\$ 300,107	\$ 289,558	\$ -	\$ 2,616,037	27.60%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	Sal & Fringe	\$ 686,658	\$ 492,051	\$ 986,764	\$ 782,009	\$ 204,755
28	V	Keweenaw Bay Indian Community, Michigan	Bemidji	\$ 3,539,104	\$ -	\$ 786,845	\$ 760,237	\$ -	\$ 2,587,445	29.51%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	Salaries Only	\$ 740,836	\$ 642,616	\$ 1,527,682	\$ 1,402,853	\$ 124,829
29	V	Little River Band of Ottawa Indians	Bemidji	\$ 2,126,978	\$ -	\$ 242,571	\$ 234,368	\$ 963,036	\$ 1,406,513	24.17%	CY 2014	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 324,715	\$ 315,605	\$ 567,286	\$ 549,973	\$ 17,313
30	V	Mille Lacs Band of Ojibwe Indians	Bemidji	\$ 4,823,691	\$ -	\$ 1,158,183	\$ 1,119,017	\$ 1,559,871	\$ 4,422,003	11.49%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 496,633	\$ 467,668	\$ 1,654,816	\$ 1,586,685	\$ 68,131
31	V	Onondaga Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin	Bemidji	\$ 19,734,966	\$ -	\$ 310,937	\$ 300,422	\$ -	\$ 5,719,986	16.40%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	Salaries Only	\$ 855,417	\$ 852,176	\$ 1,166,354	\$ 1,152,598	\$ 13,756
32	V	Sault Ste. Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians of Michigan	Bemidji	\$ 18,000,840	\$ -	\$ 754,517	\$ 729,002	\$ 11,798,456	\$ 6,956,901	12.21%	CY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 782,690	\$ 665,838	\$ 1,537,207	\$ 1,394,840	\$ 142,367
33	V	Shakopee Mdewakanton Sioux Community of Minnesota	Bemidji	\$ 1,751,236	\$ -	\$ 16,428	\$ 15,872	\$ -	\$ 1,767,664	0.00%		IDC Type Costs		\$ 317,767	\$ 317,767	\$ 334,195	\$ 333,639	\$ 556
34	V	Stockbridge-Munsee Community, Wisconsin	Bemidji	\$ 3,460,237	\$ -	\$ 474,794	\$ 458,738	\$ 884,242	\$ 3,050,789	26.26%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 768,547	\$ 693,764	\$ 1,243,251	\$ 1,152,412	\$ 90,839
		Bemidji Area IHS		\$ 160,933,599	\$ -	\$ 16,033,615	\$ 15,580,196	\$ 24,916,525	\$ 115,150,910					\$ 21,110,839	\$ 21,110,383	\$ 37,336,513	\$ 36,690,579	\$ 645,934
1																		

Indian Health Service
FY 2017 Contract Support Costs Data
Summary by Tribe or Tribal Organization

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S
Number of contracting/compacting T/O per Area	Title IV	Tribe	Area	Secretarial Funds (R/RN), Including 80% of Area/HQ Shares	Pre-award and Startup Costs	Direct CSC Negotiated Need	Direct CSC Funding Paid	Pass-through and Exclusions	Estimated Final Direct Cost Base	Approved Indirect Cost (IDC) Rate	CV/FY/SY IDC Rate	Type of IDC Rate	Type of Direct Cost Base	Estimated Indirect CSC Need (N/R), Indirect Costs, Less Credit for 20% Funded in Tribal Shares)	Indirect CSC Funding Paid	Total Estimated CSC Need Indirect + Direct	Total CSC Funding Paid for Estimated CSC Need Indirect + Direct	Estimated CSC Deficiency
7	I	CRHIB Consolidated	California	\$ 31,506,596	\$ -	\$ 1,126,640	\$ 1,126,640	\$ -	\$ 32,633,236	0.00%		IDC Type Costs	Other	\$ 13,847,061	\$ 13,847,061	\$ 14,973,701	\$ 14,973,701	\$ -
8	I	Ellem Indian Colony	California	\$ 64,980	\$ -	\$ 2,289	\$ 2,289	\$ -	\$ 67,269	49.70%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 33,433	\$ 33,433	\$ 35,722	\$ 35,722	\$ 0
9	I	Greenville Rancheria of Maidu Indians	California	\$ 2,194,094	\$ -	\$ 53,065	\$ 53,065	\$ -	\$ 1,458,286	15.01%	CY 2016	Fixed w/CF	Salaries Only	\$ 206,912	\$ 206,912	\$ 259,978	\$ 259,978	\$ 1
10	I	Guidiville Indian Rancheria	California	\$ 186,374	\$ -	\$ 16,330	\$ 16,330	\$ 10,000	\$ 192,704	96.86%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 186,653	\$ 186,653	\$ 202,984	\$ 202,984	\$ 1
11	I	Hopland Band of Pomo Indians	California	\$ 191,505	\$ -	\$ 2,167	\$ 2,167	\$ -	\$ 193,672	40.39%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 78,224	\$ 78,736	\$ 80,392	\$ 80,903	\$ (51)
12	I	Koi Nation	California	\$ 159,612	\$ -	\$ 1,558	\$ 1,558	\$ 28,916	\$ 132,254	0.00%		IDC Type Costs	Other	\$ 66,500	\$ 66,500	\$ 68,058	\$ 68,058	\$ (0)
13	I	Lake County Tribal Health Consortium	California	\$ 4,939,488	\$ -	\$ 106,578	\$ 106,578	\$ 126,223	\$ 4,919,843	0.00%		IDC Type Costs	Other	\$ 2,158,566	\$ 2,158,566	\$ 2,265,144	\$ 2,265,144	\$ -
14	I	MACT IHB	California	\$ 2,834,550	\$ -	\$ 179,373	\$ 179,373	\$ 117,866	\$ 2,896,057	39.00%	FY 2017	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 1,089,774	\$ 1,089,774	\$ 1,269,147	\$ 1,269,147	\$ -
15	I	Pinoleville Pomo Nation	California	\$ 90,044	\$ -	\$ 2,552	\$ 2,552	\$ 500	\$ 92,096	16.73%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 15,408	\$ 15,408	\$ 17,960	\$ 17,960	\$ 0
16	I	Quartz Valley Indian Community - Quartz Valley Reservation	California	\$ 271,612	\$ -	\$ 5,300	\$ 5,300	\$ 100,013	\$ 176,899	14.33%	CY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 25,350	\$ 32,486	\$ 30,650	\$ 37,786	\$ (7,136)
17	I	Rolling Hills	California	\$ 56,818	\$ -	\$ 698	\$ 698	\$ 6,485	\$ 51,030	65.91%	CY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 33,019	\$ 33,085	\$ 33,716	\$ 33,783	\$ (67)
18	I	Round Valley Indian Tribes	California	\$ 2,224,887	\$ -	\$ 89,172	\$ 89,172	\$ -	\$ 2,314,059	0.00%		IDC Type Costs	Other	\$ 656,428	\$ 656,428	\$ 745,600	\$ 745,600	\$ 0
19	I	Scotts Valley Band of Pomo Indians	California	\$ 243,333	\$ -	\$ 19,676	\$ 19,676	\$ 22,982	\$ 240,027	10.13%	CY 2015	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 24,315	\$ 24,315	\$ 43,991	\$ 43,991	\$ 0
20	I	Sherwood Valley Band of Pomo Indians	California	\$ 4,340	\$ -	\$ 4,340	\$ 4,340	\$ -	\$ 250,028	29.25%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 73,133	\$ 73,133	\$ 77,473	\$ 77,473	\$ (0)
21	I	Shingle Springs Band of Miwok Indians	California	\$ 1,784,706	\$ -	\$ 94,879	\$ 94,879	\$ -	\$ 1,856,925	16.54%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	Sal & Fringe	\$ 297,036	\$ 323,072	\$ 391,915	\$ 417,951	\$ (26,036)
22	I	Sierra Tribal Consortium	California	\$ 765,739	\$ -	\$ 48,625	\$ 48,625	\$ -	\$ 814,364	0.00%		IDC Type Costs	Other	\$ 392,745	\$ 392,745	\$ 441,370	\$ 441,370	\$ 0
