


# FY 2010 Urban Program GPRA Results



National GPRA Support Team

California Area Office  
Indian Health Service



**FOR MORE INFORMATION, CONTACT:**

**Francis Frazier**

National GPRA Coordinator  
Francis.Frazier@ihs.gov

**Diane Leach**

Acting National GPRA Coordinator/Public Health Advisor (OPHS)  
Diane.Leach@ihs.gov

**Phyllis Wolfe**

Director of Urban Programs  
Phyllis.Wolfe@ihs.gov

**Danielle Steward**

Health Systems Specialist  
Danielle.Steward@ihs.gov

**Amy Patterson**

Public Health Analyst (NGST)  
Amy.Patterson@ihs.gov

**Elaine Brinn**

Program Analyst (NGST)  
Elaine.Brinn@ihs.gov

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>FY 2010 Urban Program Results Summary and Analysis</b> .....	5
<b>Final Urban Dashboard (CRS Programs)</b> .....	8
<b>Final Urban Dashboard (All Programs)</b> .....	9
<b>Aberdeen Area:</b>	
Nebraska Urban Indian Health Coalition .....	11
South Dakota Urban Indian Health .....	12
<b>Albuquerque Area:</b>	
First Nations Community Healthsource (Albuquerque) .....	13
Denver Indian Health and Family Services .....	14
<b>Bemidji Area:</b>	
American Indian Health Service of Chicago .....	15
American Indian Health and Family Services (Detroit) .....	16
Gerald L. Ignace Indian Health Center (Milwaukee) .....	17
Indian Health Board of Minneapolis, Inc .....	18
<b>Billings Area:</b>	
Indian Health Board of Billings .....	19
North American Indian Alliance (Butte) .....	20
Indian Family Health Clinic (Great Falls) .....	21
Helena Indian Alliance .....	22
Missoula Indian Center .....	23

**California Area:**

Bakersfield Indian Health Center .....24

Fresno Native American Health Center .....25

United American Indian Involvement (Los Angeles) .....26

Native American Health Center Oakland/San Francisco.....27

Sacramento Native American Health Center.....28

San Diego American Indian Health Center .....29

Indian Health Center of Santa Clara Valley (San Jose).....30

Santa Barbara Indian Health Center .....31

**Nashville Area:**

The American Indian Community House of New York City, Inc.....32

**Navajo Area:**

NACA Family Health Center (Flagstaff).....33

**Oklahoma Area:**

Urban Inter-Tribal Center of Texas (Dallas) .....34

Oklahoma City Urban Clinic .....35

Indian Health Resource (Tulsa) .....36

Hunter Health Clinic (Wichita).....37

**Phoenix Area:**

Native American Community Health Center (Phoenix) .....38

Nevada Urban Indians (Reno) .....	39
Indian Walk-In Center (Salt Lake City).....	40
<b>Portland Area:</b>	
Native American Rehabilitation Association of the Northwest, Inc (Portland) .....	41
Seattle Indian Health Board.....	42
Native Health Clinic of Spokane .....	43
<b>Tucson Area:</b>	
Tucson Indian Center .....	44
<b>Appendix 1: Urban Program Results—Data Sources .....</b>	<b>45</b>

## **FY 2010 URBAN PROGRAM RESULTS SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS**

### **Introduction**

In FY 2010, 34\* urban programs reported GPRA data. The final results for all urban programs are in this report, including individual dashboards for each program, and two aggregate dashboards.

### **Background and Data Collection**

IHS has been collecting GPRA data from urban programs since FY 2006. Like Tribal programs and unlike Federal-Direct programs, urban programs are not required to use the Resource Patient Management System (RPMS) as their patient data management system. However, unlike Tribal programs, urban programs are required to report GPRA data in order to receive funding.

RPMS programs use the Clinical Reporting System (CRS), a software application that runs off of RPMS, to report GPRA data. CRS data is validated and the measure logic is updated at least annually. Therefore, data from different CRS sites can be combined and reported as an aggregate rate. Because many urban programs were not using RPMS when urban GPRA performance reporting commenced in FY 2006, the National GPRA Support Team (NGST), which is responsible for the collection of GPRA data, created special reporting instructions for urban programs. These instructions included Excel templates for performance reporting with placeholders for results for each measure. Programs not running RPMS/CRS were required to do an audit of records for each GPRA measure and submit their GPRA results using the Excel template. Programs were encouraged to do a 100% audit of records, but were given instructions for doing a sample audit with a 95% confidence interval as an option. Most programs not running RPMS opted for a 100% audit, but a significant number opted to do a sample audit. Programs were allowed to perform an electronic audit of their records using their own information system, but manual sample or 100% audits were also accepted. The data that came from either 100% or sample audits at non-RPMS sites was not validated by any external source. However, until FY 2010, any non-RPMS data that represented a 100% audit of records was combined with CRS data for the purposes of urban GPRA reporting.

Due to the difficulties of combining data from disparate and non-validated data sources, several years ago the Urban Program mandated that as of FY 2010, all programs that were not using RPMS and CRS would have to either 1) report GPRA data via CRS by the final quarter of FY 2010, or 2) build a "bridge" between their own electronic record keeping system and CRS that would allow for performance reporting.

