

# Prenatal HIV Screening

Preliminary Results  
Brigg Reilley  
HIV Surveillance Coordinator

[Brigg.Reilley@ihs.gov](mailto:Brigg.Reilley@ihs.gov)



# HIV Screening

- 1985: protect blood supply
- 1987: high risk groups
- 1993: voluntary counseling/testing encouraged in patients 15-54 years
- 1995: prenatal testing
- 2001: opt-out for prenatal testing
- 2006: routine opt-out for all

# Main Modes of Transmission: Women

- Heterosexual: 69%
- Injecting Drug Use (IDU): 29%

# Prenatal HIV Screening Rates

2006 (GPRA FY 2006)

- Nationwide IHS, approx 65%
- California Area 34%

# Opt-out Changes Everything

Reduces stigma, saves time, increases rates

- “opt-out” initiated in 2001
  - “ . . .the average annual percent increase in the number of HIV tests among females was 1.4%. In the month immediately following the adoption of opt-out testing, the rate increased by 28%.”

# Opt-out

- Inform patient (instead of asking permission)
- Makes HIV screening same as other infectious diseases such as syphilis
- Get rid of the consent form
- Some Service Units have a form for woman to 'actively decline'

# Why Test?

- Earliest possible detection and intervention prevents mother-to-child transmission
- Early detection gets mother on tx immediately
- National standard
- Legal exposure of provider/unit if they do not test

# Transmission Rates

- Studies have shown transmission rates of less than 2% among HIV infected mothers who started antiretroviral treatment during pregnancy
- Those who did not begin treatment until labor or after birth had transmission rates of 12-13%
- Infants whose mothers receive no preventive treatment contract HIV at a rate of 25%

# When Do I Screen?

- As early as possible in pregnancy with other routine blood tests
- Should be done a second time in 3rd trimester if risk factors and in 'jurisdictions' with higher prevalence
- Rapid test for late presenters

# Prenatal HIV Testing/GPRA

Preliminary field results based on  
7 sites

# Diagnosing Problem

- All sites believed they have excellent screening
- Wide variety of screening problems, often 1-2 “main” reasons
- First step is to diagnose by running a CRS program (patient list)

# CRS program

- Very simple, steps can be emailed to facility
- Can pull sample of charts of patients who are entered in RPMS as:
  - 1) pregnant (in 2005)
  - 2) not tested for HIV

# Findings

- 2 types of problems
  - Clinical (not testing)
  - Data (testing not recorded in RPMS)

# Clinical problems

Not screening:

- 1) Not using opt-out
- 2) Transfer patients to other facility, not include HIV in early bloodwork
- 3) Patient considered to not have risk factors

# Clinical problems (con't)

- 4) “Carrying over” HIV test from last pregnancy
- 5) Women decline test: often provider-specific

# Data Problems

Women screened, but not recorded:

- 1) Lack of data entry (HIV test in chart)
- 2) No historical data entered if tested at other facility
- 3) Lab not linked to RPMS

# Data problems (con't)

## 4) Miscoding:

- 1) women declined test
- 2) problems in change to EHR
- 3) woman not pregnant

# Large vs. Small Facilities

- Small clinics tended to have women transfer out, lost to follow up, or assumed that larger clinic would test
- Small clinic primary providers reluctant to test:
  - what if it is positive?
  - I'm not a counselor! No Time!
  - assumptions on patient confidentiality
  - patient transferred out anyway
  - no treatment here

# Large vs. Small Clinics

- Larger service units assumed field clinics did the test
- Received women at last minute (but still should have tested)

# Generalizing Problems by GPRA rate

- Clinics  $<80\%$  have a systemic clinical and/or informational gap.
- Clinics with  $>80\%$  have misses that are more difficult to solve (for example, women that never return after initial HCG+)

# Misses in Other ID Tests

- Most misses in Hep B and RPR
- More reliable for G/C
- Reasons not clear, possibly linked to transfers or low disease prevalence
- Nearly all had basic bloodwork done, misses limited mainly to ID

# Recommendations: Identifying the problem

- Have service unit run CRS to identify non-screened prenatal women
- Perform chart review
- Give prompt feedback, “blame the system” not the individual

# Recommendations: Clinical

- HIV Test as soon as possible
- Ensure opt-out understood
- Overcome primary providers 'not my job' sentiment if it is present

# Recommendations: Clinical

- Follow up defaulter cases as these likely to be at highest risk
- Rapid tests for late presenters
- Providers have HIV support: referral and consultation help is available for persons who test positive

# Recommendations: Data

- Historical data must be entered, cannot refer out data responsibility with the patient. No reason for 0% screening.
- Not large additional workload for small clinic (<50 prenatal patients/yr)