23	I	Strong Family Health Center	California	\$ 660,612	\$ -	\$ 26,010	\$ 26,010	\$ -	\$ 686,621	0.00%		IDC Type Costs	Other	\$ 464,244	\$ 464,244	\$ 490,254	\$ 490,254	\$ (0)
24	I	Sycuan	California	\$ 296,539	\$ -	\$ 5,254	\$ 5,254	\$ -	\$ 301,793	0.00%		IDC Type Costs	Other	\$ 69,220	\$ 69,220	\$ 74,474	\$ 74,474	\$ (0)
25	I	Table Mountain Rancheria	California	\$ 131,895	\$ -	\$ 7,474	\$ 7,474	\$ -	\$ 139,369	0.00%	FY 2016	IDC Type Costs	Other	\$ 26,880	\$ 26,880	\$ 34,354	\$ 34,354	\$ (0)
26	I	Tejon	California	\$ 697,782	\$ -	\$ 7,075	\$ 7,075	\$ -	\$ 704,858	0.00%		IDC Type Costs	Other	\$ 33,253	\$ 33,253	\$ 40,328	\$ 40,328	\$ 0
27	I	Tule River Tribal Council	California	\$ 553,361	\$ -	\$ 42,257	\$ 42,257	\$ 42,338	\$ 553,280	11.83%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 65,453	\$ 70,462	\$ 107,710	\$ 112,719	\$ (5,009)
28	I	Tuolumne Band of the Wuk Indians	California	\$ 775,907	\$ -	\$ 24,292	\$ 24,292	\$ 187,010	\$ 613,189	21.89%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 134,227	\$ 134,227	\$ 158,520	\$ 158,519	\$ 1
29	I	Wilton Rancheria	California	\$ 1,279,870	\$ -	\$ 4,451	\$ 4,451	\$ 755,752	\$ 528,568	24.63%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 97,641	\$ 97,641	\$ 102,092	\$ 102,092	\$ (0)
30	V	Chapa De	California	\$ 6,801,481	\$ -	\$ 173,174	\$ 173,174	\$ 180,561	\$ 6,794,095	0.00%		IDC Type Costs	Other	\$ 3,509,315	\$ 3,509,315	\$ 3,682,489	\$ 3,682,489	\$ 0
31	V	Consolidated Tribal Health Project, Inc.	California	\$ 3,914,693	\$ -	\$ 99,145	\$ 99,145	\$ 95,811	\$ 3,918,027	37.80%	CY 2017	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 1,427,705	\$ 1,427,705	\$ 1,526,850	\$ 1,526,850	\$ (0)
32	V	Feather River Tribal Health, Inc.	California	\$ 6,490,046	\$ -	\$ 158,036	\$ 158,036	\$ -	\$ 3,705,436	47.60%	FY 2017	Provisional	Sal & Fringe	\$ 1,684,862	\$ 1,684,862	\$ 1,842,898	\$ 1,842,898	\$ 0
33	V	Hoopa Valley Tribe	California	\$ 5,535,390	\$ -	\$ 254,139	\$ 254,139	\$ -	\$ 5,789,529	0.00%		IDC Type Costs	Other	\$ 2,293,182	\$ 2,293,182	\$ 2,547,321	\$ 2,547,321	\$ 0
34	V	Indian Health Council, Inc.	California	\$ 9,071,549	\$ -	\$ 267,539	\$ 267,539	\$ 255,796	\$ 9,083,292	42.90%	CY 2017	Other	TDC less PT	\$ 3,743,786	\$ 3,743,786	\$ 4,011,325	\$ 4,011,325	\$ 0
35	V	Karuk Tribe	California	\$ 3,125,826	\$ -	\$ 91,611	\$ 91,611	\$ -	\$ 3,031,219	50.00%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	Salaries Only	\$ 1,473,092	\$ 1,515,610	\$ 1,564,703	\$ 1,607,221	\$ (42,518)
36	V	Northern Valley Indian Health, Inc.	California	\$ 4,433,124	\$ -	\$ 108,288	\$ 108,288	\$ 217,712	\$ 4,323,700	29.00%	CY 2017	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 1,219,741	\$ 1,219,741	\$ 1,328,029	\$ 1,328,029	\$ 0
37	V	Redding Rancheria	California	\$ 6,914,541	\$ -	\$ 555,283	\$ 555,283	\$ 6,762	\$ 7,463,061	48.05%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 3,469,348	\$ 3,469,348	\$ 4,024,631	\$ 4,024,631	\$ (0)
38	V	Riverside-San Bernardino Co Indian Health, Inc.	California	\$ 22,557,873	\$ -	\$ 839,288	\$ 839,288	\$ 971,727	\$ 22,425,434	10%/13.10	FY 2017	Provisional- Split R	TDC less PT	\$ 9,364,875	\$ 9,364,875	\$ 10,204,163	\$ 10,204,163	\$ (0)
39	V	Santa Ynez Band of Chumash Mission Indians	California	\$ 33,273	\$ -	\$ 33,273	\$ 33,273	\$ -	\$ 973,191	58.96%	CY 2016	Fixed w/CF	Salaries Only	\$ 559,808	\$ 559,808	\$ 593,081	\$ 593,081	\$ (0)
40	V	Southern Indian Health Council Inc.	California	\$ 5,567,565	\$ -	\$ 816,642	\$ 816,642	\$ 220,836	\$ 6,163,371	39.50%	FY 2017	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 2,345,896	\$ 2,345,896	\$ 3,162,538	\$ 3,162,538	\$ (0)
41	V	Susanville Indian Rancheria	California	\$ 1,717,095	\$ -	\$ 153,067	\$ 153,067	\$ 312,000	\$ 1,558,163	45.56%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 689,877	\$ 689,877	\$ 842,944	\$ 842,944	\$ (0)
		California Area IHS		\$ 140,486,690	\$ -	\$ 5,577,378	\$ 5,577,376	\$ 3,659,290	\$ 137,647,567					\$ 54,849,103	\$ 54,930,380	\$ 60,426,481	\$ 60,507,756	\$ (81,275)
1	I	Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe	Great Plains	\$ 9,443,221	\$ -	\$ 534,572	\$ 534,572	\$ 2,338,908	\$ 7,638,885	15.93%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 1,216,874	\$ 1,245,287	\$ 1,751,447	\$ 1,779,859	\$ (28,412)
2	I	Flandreau Santee Sioux Tribe	Great Plains	\$ 4,170,520	\$ -	\$ 113,565	\$ 113,565	\$ 1,366,588	\$ 2,917,497	38.63%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 1,127,029	\$ 1,127,029	\$ 1,240,595	\$ 1,240,594	\$ 1
3	I	Lower Brule Sioux Tribe	Great Plains	\$ 1,339,613	\$ -	\$ 60,867	\$ 60,867	\$ 48,900	\$ 1,351,580	12.83%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 173,408	\$ 173,408	\$ 234,275	\$ 234,275	\$ 0
4	I	Oglala Sioux Tribe	Great Plains	\$ 5,266,561	\$ -	\$ 730,126	\$ 730,126	\$ 1,702,196	\$ 4,294,490	45.35%	CY 2017	Provisional	Sal & Fringe	\$ 1,928,992	\$ 1,928,992	\$ 2,659,118	\$ 2,659,118	\$ 0
5	I	Oglala Sioux Tribe Rapid City Service Area	Great Plains	\$ 1,524,390	\$ -	\$ 210,491	\$ 210,491	\$ 676,781	\$ 1,058,100	45.35%	CY 2017	Provisional	Sal & Fringe	\$ 479,848	\$ 432,869	\$ 690,339	\$ 643,360	\$ 46,979
6	I	Oglala Sioux Tribe Security	Great Plains	\$ 83,345	\$ -	\$ 60,447	\$ 60,447	\$ 100,962	\$ 584,831	45.35%	CY 2017	Provisional	Sal & Fringe	\$ 265,221	\$ 239,254	\$ 348,566	\$ 322,599	\$ 25,967
7	I	Omaha Tribe of Nebraska	Great Plains	\$ 9,877,125	\$ -	\$ 1,060,066	\$ 1,060,066	\$ -	\$ 4,270,336	24.17%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	Salaries Only	\$ 936,833	\$ 936,833	\$ 1,996,898	\$ 1,996,899	\$ (1)
8	I	Pierre Indian Learning Center	Great Plains	\$ 161,996	\$ -	\$ 9,898	\$ 9,898	\$ -	\$ 171,894	0.00%		IDC Type Costs	Other	\$ -	\$ 9,898	\$ 9,898	\$ 9,898	\$ (0)
9	I	Ponca Tribe of Nebraska	Great Plains	\$ 5,021,698	\$ -	\$ 110,537	\$ 110,537	\$ 1,979,858	\$ 3,152,377	27.56%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 787,376	\$ 612,450	\$ 897,913	\$ 722,987	\$ 174,926