---

\*The total count of urban programs includes two "hybrid" urban programs in Oklahoma (Oklahoma City and Tulsa) that are funded separately from other urban programs. Additionally, the San Francisco and Oakland programs report their GPRA results in one combined file, and are thus counted as one program. In FY 2010, the urban clinic in Boston lost its contract, decreasing the total number of urban programs from 35 to 34.

The decision to move all urban programs to RPMS and CRS reporting was backed up by special funding distributed by the Urban Program to each non-RPMS urban clinic, to support and offset the costs of transition to RPMS. Additionally, the Urban Program sponsored onsite RPMS training for non-RPMS sites and held training "boot camps" in Albuquerque for staff to attend beginning in FY 2009. As of the start of FY 2010, this requirement was still in place, though urban programs that had not yet completed the transition to RPMS and CRS reporting were allowed to submit a non-CRS 100% review for the second and/or third quarters of FY 2010.

During FY 2010, seven new urban programs made the transition to RPMS/CRS reporting. In FY 2009, 11 of 35 urban programs reported GPRA data via CRS. During the second quarter of FY 2010, 14 urban programs reported GPRA data via CRS. This included one site that reported "no data" via CRS because they had not yet completed the transition. In third quarter FY 2010, 15 sites reported via CRS, and by the end of FY 2010, 18 of 34 urban programs reported via CRS.

However, during the third quarter of FY 2010, a decision was made to allow more time for programs to implement RPMS and to allow some programs to report data from non-RPMS systems. Therefore, at the end of FY 2010, 16 urban sites still reported via Excel spreadsheet from a non-RPMS data source. However, only data from the 18 urban programs using RPMS and reporting via CRS are included in the official urban GPRA results for FY 2010.

### **FY 2010 Results**

Because of the planned transition to CRS reporting, urban programs were given new baselines as targets for all reportable GPRA measures, with the intent that only data from CRS programs would be used to report GPRA results. As a result, the Agency "met" all Urban Program measures in FY 2010. This is reflected on individual dashboards as well, with one caveat: all programs "met" all GPRA measures for which they reported data, but if a program did not report data for a specific measure, the result is categorized as "no data."

However, in terms of actual performance, in FY 2010, urban programs reporting via CRS improved over FY 2009 urban CRS results. Urban programs reporting via CRS improved on nine of seventeen measures, including: Diabetes: Ideal Glycemic Control, Diabetes: Blood Pressure Control, Diabetes: LDL Assessed, Colorectal Cancer Screening, Tobacco Cessation, Alcohol Screening, Domestic/Intimate Partner Violence Screening, Depression Screening, and Childhood Weight Control. Performance on the Pap Screening measure equaled FY 2009 performance. Another measure, Prenatal HIV Screening, would have equaled FY 2009 performance had refusals been taken out of the official urban results in FY 2009, as they were for the national results from Tribal and IHS programs (FY 2010 urban program results from CRS programs do not have refusals included).

The largest overall rate increases were seen in the behavioral health measures. Depression Screening measure improved by 7 percentage points, and the Tobacco Cessation and Domestic/Intimate Partner Violence Screening measure improved by 6 percentage points, and Alcohol Screening improved by 5 percentage points. The fact that urban programs reporting via CRS improved on the majority of measures is significant, considering that the results include seven programs that transitioned to CRS reporting in FY 2010. The adoption of a new reporting system is usually associated with lower rates initially, due to data entry lags. Indeed, many of the urban measure rate decreases, especially the immunization measures, can be attributed to the addition of these new programs, which had much lower rates compared to programs that have been reporting via CRS for multiple years. As these programs catch up on data entry, rates are likely to stabilize and improve. The use of a common reporting system will make it possible to track progress on GPRA performance measures and make valid comparisons among urban programs in the coming years.

While reviewing individual urban program results in this report, it is important to keep in mind the limits of the various data collection methods. Non-CRS sites reported results on spreadsheets with no other supporting documentation; IHS cannot validate results from non-RPMS electronic systems, and these manual audits have not been independently verified. Finally, while CRS results can be validated, many urban programs have only recently begun using CRS for reporting, and in the transition phase, measure results can be impacted by the timeliness, accuracy, and completeness of data entry into RPMS. Therefore, all results, but particularly those from sites not reporting through CRS, should be viewed with some caution.

## 2010 FINAL URBAN DASHBOARD (CRS PROGRAMS)

2010 Final Urban National Dashboard	CRS 2010-Final	CRS 2009-Final	2010 Target	Results
<b>DIABETES</b>				
Diabetes Dx Ever <sup>a</sup>	11%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c <sup>a</sup>	81%	78%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	14%	12%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	37%	36%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	41%	38%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	73%	69%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	63%	64%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>				
Influenza 65+	43%	52%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	54%	58%	Baseline	MET
Childhood Immunizations	70%	80%	Baseline	MET
<b>PREVENTION</b>				
Pap Screening	55%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	49%	56%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	20%	16%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	23%	17%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	65%	60%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	61%	55%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	63%	56%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	84%	85%	Baseline	MET
Childhood Weight Control	18%	20%	Baseline	MET
<sup>a</sup> Measures used for context; no annual targets				
Dashboard includes data from 18 Urban Programs reporting via CRS				
Only data from CRS programs will be reported as official urban results and used to set baselines in FY 2010				