10	I	Rosebud Sioux Tribe	Great Plains	\$ 3,032,786	\$ -	\$ 145,571	\$ 145,571	\$ 1,938,792	\$ 1,938,792	25.02%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	Salaries Only	\$ 485,086	\$ 485,086	\$ 630,656	\$ 630,657	\$ (1)
11	I	Rosebud Sioux Tribe Solid Waste	Great Plains	\$ 154,931	\$ -	\$ 7,639	\$ 7,639	\$ -	\$ 99,168	25.02%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	Salaries Only	\$ 24,812	\$ 24,812	\$ 32,451	\$ 32,451	\$ 0
12	I	Sac and Fox Tribe of the Mississippi in Iowa	Great Plains	\$ 3,629,956	\$ -	\$ 103,133	\$ 103,133	\$ 2,195,937	\$ 1,537,152	25.42%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 390,744	\$ 390,744	\$ 493,877	\$ 493,877	\$ (0)
13	I	Santee Sioux Nation	Great Plains	\$ 6,722,000	\$ -	\$ 46,837	\$ 46,837	\$ 1,252,326	\$ 5,516,511	14.91%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 822,512	\$ 822,512	\$ 869,349	\$ 869,349	\$ (0)
14	I	Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate	Great Plains	\$ 2,459,837	\$ -	\$ 255,938	\$ 255,938	\$ 1,031,106	\$ 1,684,669	29.94%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 498,032	\$ 441,282	\$ 753,970	\$ 697,220	\$ 66,750
15	I	Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate Quarters	Great Plains	\$ 205,694	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 205,694	29.94%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 61,585	\$ 61,585	\$ 61,585	\$ 61,585	\$ (0)
16	I	Standing Rock Sioux Tribe	Great Plains	\$ 1,697,800	\$ -	\$ 79,153	\$ 79,153	\$ 300,064	\$ 1,476,889	28.07%	FY 2017	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 405,904	\$ 405,904	\$ 485,056	\$ 485,057	\$ (1)
17	I	Standing Rock Sioux Tribe EMS & OEHE	Great Plains	\$ 913,639	\$ -	\$ 43,416	\$ 43,416	\$ 800	\$ 958,255	28.07%	FY 2017	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 268,982	\$ 268,982	\$ 314,398	\$ 314,398	\$ (0)
18	I	Standing Rock Sioux Tribe Youth Wellness	Great Plains	\$ 101,451	\$ -	\$ 5,063	\$ 5,063	\$ 5,000	\$ 101,514	28.07%	FY 2017	Provisional						

FY 2017 Contract Support Costs Data
Summary by Tribe or Tribal Organization

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S
Number of contracting/compacting/T/O per Area	Title I/V	Tribe	Area	Secretarial Funds (R/NR), Including 80% of Area/HQ Shares	Pre-award and Startup Costs	Direct CSC Negotiated Need	Direct CSC Funding Paid	Pass-through and Exclusions	Estimated Final Direct Cost Base	Approved Indirect Cost (IDC) Rate	CV/FY/SY IDC Rate	Type of IDC Rate	Type of Direct Cost Base	Estimated Indirect CSC Need (N/R) (Indirect Costs, Less Credit for 20% Funded in Tribal Shares)	Indirect CSC Funding Paid	Total Estimated CSC Need Indirect + Direct	Total CSC Funding Paid for Estimated CSC Need Indirect + Direct	Estimated CSC Deficiency
9	I	Passamaquoddy Indian Township	Nashville	\$ 2,236,270	\$ -	\$ 105,165	\$ 105,165	\$ 656,329	\$ 1,480,684	68.71%	FY 2017	Provisional	Salaries Only	\$ 1,017,378	\$ 1,017,378	\$ 1,122,543	\$ 1,122,543	\$ 0
10	I	Passamaquoddy Pleasant Point	Nashville	\$ 2,954,523	\$ -	\$ 391,778	\$ 391,778	\$ 412,176	\$ 2,934,125	18.72%	CY 2017	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 549,268	\$ 462,050	\$ 941,046	\$ 853,828	\$ 87,218
11	I	Seneca Nation of Indians	Nashville	\$ 15,379,187	\$ -	\$ 374,106	\$ 374,106	\$ -	\$ 11,653,133	22.06%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	Sal & Fringe	\$ 2,403,948	\$ 2,385,681	\$ 2,778,054	\$ 2,759,787	\$ 18,267
12	I	Tunica-Biloxi Tribe of Louisiana	Nashville	\$ 595,584	\$ -	\$ 14,429	\$ 14,429	\$ -	\$ 610,013	21.18%	CY 2015	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 129,201	\$ 126,270	\$ 143,630	\$ 140,699	\$ 2,931
13	I	United South and Eastern Tribes	Nashville	\$ 822,794	\$ -	\$ 25,095	\$ 25,095	\$ 250,540	\$ 597,349	29.88%	FY 2016	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 170,722	\$ 137,765	\$ 195,817	\$ 162,860	\$ 32,957
14	V	Chitimacha Tribe of Louisiana	Nashville	\$ 1,315,911	\$ -	\$ 120,470	\$ 120,470	\$ -	\$ 744,810	34.94%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	Salaries Only	\$ 217,502	\$ 216,552	\$ 337,972	\$ 337,022	\$ 950
15	V	Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians	Nashville	\$ 22,064,816	\$ -	\$ 968,413	\$ 968,413	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 22,033,229	50.00%	FY 2017	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 9,977,545	\$ 9,908,093	\$ 10,945,958	\$ 10,876,506	\$ 69,452
16	V	Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians	Nashville	\$ 40,934,905	\$ -	\$ 1,208,046	\$ 1,208,046	\$ 3,499,417	\$ 38,643,533	17.93%	FY 2014	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 6,657,794	\$ 7,200,864	\$ 7,865,840	\$ 8,408,910	\$ (543,070)
17	V	Mohegan Tribe of Indians of Connecticut	Nashville	\$ 2,519,559	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 589,035	\$ 1,930,524	30.06%	FY 2014	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 520,643	\$ 520,643	\$ 520,643	\$ 520,643	\$ 0
18	V	Penobscot Indian Nation	Nashville	\$ 3,500,189	\$ -	\$ 164,456	\$ 164,456	\$ -	\$ 1,831,701	52.10%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	Salaries Only	\$ 843,796	\$ 844,698	\$ 1,008,252	\$ 1,009,154	\$ (902)
19	V	Poarch Band of Creek Indians	Nashville	\$ 4,268,703	\$ -	\$ 426,703	\$ 426,703	\$ 1,760,334	\$ 2,655,329	13.44%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 301,265	\$ 524,747	\$ 448,225	\$ 671,708	\$ (223,483)
20	V	Saint Regis Mohawk Tribe	Nashville	\$ 8,502,347	\$ -	\$ 311,346	\$ 311,346	\$ 225,000	\$ 8,588,693	24.23%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 1,915,519	\$ 1,915,519	\$ 2,226,865	\$ 2,226,865	\$ (0)
21	V	Seminole Tribe of Florida	Nashville	\$ 7,796,861	\$ -	\$ 939,724	\$ 939,724	\$ -	\$ 6,117,319	28.32%	FY 2016	Fixed w/CF	Sal & Fringe	\$ 1,550,149	\$ 1,574,448	\$ 2,489,873	\$ 2,514,172	\$ (24,299)
22	V	Wampanoag Tribe of Gay Head (Aquinnah)	Nashville	\$ 778,180	\$ -	\$ 211,645	\$ 211,645	\$ 449,410	\$ 518,109	67.75%	FY 2014	Fixed w/CF	Salaries Only	\$ 320,462	\$ 320,886	\$ 532,107	\$ 532,531	\$ (424)
		Nashville Area IHS		\$ 127,639,892	\$ -	\$ 5,721,722	\$ 5,721,722	\$ 13,136,277	\$ 109,343,688					\$ 29,243,921	\$ 29,855,472	\$ 34,965,643	\$ 35,577,194	\$ (611,551)
1	I	Fort Defiance Indian Hospital Board	Navajo	\$ 49,813,432	\$ -	\$ 7,605,820	\$ 7,605,820	\$ 4,018,849	\$ 53,400,403	30.00%	FY 2017	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 15,620,728	\$ 15,620,728	\$ 23,226,548	\$ 23,226,548	\$ 0
2	I	Navajo Health Foundation	Navajo	\$ 12,474,916	\$ -	\$ 1,519,458	\$ 1,519,458	\$ -	\$ 13,994,373	0.00%	FY 2009	IDC Type Costs	Other	\$ 5,961,419	\$ 903,995	\$ 7,480,877	\$ 1,401,133	\$ 6,079,744
3	I	Navajo Nation - Health Management Services	Navajo	\$ 40,912,188	\$ -	\$ 2,452,835	\$ 2,452,835	\$ 97,986	\$ 43,267,037	17.18%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	Other	\$ 7,166,454	\$ 7,045,002	\$ 9,619,289	\$ 9,497,837	\$ 121,452
4	V	Tuba City Regional Health Care Corporation	Navajo	\$ 44,577,861	\$ -	\$ 2,114,456	\$ 2,114,456	\$ 12,738,796	\$ 33,953,521	28.20%	FY 2017	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 9,140,607	\$ 9,140,607	\$ 11,255,063	\$ 11,255,063	\$ 0
5	V	Utah Navajo Health System	Navajo	\$ 7,592,108	\$ -	\$ 1,791,846	\$ 1,791,846	\$ -	\$ 9,383,954	0.00%	FY 2014	IDC Type Costs	Other	\$ 3,254,367	\$ 3,254,367	\$ 5,046,213	\$ 5,046,213	\$ (0)
6	V	Winslow Indian Health Care Corporation	Navajo	\$ 24,024,680	\$ -	\$ 789,836	\$ 789,836	\$ -	\$ 24,814,516	0.00%	FY 2015	IDC Type Costs	Other	\$ 7,003,153	\$ 7,003,153	\$ 7,792,989	\$ 7,792,989	\$ 0
		Navajo Area IHS		\$ 179,395,185	\$ -	\$ 16,274,251	\$ 15,251,931	\$ 16,855,631	\$ 178,813,805					\$ 48,146,729	\$ 42,967,852	\$ 64,420,980	\$ 58,219,783	\$ 6,201,197
1	I	Apache Tribe of Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	\$ 114,604	\$ -	\$ 7,339	\$ 7,339	\$ -	\$ 121,943	20.14%	CY 2013	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 24,559	\$ 24,559	\$ 31,899	\$ 31,898	\$ 1
2	I	Caddo Nation of Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	\$ 115,498	\$ -	\$ 2,395	\$ 2,395	\$ 327	\$ 117,566	20.14%	FY-2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 23,678	\$ 24,418	\$ 26,073	\$ 26,813	\$ (740)
3	I	Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribes, Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	\$ 1,570,117	\$ -	\$ 263,767	\$ 186,187	\$ 223,023	\$ 1,612,861	22.19%	CY-2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 357,894	\$ 204,884	\$ 623,661	\$ 391,071	\$ 232,590
4	I	Comanche Nation	Oklahoma City	\$ 464,363	\$ -	\$ 44,158	\$ 44,158	\$ 716	\$ 507,805	23.74%	FY-2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 115,475	\$ 115,475	\$ 159,633	\$ 159,633	\$ 0
5	I	Consortium Against Substance Abuse CASA	Oklahoma City	\$ 229,766	\$ -	\$ 3,012	\$ 3,012	\$ -	\$ 232,778	0.00%	FY-2017	IDC Type Costs	Other	\$ 46,597	\$ 46,597	\$ 49,609	\$ 49,609	\$ (0)
6	I	Delaware Nation (Western Ok)	Oklahoma City	\$ 67,891	\$ -	\$ 4,182	\$ 4,182	\$ -	\$ 72,073	29.35%	FY-2015	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 21,154	\$ 21,206	\$ 25,336	\$ 25,388	\$ (52)
7	I	Eastern Shawnee Tribe of Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	\$ 67,167	\$ -	\$ 2,805	\$ 2,805	\$ -	\$ 69,972	25.34%	FY-2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 17,456	\$ 17,456	\$ 20,261	\$ 20,261	\$ (0)
8	I	Fort Sill Apache Tribe of Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	\$ 36,528	\$ -	\$ 2,541	\$ 2,541	\$ -	\$ 39,069	34.03%	CY-2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 13,295	\$ 10,971	\$ 15,836	\$ 13,512	\$ 2,324
9	I	Inter-Tribal Council, Inc.	Oklahoma City	\$ 139,575	\$ -	\$ 10,331	\$ 10,331	\$ -	\$ 149,906	17.50%	FY-2017	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 26,234	\$ 25,920	\$ 36,565	\$ 36,251	\$ 314
10	I	Iowa Tribe of Kansas and Nebraska	Oklahoma City	\$ 52,539	\$ -	\$ 2,574	\$ 2,574	\$ -	\$ 55,113	0.00%	FY-2017	IDC Type Costs	Other	\$ 6,732	\$ 6,732	\$ 9,306	\$ 9,306	\$ 0
11	I	Iowa Tribe of Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	\$ 1,592,850	\$ -	\$ 45,795	\$ 47,215	\$ 234,959	\$ 1,403,685	34.81%	CY-2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 456,570	\$ 456,569	\$ 503,364	\$ 503,784	\$ (420)
12	I	Kickapoo Traditional Tribe of Texas	Oklahoma City	\$ 1,466,814	\$ -	\$ 122,619	\$ 118,109	\$ -	\$ 987,262	6.63%	FY-2015	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 65,455	\$ 188,074	\$ 182,574	\$ 183,564	\$ 4,510
13	I	Kickapoo Tribe of Kansas	Oklahoma City	\$ 1,457,095	\$ -	\$ 60,095	\$ 60,095	\$ 654,752	\$ 862,439	18.36%	CY-2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 138,873	\$ 127,857	\$ 198,968	\$ 187,952	\$ 11,016
14	I	Kiowa Tribe of Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	\$ 342,045	\$ -	\$ 14,267	\$ 233	\$ -	\$ 354,845	43.90%	FY-2014	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 1,467	\$ 47,229	\$ 170,045	\$ 47,262	\$ 122,583
15	I	Lawton Intertribal Health Advisory Board	Oklahoma City	\$ 123,395	\$ -	\$ 8,894	\$ 8,895	\$ -	\$ 132,289	0.00%	FY-2017	IDC Type Costs	Other	\$ 10,663	\$ 10,663	\$ 19,557	\$ 19,558	\$ (1)
16	I	Miami Tribe of Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	\$ 90,316	\$ -	\$ 6,369	\$ 6,369	\$ -	\$ 60,171	21.37%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	Sal & Fringe	\$ 12,859	\$ 12,859	\$ 19,228	\$ 19,228	\$ (0)
17	I	Otoe-Missouria Tribe of Indians	Oklahoma City	\$ 574,586	\$ -	\$ 38,736	\$ 38,736	\$ 15,205	\$ 598,117	28.12%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 163,793	\$ 163,790	\$ 202,529	\$ 202,526	\$ 3
18	I	Ottawa Tribe of Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	\$ 36,197	\$ -	\$ 2,396	\$ 2,396	\$ -	\$ 38,593	20.90%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 8,066	\$ 8,066	\$ 10,462	\$ 10,462	\$ (0)