## 2010 FINAL URBAN DASHBOARD (ALL PROGRAMS)

2010 Final Urban Dashboard- All Programs	CRS 2010- Final	Non-CRS 2010- Final	2009-Final <sup>b</sup>	2010 Target	Results
<b>DIABETES</b>					
Diabetes Dx Ever <sup>a</sup>	11%	17%	12%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c <sup>a</sup>	81%	77%	74%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	14%	16%	15%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	37%	31%	32%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	41%	53%	39%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	73%	55%	63%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	63%	47%	58%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>					
Influenza 65+	43%	41%	48%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	54%	39%	50%	Baseline	MET
Childhood Immunizations	70%	58%	68%	Baseline	MET
<b>PREVENTION</b>					
Pap Screening	55%	56%	57%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	49%	34%	56%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	20%	25%	15%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	23%	65%	37%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	65%	45%	53%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	61%	40%	49%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	63%	43%	51%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	84%	57%	58%	Baseline	MET
Childhood Weight Control	18%	23%	26%	Baseline	MET
<sup>a</sup> Measures used for context; no annual targets					
<sup>b</sup> 2009 Final column includes data from 100% audit sites, including those reporting via CRS					
CRS column includes data from 18 Urban Programs; only data from CRS programs will be included in official FY 2010 results					
Non-CRS column includes data from 16 Urban Programs					

---

# INDIVIDUAL URBAN PROGRAM RESULTS

**Nebraska Urban Indian Health Coalition  
2010 Final  
GPRA Report**

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	Nebraska	Nebraska	CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>		2010 Final
<b>DIABETES</b>	<b>2010-Final</b>	<b>2009-Final</b>	<b>2010-Final</b>	<b>2010 Target</b>	<b>Results - Nebraska</b>
Diabetes Dx Ever	18%	33%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	100%	99%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	15%	31%	14%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	53%	45%	37%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	84%	81%	41%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	93%	92%	73%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	93%	95%	63%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>					
Influenza 65+	<i>100%</i>	100%	43%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	<i>100%</i>	100%	54%	Baseline	MET
Childhood IZ	<i>100%</i>	<i>100%</i>	70%	Baseline	MET
<b>PREVENTION</b>					
Pap Screening	73%	60%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	66%	79%	49%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	88%	60%	20%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	97%	82%	23%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	92%	94%	65%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	95%	82%	61%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	96%	87%	63%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	100%	100%	84%	Baseline	MET
Childhood Weight Control	5%	29%	18%	Baseline	MET

<sup>a</sup>Measures used for context; no annual targets

<sup>b</sup>CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS

NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results

*Results in italics represent measures with fewer than 20 patients in the denominator; use caution when interpreting these results*

*Measures Met = 17*

*Measures with no data = 0*

## South Dakota Urban Indian Health 2010 Final GPRA Report

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	South Dakota	South Dakota	CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>		2010 Final
DIABETES	2010-Final	2009-Final	2010-Final	2010 Target	Results - South Dakota
Diabetes Dx Ever	7%	8%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	74%	59%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	17%	24%	14%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	22%	16%	37%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	32%	66%	41%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	67%	58%	73%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	38%	55%	63%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>					
Influenza 65+	52%	23%	43%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	35%	9%	54%	Baseline	MET
Childhood IZ	32%	41%	70%	Baseline	MET
<b>PREVENTION</b>					
Pap Screening	54%	44%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	28%	41%	49%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	16%	15%	20%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	30%	85%	23%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	67%	83%	65%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	65%	81%	61%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	62%	82%	63%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	14%	52%	84%	Baseline	MET
Childhood Weight Control	16%	39%	18%	Baseline	MET

<sup>a</sup>Measures used for context; no annual targets

<sup>b</sup>CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS

NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results

*Measures Met = 17*

*Measures with no data = 0*

**First Nations Community Healthsource (Albuquerque)  
2010 Final  
GPRA Report**

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	Albuquerque	Albuquerque	CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>		2010 Final
<b>DIABETES</b>	2010-Final	2009-Final	2010-Final	2010 Target	Results - Albuquerque
Diabetes Dx Ever	14%	8%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	86%	90%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	23%	23%	14%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	41%	40%	37%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	60%	70%	41%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	70%	70%	73%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	67%	73%	63%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>					
Influenza 65+	12%	35%	43%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	12%	24%	54%	Baseline	MET
Childhood IZ	53%	60%	70%	Baseline	MET
<b>PREVENTION</b>					
Pap Screening	78%	60%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	65%	41%	49%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	21%	5%	20%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	58%	31%	23%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	83%	44%	65%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	81%	41%	61%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	63%	48%	63%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	43%	13%	84%	Baseline	MET
Childhood Weight Control	14%	26%	18%	Baseline	MET

<sup>a</sup>Measures used for context; no annual targets

<sup>b</sup>CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS

NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results

*Measures Met = 17*

*Measures with no data = 0*

## Denver Indian Health and Family Services 2010 Final GPRA Report

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	Denver	Denver	CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>	2010 Target	2010 Final
<b>DIABETES</b>	<b>2010-Final</b>	<b>2009-Final</b>	<b>2010-Final</b>		<b>Results - Denver</b>
Diabetes Dx Ever	9%	10%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	89%	93%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	24%	27%	14%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	34%	27%	37%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	37%	24%	41%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	79%	94%	73%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	1%	0%	63%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>					
Influenza 65+	0%	32%	43%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	30%	13%	54%	Baseline	MET
Childhood IZ	50%	0%	70%	Baseline	MET
<b>PREVENTION</b>					
Pap Screening	45%	40%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	5%	7%	49%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	0%	0%	20%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	0%	0%	23%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	58%	58%	65%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	54%	55%	61%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	56%	55%	63%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	83%	0%	84%	Baseline	MET
Childhood Weight Control	14%	21%	18%	Baseline	MET
<sup>a</sup> Measures used for context; no annual targets <sup>b</sup> CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results <i>Results in italics represent measures with fewer than 20 patients in the denominator; use caution when interpreting these results</i>					Measures Met = 17 Measures with no data = 0

**American Indian Health Service of Chicago  
2010 Final  
GPRA Report**

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	Chicago	Chicago	CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>	2010 Target	2010 Final
<b>DIABETES</b>	2010-Final	2009-Final	2010-Final		Results - Chicago
Diabetes Dx Ever	15%	16%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	94%	85%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	38%	25%	14%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	42%	30%	37%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	63%	34%	41%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	64%	75%	73%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	65%	66%	63%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>					
Influenza 65+	56%	88%	43%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	75%	76%	54%	Baseline	MET
Childhood IZ	63%	86%	70%	Baseline	MET
<b>PREVENTION</b>					
Pap Screening	69%	73%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	44%	30%	49%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	26%	18%	20%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	83%	78%	23%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	87%	90%	65%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	84%	91%	61%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	86%	91%	63%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	0%	No data	84%	Baseline	MET
Childhood Weight Control	35%	36%	18%	Baseline	MET

<sup>a</sup>Measures used for context; no annual targets

<sup>b</sup>CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS

NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results

*Results in italics represent measures with fewer than 20 patients in the denominator; use caution when interpreting these results*

*Measures Met = 17*

*Measures with no data = 0*

## American Indian Health and Family Services (Detroit) 2010 Final GPRA Report

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	Detroit	Detroit	CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>	2010 Target	2010 Final
DIABETES	2010-Final	2009-Final	2010-Final		Results - Detroit
Diabetes Dx Ever	18%	16%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	100%	100%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	29%	33%	14%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	36%	44%	37%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	36%	28%	41%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	79%	77%	73%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	54%	81%	63%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>					
Influenza 65+	42%	75%	43%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	58%	45%	54%	Baseline	MET
Childhood IZ	67%	100%	70%	Baseline	MET
<b>PREVENTION</b>					
Pap Screening	50%	60%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	34%	54%	49%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	22%	61%	20%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	22%	50%	23%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	27%	37%	65%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	24%	4%	61%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	32%	63%	63%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	100%	0%	84%	Baseline	MET
Childhood Weight Control	0%	92%	18%	Baseline	MET
<sup>a</sup> Measures used for context; no annual targets <sup>b</sup> CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results <i>Results in italics represent measures with fewer than 20 patients in the denominator; use caution when interpreting these results</i>					Measures Met = 17 Measures with no data = 0

**Gerald L. Ignace Indian Health Center (Milwaukee)  
2010 Final  
GPRA Report**

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	Milwaukee	Milwaukee	CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>	2010 Target	2010 Final
<b>DIABETES</b>	<b>2010-Final</b>	<b>2009-Final</b>	<b>2010-Final</b>		<b>Results - Milwaukee</b>
Diabetes Dx Ever	18%	22%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	76%	69%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	20%	16%	14%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	35%	31%	37%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	68%	62%	41%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	75%	69%	73%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	75%	69%	63%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>					
Influenza 65+	82%	85%	43%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	88%	85%	54%	Baseline	MET
Childhood IZ	82%	76%	70%	Baseline	MET
<b>PREVENTION</b>					
Pap Screening	74%	72%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	43%	46%	49%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	19%	30%	20%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	71%	77%	23%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	91%	93%	65%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	91%	93%	61%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	90%	92%	63%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	No data	29%	84%	Baseline	No data
Childhood Weight Control	25%	29%	18%	Baseline	MET
<sup>a</sup> Measures used for context; no annual targets <sup>b</sup> CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results <i>Results in italics represent measures with fewer than 20 patients in the denominator; use caution when interpreting these results</i>					Measures Met = 16 Measures with no data = 1

**Indian Health Board of Minneapolis, Inc.  
2010 Final  
GPRA Report**

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	Minneapolis	Minneapolis	CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>		2010 Final
<b>DIABETES</b>	<b>2010-Final</b>	<b>2009-Final</b>	<b>2010-Final</b>	<b>2010 Target</b>	<b>Results - Minneapolis</b>
Diabetes Dx Ever	7%	17%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	72%	97%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	19%	26%	14%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	40%	37%	37%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	63%	70%	41%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	41%	77%	73%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	51%	63%	63%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>					
Influenza 65+	50%	31%	43%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	85%	56%	54%	Baseline	MET
Childhood IZ	41%	65%	70%	Baseline	MET
<b>PREVENTION</b>					
Pap Screening	31%	36%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	12%	27%	49%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	12%	10%	20%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	88%	72%	23%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	36%	39%	65%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	32%	33%	61%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	29%	47%	63%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	75%	57%	84%	Baseline	MET
Childhood Weight Control	32%	39%	18%	Baseline	MET