19	I	Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	\$ 560,146	\$ -	\$ 17,208	\$ 17,208	\$ -	\$ 410,546	51.70%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	Salaries Only	\$ 211,221	\$ 199,090	\$ 228,429	\$ 216,298	\$ 12,131
20	I	Peoria Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	\$ 35,930	\$ -	\$ 4,724	\$ 4,724	\$ -	\$ 40,654	31.76%	FY 2018	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 12,912	\$ 12,912	\$ 17,635	\$ 17,636	\$ (1)
21	I	Ponca Tribe of Oklahoma I	Oklahoma City	\$ 334,232	\$ -	\$ 36,101	\$ 36,101	\$ 30,059	\$ 340,274	14.04%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 47,774	\$ 47,774	\$ 83,875	\$ 83,875	\$ 0
22	I	Sac and Fox Nation of Missouri in Kansas and Nebraska	Oklahoma City	\$ 61,028	\$ -	\$ 2,375	\$ 2,375	\$ 1,076	\$ 62,327	30.27%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 18,866	\$ 17,270	\$ 21,242	\$ 19,645	\$ 1,597
23	I	Seneca-Cayuga Tribe of Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	\$ 192,498	\$ -	\$ 17,204	\$ 17,204	\$ -	\$ 182,635	65.99%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	Sal & Fringe	\$ 120,521	\$ 120,521	\$ 137,725	\$ 137,725	\$ (0)
24	I	Tonkawa Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	\$ 110,732	\$ -	\$ 7,418	\$ 7,418	\$ -	\$ 74,132	48.91%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	Salaries Only	\$ 36,258	\$ 36,258	\$ 43,676	\$ 43,676	\$ (0)
25	I	United Keetowah	Oklahoma City	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%	FY-2017	Fixed w/CF	Other	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
26	I	Wichita and Affiliated Tribes	Oklahoma City	\$ 320,035	\$ -	\$ 38,463	\$ 38,463	\$ 16,404	\$ 342,093	40.39%	FY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 132,091	\$ 131,914	\$ 170,554	\$ 170,377	\$ 177
27	V	Absentee Shawnee Tribe	Oklahoma City	\$ 19,359,128	\$ -	\$ 1,880,388	\$ 1,880,388	\$ 1,251,089	\$ 19,988,427	25.25%	CY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 4,879,436	\$ 4,879,436	\$ 6,759,824	\$ 6,759,824	\$ 0
28	V	Cherokee Nation of Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	\$ 138,559,944	\$ -	\$ 13,363,594	\$ 13,363,594	\$ 30,268,144	\$ 121,655,394	12.61%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 20,108,172	\$ 20,108,172	\$ 33,471,766	\$ 27,781,616	\$ 5,690,150
29	V	Chickasaw Nation	Oklahoma City	\$ 9,170,749	\$ -	\$ 9,849,475	\$ 9,849,475	\$ 13,813,930	\$ 93,206,294	21.07%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 19,028,874	\$ 19,028,874	\$ 28,878,349	\$ 28,878,349	\$ 0
30	V	Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma	Oklahoma City	\$ 84,242,614	\$ 1,888,052.00	\$ 8,079,272	\$ 8,079,272	\$ 14,391,265	\$ 77,930,621	27.20%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 20,517,634	\$ 20,517,634	\$ 28,596,906	\$ 28,596,906	\$ 0
31	V	Citizen Potawatomi Nation	Oklahoma City	\$ 14,545,809	\$ -	\$ 1,756,178												

Indian Health Service
FY 2017 Contract Support Costs Data
Summary by Tribe or Tribal Organization

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S
Number of contracting/compacting T/O per Area	Title IV	Tribe	Area	Secretarial Funds (R/RN), Including 80% of Area/HQ Shares	Pre-award and Startup Costs	Direct CSC Negotiated Need	Direct CSC Funding Paid	Pass-through and Exclusions	Estimated Final Direct Cost Base	Approved Indirect Cost (IDC) Rate	CV/FY/SY IDC Rate	Type of IDC Rate	Type of Direct Cost Base	Estimated Indirect CSC Need (N/R), Indirect Costs, Less Credit for 20% Funded in Tribal Shares)	Indirect CSC Funding Paid	Total Estimated CSC Need Indirect + Direct	Total CSC Funding Paid for Estimated CSC Need Indirect + Direct	Estimated CSC Deficiency
5	1	Colorado River Indian Tribes	Phoenix	\$ 1,017,326	\$ -	\$ 46,292	\$ 46,292	\$ -	\$ 1,063,618	24.49%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 260,480	\$ 259,071	\$ 306,773	\$ 305,363	\$ 1,410
6	1	Confederated Tribes of the Goshute Reservation - Ibabah Clinic	Phoenix	\$ 248,102	\$ -	\$ 24,812	\$ 24,812	\$ -	\$ 272,914	18.09%	FY 2017	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 49,172	\$ -	\$ 73,984	\$ 24,812	\$ 49,172
7	1	Confederated Tribes of the Goshute Reservation - Sacred Circle	Phoenix	\$ 9,031	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 9,031	10.00%	Indefinite	de Minimis	TDC less PT	\$ 903	\$ 890	\$ 903	\$ 890	\$ 13
8	1	Elko Band Council	Phoenix	\$ 189,868	\$ -	\$ 8,168	\$ 8,168	\$ 39,919	\$ 158,117	33.04%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 52,242	\$ 46,448	\$ 60,410	\$ 54,616	\$ 5,794
9	1	Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Tribe	Phoenix	\$ 1,992,510	\$ -	\$ 79,911	\$ 79,911	\$ -	\$ 653,205	36.10%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	Salaries Only	\$ 210,374	\$ 211,079	\$ 290,286	\$ 290,990	\$ (704)
10	1	Fort Mojave Indian Tribe	Phoenix	\$ 4,786,287	\$ -	\$ 57,462	\$ 57,462	\$ 1,030,046	\$ 3,813,703	25.50%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 937,366	\$ 900,969	\$ 994,828	\$ 958,431	\$ 36,397
11	1	Fort McDermitt Paiute- Shoshone Tribe - CHR Program	Phoenix	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	58.02%	FY 2016	Fixed w/CF	Salaries Only	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
12	1	Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation	Phoenix	\$ 1,103,675	\$ -	\$ 118,773	\$ 118,773	\$ -	\$ 1,222,448	31.11%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 368,571	\$ 368,571	\$ 487,344	\$ 487,344	\$ 0
13	1	Havasupai Tribe	Phoenix	\$ 132,972	\$ -	\$ 7,653	\$ 7,653	\$ 11,436	\$ 129,189	18.00%	FY 2015	Predetermined	TDC less PT	\$ 23,254	\$ 22,976	\$ 30,907	\$ 30,629	\$ 278
14	1	Hopi - BHS	Phoenix	\$ 1,171,598	\$ -	\$ 64,645	\$ 64,645	\$ 188,909	\$ 1,047,334	0.00%	N/A	IDC Type Costs	Other	\$ 285,174	\$ 285,174	\$ 349,819	\$ 349,819	\$ 0
15	1	Hopi - Health Administration-CHR-EMS	Phoenix	\$ 2,253,799	\$ -	\$ 98,998	\$ 98,998	\$ 357,683	\$ 1,995,114	0.00%	N/A	IDC Type Costs	Other	\$ 539,952	\$ 539,952	\$ 638,950	\$ 638,950	\$ (0)