<sup>a</sup>Measures used for context; no annual targets

<sup>b</sup>CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS

NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results

*Measures Met = 17*

*Measures with no data = 0*

## Indian Health Board of Billings 2010 Final GPRA Report

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	Billings	Billings	CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>	2010 Target	2010 Final
<b>DIABETES</b>	2010-Final	2009-Final	2010-Final		Results - Billings
Diabetes Dx Ever	10%	9%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	87%	73%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	39%	1%	14%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	16%	21%	37%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	42%	43%	41%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	50%	31%	73%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	53%	30%	63%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>					
Influenza 65+	49%	42%	43%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	47%	50%	54%	Baseline	MET
Childhood IZ	0%	82%	70%	Baseline	MET
<b>PREVENTION</b>					
Pap Screening	28%	34%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	21%	53%	49%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	13%	1%	20%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	28%	58%	23%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	43%	57%	65%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	39%	53%	61%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	48%	52%	63%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	No data	No data	84%	Baseline	No data
Childhood Weight Control	17%	67%	18%	Baseline	MET

<sup>a</sup>Measures used for context; no annual targets

<sup>b</sup>CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS

NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results  
*Results in italics represent measures with fewer than 20 patients in the denominator; use caution when interpreting these results*

*Measures Met = 16*  
*Measures with no data = 1*

**North American Indian Alliance (Butte)  
2010 Final  
GPRA Report**

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	Butte	Butte	CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>	2010 Target	2010 Final
<b>DIABETES</b>	<b>2010-Final</b>	<b>2009-Final</b>	<b>2010-Final</b>		<b>Results - Butte</b>
Diabetes Dx Ever	10%	10%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	81%	84%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	24%	20%	14%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	43%	36%	37%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	67%	80%	41%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	52%	92%	73%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	43%	76%	63%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>					
Influenza 65+	47%	89%	43%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	26%	61%	54%	Baseline	MET
Childhood IZ	100%	50%	70%	Baseline	MET
<b>PREVENTION</b>					
Pap Screening	58%	53%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	13%	50%	49%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	4%	0%	20%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	14%	85%	23%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	61%	12%	65%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	57%	12%	61%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	60%	48%	63%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	No data	No data	84%	Baseline	No data
Childhood Weight Control	0%	50%	18%	Baseline	MET
<sup>a</sup> Measures used for context; no annual targets <sup>b</sup> CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results <i>Results in italics represent measures with fewer than 20 patients in the denominator; use caution when interpreting these results</i>					Measures Met = 16 Measures with no data = 1

**Indian Family Health Clinic (Great Falls)  
2010 Final  
GPRA Report**

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	Great Falls	Great Falls	CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>	2010 Target	2010 Final
<b>DIABETES</b>	2010-Final	2009-Final	2010-Final		Results - Great Falls
Diabetes Dx Ever	14%	14%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	68%	71%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	5%	8%	14%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	37%	8%	37%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	29%	53%	41%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	63%	62%	73%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	35%	49%	63%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>					
Influenza 65+	30%	48%	43%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	21%	50%	54%	Baseline	MET
Childhood IZ	0%	44%	70%	Baseline	MET
<b>PREVENTION</b>					
Pap Screening	42%	30%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	11%	21%	49%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	0%	20%	20%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	47%	92%	23%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	39%	51%	65%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	22%	26%	61%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	33%	41%	63%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	0%	0%	84%	Baseline	MET
Childhood Weight Control	25%	44%	18%	Baseline	MET
<sup>a</sup> Measures used for context; no annual targets					Measures Met = 17
<sup>b</sup> CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS					Measures with no data = 0
NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results					
<i>Results in italics represent measures with fewer than 20 patients in the denominator; use caution when interpreting these results</i>					

## Helena Indian Alliance 2010 Final GPRA Report

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	Helena	Helena	CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>	2010 Target	2010 Final
DIABETES	2010-Final	2009-Final	2010-Final		Results - Helena
Diabetes Dx Ever	16%	15%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	80%	92%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	6%	8%	14%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	47%	53%	37%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	59%	51%	41%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	59%	80%	73%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	51%	3%	63%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>					
Influenza 65+	42%	68%	43%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	73%	71%	54%	Baseline	MET
Childhood IZ	No data	100%	70%	Baseline	No data
<b>PREVENTION</b>					
Pap Screening	41%	40%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	51%	51%	49%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	21%	12%	20%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	39%	43%	23%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	47%	39%	65%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	40%	25%	61%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	47%	39%	63%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	0%	0%	84%	Baseline	MET
Childhood Weight Control	0%	0%	18%	Baseline	MET
<sup>a</sup> Measures used for context; no annual targets <sup>b</sup> CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results <i>Results in italics represent measures with fewer than 20 patients in the denominator; use caution when interpreting these results</i>					Measures Met = 16 Measures with no data = 1

**Missoula Indian Center  
2010 Final  
GPRA Report**

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	Missoula	Missoula	CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>	2010 Target	2010 Final
<b>DIABETES</b>	<b>2010-Final</b>	<b>2009-Final</b>	<b>2010-Final</b>		<b>Results - Missoula</b>
Diabetes Dx Ever	7%	6%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	No data	48%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	No data	15%	14%	Baseline	No data
Ideal Glycemic Control	No data	33%	37%	Baseline	No data
Controlled BP <130/80	No data	39%	41%	Baseline	No data
LDL Assessed	No data	48%	73%	Baseline	No data
Nephropathy Assessed	No data	26%	63%	Baseline	No data
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>					
Influenza 65+	0%	31%	43%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	29%	31%	54%	Baseline	MET
Childhood IZ	No data	39%	70%	Baseline	No data
<b>PREVENTION</b>					
Pap Screening	67%	26%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	7%	34%	49%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	0%	0%	20%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	0%	12%	23%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	78%	13%	65%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	70%	34%	61%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	75%	20%	63%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	No data	100%	84%	Baseline	No data
Childhood Weight Control	No data	5%	18%	Baseline	No data
<sup>a</sup> Measures used for context; no annual targets <sup>b</sup> CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results <i>Results in italics represent measures with fewer than 20 patients in the denominator; use caution when interpreting these results</i>					Measures Met = 9 Measures with no data = 8

## Bakersfield Indian Health Center 2010 Final GPRA Report

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	Bakersfield	Bakersfield	CA CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>	CRS Sites <sup>c</sup>	2010 Target	2010 Final
<b>DIABETES</b>	<u>2010-Final</u>	<u>2009-Final</u>	<u>2010-Final</u>	<u>2010-Final</u>		<u>Results - Bakersfield</u>
Diabetes Dx Ever	12%	11%	11%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	29%	7%	65%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	10%	7%	12%	14%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	13%	0%	26%	37%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	2%	1%	36%	41%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	31%	17%	49%	73%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	6%	10%	25%	63%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>						
Influenza 65+	0%	4%	30%	43%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	12%	9%	41%	54%	Baseline	MET
Childhood IZ	0%	20%	36%	70%	Baseline	MET
<b>PREVENTION</b>						
Pap Screening	11%	18%	42%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	7%	10%	16%	49%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	1%	2%	8%	20%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	3%	0%	14%	23%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	24%	86%	30%	65%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	18%	3%	22%	61%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	20%	7%	27%	63%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	No data	No data	21%	84%	Baseline	No data
Childhood Weight Control	0%	33%	13%	18%	Baseline	MET

<sup>a</sup>Measures used for context; no annual targets

<sup>b</sup>CA CRS Urban Results from 7 California urban programs reporting via CRS

<sup>c</sup>CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS

NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results

*Results in italics represent measures with fewer than 20 patients in the denominator; use caution when interpreting these results*

*Measures Met = 16*

*Measures with no data = 1*

**Fresno Native American Health Center  
2010 Final  
GPRA Report**

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	Fresno	Fresno	CA CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>	CRS Sites <sup>c</sup>	2010 Target	2010 Final
<b>DIABETES</b>	<b>2010-Final</b>	<b>2009-Final</b>	<b>2010-Final</b>	<b>2010-Final</b>		<b>Results - Fresno</b>
Diabetes Dx Ever	9%	7%	11%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	75%	33%	65%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	0%	0%	12%	14%	Baseline	<b>MET</b>
Ideal Glycemic Control	25%	33%	26%	37%	Baseline	<b>MET</b>
Controlled BP <130/80	38%	33%	36%	41%	Baseline	<b>MET</b>
LDL Assessed	38%	33%	49%	73%	Baseline	<b>MET</b>
Nephropathy Assessed	38%	33%	25%	63%	Baseline	<b>MET</b>
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>						
Influenza 65+	11%	50%	30%	43%	Baseline	<b>MET</b>
Pneumovax 65+	11%	0%	41%	54%	Baseline	<b>MET</b>
Childhood IZ	0%	0%	36%	70%	Baseline	<b>MET</b>
<b>PREVENTION</b>						
Pap Screening	18%	0%	42%	55%	Baseline	<b>MET</b>
Mammography Screening	50%	No data	16%	49%	Baseline	<b>MET</b>
Colorectal Cancer Screening	0%	0%	8%	20%	Baseline	<b>MET</b>
Tobacco Cessation	No data	No data	14%	23%	Baseline	No data
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	9%	0%	30%	65%	Baseline	<b>MET</b>
DV/IPV Screening	5%	0%	22%	61%	Baseline	<b>MET</b>
Depression Screening	25%	0%	27%	63%	Baseline	<b>MET</b>
Prenatal HIV Screening	No data	No data	21%	84%	Baseline	No data
Childhood Weight Control	No data	No data	13%	18%	Baseline	No data

<sup>a</sup>Measures used for context; no annual targets

<sup>b</sup>CA CRS Urban Results from 7 California urban programs reporting via CRS

<sup>c</sup>CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS

NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results

*Results in italics represent measures with fewer than 20 patients in the denominator; use caution when interpreting these results*

*Measures Met = 14*

*Measures with no data = 3*

## United American Indian Involvement (Los Angeles) 2010 Final GPRA Report

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	Los Angeles	Los Angeles	CA CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>	CRS Sites <sup>c</sup>	2010 Target	2010 Final
<b>DIABETES</b>	<u>2010-Final</u>	<u>2009-Final</u>	<u>2010-Final</u>	<u>2010-Final</u>		<u>Results - Los Angeles</u>
Diabetes Dx Ever	10%	9%	11%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	66%	61%	65%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	11%	19%	12%	14%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	21%	24%	26%	37%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	33%	29%	36%	41%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	41%	43%	49%	73%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	7%	27%	25%	63%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>						
Influenza 65+	60%	53%	30%	43%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	52%	53%	41%	54%	Baseline	MET
Childhood IZ	44%	50%	36%	70%	Baseline	MET
<b>PREVENTION</b>						
Pap Screening	56%	50%	42%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	18%	36%	16%	49%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	12%	15%	8%	20%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	8%	9%	14%	23%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	29%	47%	30%	65%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	22%	35%	22%	61%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	21%	28%	27%	63%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	0%	0%	21%	84%	Baseline	MET
Childhood Weight Control	14%	43%	13%	18%	Baseline	MET