16	1	Hualapai Indian Tribe - ASAP, CHR, & MH Programs	Phoenix	\$ 514,458	\$ -	\$ 28,242	\$ 28,242	\$ 28,294	\$ 514,406	21.75%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 111,883	\$ 111,880	\$ 140,125	\$ 140,122	\$ 3
17	1	Hualapai Indian Tribe - EMS Program	Phoenix	\$ 347,465	\$ -	\$ 55,201	\$ 55,201	\$ -	\$ 402,666	21.75%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 87,580	\$ 86,924	\$ 142,780	\$ 142,125	\$ 655
18	1	Kaibab Band of Paiute Indians	Phoenix	\$ 390,485	\$ -	\$ 31,366	\$ 31,366	\$ -	\$ 421,851	25.00%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 105,463	\$ 105,395	\$ 136,828	\$ 136,761	\$ 67
19	1	Loveclock Paiute Tribe	Phoenix	\$ 150,948	\$ -	\$ 11,910	\$ 11,910	\$ -	\$ 85,141	70.52%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	Salaries Only	\$ 60,041	\$ 60,041	\$ 71,951	\$ 71,951	\$ 0
20	1	Moapa Band of Paiutes	Phoenix	\$ 6,784	\$ -	\$ 16,354	\$ 16,354	\$ -	\$ 84,138	18.98%	CY 2014	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 15,665	\$ 15,665	\$ 32,323	\$ 32,019	\$ 304
21	1	Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah	Phoenix	\$ 2,190,575	\$ -	\$ 236,684	\$ 236,684	\$ 1,053,117	\$ 1,374,142	30.61%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 420,625	\$ 389,295	\$ 657,309	\$ 625,979	\$ 31,330
22	1	Pascua Yaqui Tribe - Phoenix	Phoenix	\$ 1,398,873	\$ -	\$ 27,890	\$ 27,890	\$ -	\$ 1,426,763	37.97%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 541,742	\$ 541,742	\$ 569,632	\$ 569,632	\$ 0
23	1	Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe	Phoenix	\$ 3,177,521	\$ -	\$ 161,311	\$ 161,311	\$ 1,055,400	\$ 2,283,432	20.95%	CY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 477,075	\$ 477,075	\$ 638,386	\$ 638,386	\$ (0)
24	1	Quechan Tribe - ASAP Program	Phoenix	\$ 520,046	\$ -	\$ 16,774	\$ 16,774	\$ 10,157	\$ 526,663	32.00%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 168,532	\$ 173,612	\$ 185,306	\$ 190,386	\$ (5,080)
25	1	Quechan Tribe - CHR Program	Phoenix	\$ 285,088	\$ -	\$ 19,469	\$ 19,469	\$ -	\$ 304,557	32.00%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 97,458	\$ 99,202	\$ 116,928	\$ 117,871	\$ (1,743)
26	1	Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community - Title I Contract	Phoenix	\$ 1,781,824	\$ -	\$ 128,218	\$ 128,218	\$ 165,213	\$ 1,744,829	14.90%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 249,676	\$ 249,676	\$ 377,894	\$ 377,894	\$ (0)
27	1	San Carlos Apache Tribe - Combined Health Care Programs	Phoenix	\$ 7,181,617	\$ -	\$ 495,924	\$ 495,924	\$ 87,844	\$ 7,589,697	19.46%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 1,447,870	\$ 1,854,107	\$ 1,943,794	\$ 2,350,031	\$ (406,237)
28	1	San Carlos Apache Tribe - Hospital Services - SCAHC, Inc.	Phoenix	\$ 35,023,625	\$ -	\$ 2,609,582	\$ 2,609,582	\$ -	\$ 37,633,207	26.10%	FY 2017	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 8,616,162	\$ 8,616,075	\$ 11,225,744	\$ 11,225,657	\$ 87
29	1	San Lucy District of the Tohono O'odham Nation	Phoenix	\$ 250,919	\$ -	\$ 6,925	\$ 6,925	\$ -	\$ 257,844	0.00%	Other	Other	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,925	\$ 6,925	\$ -	
30	1	South Fork Band Council of Te-Moak Tribes of Western Shoshone	Phoenix	\$ 137,161	\$ -	\$ 33,108	\$ 33,108	\$ 13,010	\$ 177,259	22.30%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 34,628	\$ 28,117	\$ 67,736	\$ 61,225	\$ 6,511
31	1	Tonto Apache Indian Tribe	Phoenix	\$ 270,818	\$ -	\$ 2,551	\$ 2,551	\$ 149,245	\$ 124,124	10.00%	Indefinite	de Minimis	TDC less PT	\$ 12,412	\$ 12,351	\$ 14,964	\$ 14,902	\$ 62
32	1	Ute Indian Tribe - ASAP Program	Phoenix	\$ 502,465	\$ -	\$ 9,842	\$ 9,842	\$ -	\$ 291,650	64.00%	FY 2015	Fixed w/CF	Salaries Only	\$ 186,656	\$ 186,656	\$ 196,498	\$ 196,498	\$ (0)
33	1	Ute Indian Tribe - CHR Program	Phoenix	\$ 265,377	\$ -	\$ 12,304	\$ 12,304	\$ -	\$ 184,398	64.00%	FY 2015	Fixed w/CF	Salaries Only	\$ 118,015	\$ 118,015	\$ 130,319	\$ 130,319	\$ (0)
34	1	Ute Indian Tribe - HE Program	Phoenix	\$ 95,742	\$ -	\$ 5,927	\$ 5,927	\$ -	\$ 42,507	64.00%	FY 2015	Fixed w/CF	Salaries Only	\$ 27,204	\$ 27,204	\$ 33,132	\$ 33,131	\$ 1
35	1	Walker River Paiute Tribe	Phoenix	\$ 2,594,869	\$ -	\$ 135,899	\$ 135,899	\$ 1,214,726	\$ 1,516,041	18.10%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 254,273	\$ 313,869	\$ 390,172	\$ 449,768	\$ (59,596)
36	1	Wells Band of the Te-Moak Tribe of Western Shoshone Indians	Phoenix	\$ 100,526	\$ -	\$ 38,763	\$ 38,763	\$ -	\$ 139,289	31.51%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 43,498	\$ 26,260	\$ 82,261	\$ 65,023	\$ 17,238
37	1	White Mountain Apache Tribe - Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	Phoenix	\$ 588,165	\$ -	\$ 32,349	\$ 32,349	\$ -	\$ 620,514	30.52%	CY 2015	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 189,381	\$ 188,462	\$ 221,730	\$ 220,811	\$ 919
38	1	WMAT - Alcohol	Phoenix	\$ 601,864	\$ -	\$ 18,003	\$ 18,003	\$ -	\$ 619,867	17.75%	FY 2014	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 110,026	\$ 110,026	\$ 128,029	\$ 128,029	\$ 0
39	1	WMAT - CHR	Phoenix	\$ 719,337	\$ -	\$ 43,978	\$ 43,978	\$ 210,015	\$ 553,300	17.75%	FY 2014	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 98,210	\$ 98,210	\$ 142,189	\$ 142,188	\$ 1
40	1	WMAT - EMS	Phoenix	\$ 611,545	\$ -	\$ 42,366	\$ 42,366	\$ 19,959	\$ 633,952	17.75%	FY 2014	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 112,526	\$ 110,164	\$ 154,892	\$ 152,530	\$ 2,362
41	1	WMAT - Health Authority/Health Education	Phoenix	\$ 379,886	\$ -	\$ 20,555	\$ 20,555	\$ 27,069	\$ 373,372	17.75%	FY 2014	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 66,274	\$ 65,270	\$ 86,829	\$ 85,825	\$ 1,004
42	1	WMAT - Patient Transport	Phoenix	\$ 141,139	\$ -	\$ 2,237	\$ 2,237	\$ 101,895	\$ 41,481	17.75%	FY 2014	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 7,363	\$ 6,817	\$ 9,599	\$ 9,054	\$ 545