<sup>a</sup>Measures used for context; no annual targets

<sup>b</sup>CA CRS Urban Results from 7 California urban programs reporting via CRS

<sup>c</sup>CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS

NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results

*Results in italics represent measures with fewer than 20 patients in the denominator; use caution when interpreting these results*

*Measures Met = 17*

*Measures with no data = 0*

**Native American Health Center Oakland/San Francisco  
2010 Final  
GPRA Report**

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	Oakland/SF	Oakland/SF	CA CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>	CRS Sites <sup>c</sup>	2010 Target	2010 Final
<b>DIABETES</b>	<u>2010-Final</u>	<u>2009-Final</u>	<u>2010-Final</u>	<u>2010-Final</u>		<u>Results - Oakland/SF</u>
Diabetes Dx Ever	14%	12%	11%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	71%	80%	65%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	14%	16%	12%	14%	Baseline	<b>MET</b>
Ideal Glycemic Control	29%	34%	26%	37%	Baseline	<b>MET</b>
Controlled BP <130/80	47%	60%	36%	41%	Baseline	<b>MET</b>
LDL Assessed	51%	60%	49%	73%	Baseline	<b>MET</b>
Nephropathy Assessed	19%	41%	25%	63%	Baseline	<b>MET</b>
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>						
Influenza 65+	28%	31%	30%	43%	Baseline	<b>MET</b>
Pneumovax 65+	47%	46%	41%	54%	Baseline	<b>MET</b>
Childhood IZ	19%	0%	36%	70%	Baseline	<b>MET</b>
<b>PREVENTION</b>						
Pap Screening	45%	44%	42%	55%	Baseline	<b>MET</b>
Mammography Screening	7%	12%	16%	49%	Baseline	<b>MET</b>
Colorectal Cancer Screening	4%	1%	8%	20%	Baseline	<b>MET</b>
Tobacco Cessation	2%	8%	14%	23%	Baseline	<b>MET</b>
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	20%	12%	30%	65%	Baseline	<b>MET</b>
DV/IPV Screening	6%	1%	22%	61%	Baseline	<b>MET</b>
Depression Screening	15%	11%	27%	63%	Baseline	<b>MET</b>
Prenatal HIV Screening	12%	43%	21%	84%	Baseline	<b>MET</b>
Childhood Weight Control	No data	No data	13%	18%	Baseline	No data

<sup>a</sup>Measures used for context; no annual targets

<sup>b</sup>CA CRS Urban Results from 7 California urban programs reporting via CRS

<sup>c</sup>CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS

NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results

*Results in italics represent measures with fewer than 20 patients in the denominator; use caution when interpreting these results*

*Measures Met = 16*

*Measures with no data = 1*

## Sacramento Native American Health Center 2010 Final GPRA Report

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	Sacramento	Sacramento	CA CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>	CRS Sites <sup>c</sup>	2010 Target	2010 Final
<b>DIABETES</b>	<u>2010-Final</u>	<u>2009-Final</u>	<u>2010-Final</u>	<u>2010-Final</u>		<b>Results - Sacramento</b>
Diabetes Dx Ever	10%	9%	11%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	62%	53%	65%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	8%	11%	12%	14%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	30%	19%	26%	37%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	32%	53%	36%	41%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	49%	44%	49%	73%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	32%	39%	25%	63%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>						
Influenza 65+	48%	38%	30%	43%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	48%	56%	41%	54%	Baseline	MET
Childhood IZ	100%	86%	36%	70%	Baseline	MET
<b>PREVENTION</b>						
Pap Screening	29%	36%	42%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	18%	6%	16%	49%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	7%	11%	8%	20%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	13%	14%	14%	23%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	19%	13%	30%	65%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	5%	4%	22%	61%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	17%	19%	27%	63%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	100%	No data	21%	84%	Baseline	MET
Childhood Weight Control	20%	18%	13%	18%	Baseline	MET

<sup>a</sup>Measures used for context; no annual targets

<sup>b</sup>CA CRS Urban Results from 7 California urban programs reporting via CRS

<sup>c</sup>CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS

NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results

*Results in italics represent measures with fewer than 20 patients in the denominator; use caution when interpreting these results*

*Measures Met = 17*

*Measures with no data = 0*

**San Diego American Indian Health Center  
2010 Final  
GPRA Report**

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	San Diego	San Diego	CA CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>	CRS Sites <sup>c</sup>	2010 Target	2010 Final
<b>DIABETES</b>	<u>2010-Final</u>	<u>2009-Final</u>	<u>2010-Final</u>	<u>2010-Final</u>		<u>Results - San Diego</u>
Diabetes Dx Ever	8%	8%	11%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	83%	86%	65%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	17%	21%	12%	14%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	37%	35%	26%	37%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	59%	49%	36%	41%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	65%	77%	49%	73%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	72%	70%	25%	63%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>						
Influenza 65+	12%	31%	30%	43%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	65%	75%	41%	54%	Baseline	MET
Childhood IZ	33%	100%	36%	70%	Baseline	MET
<b>PREVENTION</b>						
Pap Screening	51%	54%	42%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	19%	20%	16%	49%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	7%	10%	8%	20%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	25%	21%	14%	23%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	51%	56%	30%	65%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	49%	42%	22%	61%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	53%	51%	27%	63%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	100%	33%	21%	84%	Baseline	MET
Childhood Weight Control	12%	18%	13%	18%	Baseline	MET