43	1	Yavapai-Apache Nation	Phoenix	\$ 379,479	\$ -	\$ 7,924	\$ 7,924	\$ -	\$ 327,763	61.50%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	Salaries Only	\$ 201,574	\$ 201,574	\$ 209,498	\$ 209,498	\$ 0
44	1	Yavapai-Prescott Indian Tribe	Phoenix	\$ 300,095	\$ -	\$ 3,573	\$ 3,573	\$ -	\$ 157,552	70.00%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	Salaries Only	\$ 110,286	\$ 110,286	\$ 113,859	\$ 113,859	\$ 0
45	1	Yomba Shoshone Tribe	Phoenix	\$ 167,793	\$ -	\$ 10,537	\$ 10,537	\$ -	\$ 178,330	18.41%	CY 2015	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 32,831	\$ 43,368	\$ 58,137	\$ 58,137	\$ (14,769)
46	V	Duckwater Shoshone Tribe	Phoenix	\$ 1,091,805	\$ -	\$ 194,800	\$ 194,800	\$ 151,000	\$ 1,135,605	48.82%	CY 2016	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 534,240	\$ 539,501	\$ 729,041	\$ 734,301	\$ (5,260)
47	V	Ely Shoshone Tribe	Phoenix	\$ 1,309,459	\$ -	\$ 61,434	\$ 61,434	\$ 37,996	\$ 1,332,897	30.84%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 384,426	\$ 342,657	\$ 445,861	\$ 404,091	\$ 41,770
48	V	Fort McDermitt Paiute-Shoshone Tribe	Phoenix	\$ 1,650,127	\$ 1,163,040.00	\$ 1,169,840	\$ 1,170,279	\$ -	\$ 2,819,967	27.38%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 754,540	\$ 432,819	\$ 1,924,290	\$ 1,603,098	\$ 321,192
49	V	Gila River Healthcare Corporation	Phoenix	\$ 39,486,077	\$ -	\$ 1,498,440	\$ 1,498,440	\$ 74,900	\$ 40,909,616	28.47%	FY 2016	Provisional	TDC less PT	\$ 11,390,555	\$ 11,492,587	\$ 12,888,995	\$ 12,991,027	\$ (102,032)
50	V	Gila River Indian Community	Phoenix	\$ 2,099,624	\$ -	\$ 212,377	\$ 212,377	\$ 534,904	\$ 1,777,097	21.90%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 355,848	\$ 365,548	\$ 568,225	\$ 577,925	\$ (9,700)
51	V	Las Vegas Paiute Tribe	Phoenix	\$ 3,451,296	\$ -	\$ 116,363	\$ 116,363	\$ 609,000	\$ 2,958,659	11.40%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 321,469	\$ 366,869	\$ 437,832	\$ 483,232	\$ (45,400)
52	V	Reno-Sparks Indian Colony	Phoenix	\$ 7,234,971	\$ -	\$ 656,100	\$ 656,100	\$ 1,268,468	\$ 6,622,603	36.22%	CY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 2,284,242	\$ 2,283,777	\$ 2,940,342	\$ 2,939,877	\$ 465
53	V	Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community - Title V Compact	Phoenix	\$ 90,596	\$ 1,677,121.98	\$ 1,680,665	\$ 1,485,024	\$ 43,820	\$ 1,727,441	14.90%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 256,810	\$ 42,483	\$ 1,937,475	\$ 1,527,507	\$ 409,968
54	V	Shoshone-Paiute Tribes - Duck Valley Indian Reservation	Phoenix	\$ 7,216,641	\$ -	\$ 748,879	\$ 748,879	\$ 2,725,000	\$ 5,240,521	32.73%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 1,665,441	\$ 1,665,393	\$ 2,414,320	\$ 2,414,272	\$ 48
55	V	Washoe Tribe of Nevada and California	Phoenix	\$ 5,366,026	\$ -	\$ 229,140	\$ 229,140	\$ 2,325,000	\$ 3,270,165	47.85%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 1,499,142	\$ 379,564	\$ 1,728,282	\$ 608,704	\$ 1,119,578
56	V	Yerington Paiute Tribe	Phoenix	\$ 2,090,242	\$ -	\$ 101,116	\$ 101,116	\$ 860,389	\$ 1,330,970	23.00%	CY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 275,223	\$ 275,043	\$ 376,340	\$ 376,159	\$ 181
		Phoenix Area IHS		\$ 145,886,845	\$ 2,840,162	\$ 11,500,219	\$ 11,305,017	\$ 14,489,014	\$ 140,779,329					\$ 36,653,286	\$ 35,457,292	\$ 48,153,505	\$ 46,762,309	\$ 1,391,196
1																		

**Indian Health Service
FY 2017 Contract Support Costs Data
Summary by Tribe or Tribal Organization**

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S
Number of contracting T/TO per Area	Title I/V	Tribe	Area	Secretarial Funds (R/NR), Including 80% of Area/HQ Shares	Pre-award and Startup Costs	Direct CSC Negotiated Need	Direct CSC Funding Paid	Pass-through and Exclusions	Estimated Final Direct Cost Base	Approved Indirect Cost (IDC) Rate	CY/FY/SY IDC Rate	Type of IDC Rate	Type of Direct Cost Base	Estimated Indirect CSC Need (N/R) (Indirect Costs, Less Credit for 20% Funded in Tribal Shares)	Indirect CSC Funding Paid	Total Estimated CSC Need Indirect + Direct	Total CSC Funding Paid for Estimated CSC Need Indirect + Direct	Estimated CSC Deficiency
20	I	Stillaguamish Tribe of Indians	Portland	\$ 563,270	-	\$ 19,639	\$ 18,975	-	\$ 582,909	34.46%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 200,870	\$ 150,082	\$ 220,510	\$ 169,057	\$ 51,453
21	I	Upper Skagit Indian Tribe	Portland	\$ 1,495,803	-	\$ 42,100	\$ 40,676	-	\$ 1,537,903	36.24%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 557,336	\$ 570,369	\$ 599,436	\$ 611,045	\$ (11,609)
22	V	Coeur d'Alene Tribe	Portland	\$ 6,204,394	-	\$ 1,371,041	\$ 1,324,677	\$ 186,353	\$ 7,389,082	0.00%		Other		\$ 3,316,821	\$ 3,244,033	\$ 4,687,862	\$ 4,568,710	\$ 119,152
23	V	Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lower Umpqua and Siuslaw	Portland	\$ 1,832,184	-	\$ 289,422	\$ 279,635	\$ 891,920	\$ 1,229,686	45.86%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 534,925	\$ 526,630	\$ 824,347	\$ 806,265	\$ 18,082
24	V	Confederated Tribes of Siletz Indians	Portland	\$ 7,972,732	-	\$ 740,459	\$ 715,419	-	\$ 4,777,269	47.74%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	Sal & Fringe	\$ 2,170,010	\$ 2,345,380	\$ 2,910,469	\$ 3,060,799	\$ (150,330)
25	V	Confederated Tribes of the Grand Ronde Community of Or	Portland	\$ 6,851,529	-	\$ 536,427	\$ 536,427	\$ 10,375	\$ 7,377,581	36.45%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 2,582,829	\$ 2,567,895	\$ 3,119,256	\$ 3,104,322	\$ 14,934
26	V	Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation	Portland	\$ 7,823,695	-	\$ 727,342	\$ 702,746	\$ 285,219	\$ 8,265,818	35.91%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 2,888,516	\$ 2,130,057	\$ 3,615,858	\$ 2,832,803	\$ 783,055
27	V	Coquille Indian Tribe	Portland	\$ 2,087,580	-	\$ 229,716	\$ 221,948	\$ 53,070	\$ 2,264,226	63.92%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 1,409,014	\$ 1,391,735	\$ 1,638,731	\$ 1,613,683	\$ 25,048
28	V	Cow Creek Band of Umpqua Tribe of Indians	Portland	\$ 3,711,437	-	\$ 189,251	\$ 182,851	\$ 665,281	\$ 3,235,406	19.24%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 595,596	\$ 570,105	\$ 784,847	\$ 752,956	\$ 31,891
29	V	Cowlitz Indian Tribe	Portland	\$ 3,238,771	-	\$ 22,885	\$ 22,111	\$ 103,316	\$ 3,158,340	17.39%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 539,420	\$ 994,002	\$ 562,305	\$ 1,016,113	\$ (453,808)
30	V	Jamestown S'Klallam Tribe	Portland	\$ 1,305,246	-	\$ 90,868	\$ 87,795	\$ 28,927	\$ 1,367,187	48.73%	FY 2015	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 636,743	\$ 476,428	\$ 727,611	\$ 564,223	\$ 163,388
31	V	Kalispel Tribe of Indians	Portland	\$ 1,121,093	-	\$ 21,318	\$ 20,597	\$ 547,470	\$ 994,941	13.38%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 71,233	\$ 62,726	\$ 92,551	\$ 83,323	\$ 9,228
32	V	Kootenai Tribe of Idaho	Portland	\$ 654,059	-	\$ 74,210	\$ 71,700	-	\$ 319,359	35.09%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	Sal & Fringe	\$ 95,967	\$ 134,436	\$ 170,176	\$ 206,136	\$ (35,960)
33	V	Lower Elwha Band of the S'Klallam Tribe	Portland	\$ 1,926,071	-	\$ 107,731	\$ 104,088	\$ 426,925	\$ 1,606,877	29.86%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 446,348	\$ 402,195	\$ 554,079	\$ 506,283	\$ 47,796
34	V	Lummi Indian Business Council	Portland	\$ 8,448,820	-	\$ 266,803	\$ 257,781	\$ 1,898,052	\$ 6,817,571	55.51%	CY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 3,664,584	\$ 2,996,229	\$ 3,931,388	\$ 3,254,010	\$ 677,378
35	V	Makah Tribal Council	Portland	\$ 4,107,749	-	\$ 301,502	\$ 291,306	\$ 1,879,562	\$ 2,529,688	32.80%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 782,338	\$ 774,245	\$ 1,083,840	\$ 1,065,551	\$ 18,289
36	V	Muckleshoot	Portland	\$ 7,464,043	-	\$ 207,580	\$ 200,560	-	\$ 6,210,800	47.89%	CY 2016	Fixed w/CF	Salaries Only	\$ 2,916,991	\$ 2,921,832	\$ 3,124,571	\$ 3,122,392	\$ 2,179
37	V	Nez Perce	Portland	\$ 9,251,992	-	\$ 414,628	\$ 400,607	\$ 11,000	\$ 9,655,620	18.25%	FY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 1,706,250	\$ 1,866,669	\$ 2,120,878	\$ 2,267,276	\$ (146,398)
38	V	Nisqually Indian Tribe	Portland	\$ 2,357,613	-	\$ 114,561	\$ 110,687	\$ 996,532	\$ 1,475,642	26.19%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 350,462	\$ 475,481	\$ 465,023	\$ 586,168	\$ (121,145)
39	V	Port Gamble S'Klallam Tribe	Portland	\$ 2,738,673	-	\$ 141,036	\$ 136,267	-	\$ 1,914,229	72.06%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	Sal & Fringe	\$ 1,332,568	\$ 1,339,176	\$ 1,473,604	\$ 1,475,443	\$ (1,839)
40	V	Quinalt Indian Nation	Portland	\$ 5,881,020	-	\$ 227,461	\$ 219,769	\$ 2,435,580	\$ 3,672,901	49.15%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 1,722,653	\$ 1,591,948	\$ 1,950,113	\$ 1,811,717	\$ 138,396
41	V	Shoalwater Bay Indian Tribe	Portland	\$ 1,800,250	-	\$ 290,787	\$ 280,954	\$ 285,000	\$ 1,806,038	45.16%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 798,934	\$ 933,116	\$ 1,089,721	\$ 1,214,070	\$ (124,349)
42	V	Skokomish Indian Tribe	Portland	\$ 2,219,020	-	\$ 116,072	\$ 112,147	\$ 380,000	\$ 1,955,092	29.20%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 534,447	\$ 505,649	\$ 650,519	\$ 617,796	\$ 32,723
43	V	Squaxin Island Tribe	Portland	\$ 2,904,262	-	\$ 204,632	\$ 197,712	\$ 10,375	\$ 3,098,519	40.24%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 1,210,401	\$ 1,142,734	\$ 1,415,033	\$ 1,340,446	\$ 74,587
44	V	Suquamish Tribe	Portland	\$ 1,697,339	-	\$ 153,205	\$ 148,024	-	\$ 1,832,981	42.15%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	Sal & Fringe	\$ 745,873	\$ 655,562	\$ 899,078	\$ 803,586	\$ 95,492
45	V	Swinomish Indian Tribal Community	Portland	\$ 2,322,905	-	\$ 183,478	\$ 177,273	-	\$ 2,842,503	44.04%	CY 2017	Fixed w/CF	Sal & Fringe	\$ 1,214,226	\$ 572,262	\$ 1,397,704	\$ 749,535	\$ 648,169
46	V	Tulalip Tribes of Washington	Portland	\$ 7,503,658	-	\$ 329,097	\$ 317,968	\$ 652,748	\$ 7,180,007	24.07%	CY 2016	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 1,671,323	\$ 1,647,342	\$ 2,000,419	\$ 1,965,310	\$ 35,109
		Portland Area IHS		\$ 175,101,193	-	\$ 11,367,113	\$ 10,976,377	\$ 21,883,572	\$ 148,652,248					\$ 47,569,572	\$ 45,004,977	\$ 58,936,685	\$ 55,981,354	\$ 2,955,331
1	I	Pascua Yaqui Tribe - Tucson	Tucson	\$ 13,826,820	-	\$ 149,682	\$ 149,682	\$ 9,447,277	\$ 4,529,225	37.97%	FY 2017	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 1,698,761	\$ 1,698,761	\$ 1,848,443	\$ 1,848,443	\$ (0)
2	V	Tohono O'dham Nation	Tucson	\$ 68,202,061	-	\$ 2,347,293	\$ 2,347,293	\$ 39,369,107	\$ 31,180,247	20.12%	FY 2015	Fixed w/CF	TDC less PT	\$ 5,846,355	\$ 5,846,355	\$ 8,193,648	\$ 8,193,648	\$ (0)
		Tucson Area IHS		\$ 82,028,882	-	\$ 2,496,975	\$ 2,496,975	\$ 48,816,384	\$ 35,709,473					\$ 7,545,116	\$ 7,545,116	\$ 10,042,091	\$ 10,042,091	\$ (0)
		TOTALS		\$ 2,223,423,887	\$ 5,037,397	\$ 180,288,506	\$ 178,138,584	\$ 304,123,641	\$ 1,973,529,668					\$ 541,924,261	\$ 526,880,618	\$ 722,212,767	\$ 705,019,202	\$ 17,193,565

NOTE: This report was prepared based on prior-year funding amounts as a budget tool to estimate the aggregate CSC need for future-year appropriations. The report relies on the information available to the IHS at the time, i.e., between October 1, 2016 and December 31, 2017, and largely includes only estimated costs and not the actual costs to a T/TO of carrying out its ISDEAA contracts and compacts. Further, the estimates may be based on incomplete information if the documentation is in the possession of the T/TO and has not been made available to the IHS. For example, the IHS can identify the appropriate pass-through and exclusion amounts in order to determine the estimated final direct cost base in column J only if the T/TO provides the IHS with the information. For these and other reasons, the contents of this report do not represent an assessment of the amount due under any contract or compact with any T/TO and do not represent an admission of liability.