<sup>a</sup>Measures used for context; no annual targets

<sup>b</sup>CA CRS Urban Results from 7 California urban programs reporting via CRS

<sup>c</sup>CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS

NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results

*Results in italics represent measures with fewer than 20 patients in the denominator; use caution when interpreting these results*

*Measures Met = 17*

*Measures with no data = 0*

**Indian Health Center of Santa Clara Valley (San Jose)  
2010 Final  
GPRA Report**

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	San Jose	San Jose	CA CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>	CRS Sites <sup>c</sup>	2010 Target	2010 Final
<b>DIABETES</b>	<b>2010-Final</b>	<b>2009-Final</b>	<b>2010-Final</b>	<b>2010-Final</b>		<b>Results - San Jose</b>
Diabetes Dx Ever	16%	10%	11%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	57%	38%	65%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	7%	10%	12%	14%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	18%	13%	26%	37%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	16%	5%	36%	41%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	35%	21%	49%	73%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	21%	16%	25%	63%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>						
Influenza 65+	30%	29%	30%	43%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	69%	55%	41%	54%	Baseline	MET
Childhood IZ	45%	46%	36%	70%	Baseline	MET
<b>PREVENTION</b>						
Pap Screening	50%	36%	42%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	10%	15%	16%	49%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	3%	No data	8%	20%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	60%	9%	14%	23%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	No data	No data	30%	65%	Baseline	No data
DV/IPV Screening	No data	No data	22%	61%	Baseline	No data
Depression Screening	10%	7%	27%	63%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	100%	100%	21%	84%	Baseline	MET
Childhood Weight Control	7%	48%	13%	18%	Baseline	MET

<sup>a</sup>Measures used for context; no annual targets

<sup>b</sup>CA CRS Urban Results from 7 California urban programs reporting via CRS

<sup>c</sup>CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS

NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results

*Results in italics represent measures with fewer than 20 patients in the denominator; use caution when interpreting these results*

*Measures Met = 15*

*Measures with no data = 2*

**Santa Barbara Indian Health Center  
2010 Final  
GPRA Report**

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	Santa Barbara	Santa Barbara	CA CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>	CRS Sites <sup>c</sup>	2010 Target	2010 Final
<b>DIABETES</b>	2010-Final	2009-Final	2010-Final	2010-Final		Results - Santa Barbara
Diabetes Dx Ever	12%	17%	11%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	83%	80%	65%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	11%	30%	12%	14%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	28%	10%	26%	37%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	39%	20%	36%	41%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	89%	80%	49%	73%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	67%	40%	25%	63%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>						
Influenza 65+	30%	50%	30%	43%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	70%	38%	41%	54%	Baseline	MET
Childhood IZ	100%	67%	36%	70%	Baseline	MET
<b>PREVENTION</b>						
Pap Screening	75%	47%	42%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	42%	40%	16%	49%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	41%	21%	8%	20%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	33%	12%	14%	23%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	67%	56%	30%	65%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	69%	56%	22%	61%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	67%	49%	27%	63%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	No data	No data	21%	84%	Baseline	No data
Childhood Weight Control	0%	0%	13%	18%	Baseline	MET

<sup>a</sup>Measures used for context; no annual targets

<sup>b</sup>CA CRS Urban Results from 7 California urban programs reporting via CRS

<sup>c</sup>CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS

NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results

*Results in italics represent measures with fewer than 20 patients in the denominator; use caution when interpreting these results*

*Measures Met = 16*

*Measures with no data = 1*

**The American Indian Community House of New York City, Inc.  
2010 Final  
GPRA Report**

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	New York	New York	CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>	2010 Target	2010 Final
<b>DIABETES</b>	<b>2010-Final</b>	<b>2009-Final</b>	<b>2010-Final</b>		<b>Results - New York</b>
Diabetes Dx Ever	13%	46%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	80%	62%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	20%	0%	14%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	0%	31%	37%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	60%	62%	41%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	80%	62%	73%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	80%	62%	63%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>					
Influenza 65+	0%	67%	43%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	100%	67%	54%	Baseline	MET
Childhood IZ	No data	No data	70%	Baseline	No data
<b>PREVENTION</b>					
Pap Screening	61%	80%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	50%	50%	49%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	8%	25%	20%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	52%	63%	23%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	89%	100%	65%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	72%	100%	61%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	93%	100%	63%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	50%	No data	84%	Baseline	MET
Childhood Weight Control	No data	No data	18%	Baseline	No data
<sup>a</sup> Measures used for context; no annual targets					Measures Met = 15
<sup>b</sup> CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS					Measures with no data = 2
NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results					
<i>Results in italics represent measures with fewer than 20 patients in the denominator; use caution when interpreting these results</i>					

**NACA Family Health Center (Flagstaff)  
2010 Final  
GPRA Report**

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	Flagstaff	Flagstaff	CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>	2010 Target	2010 Final
<b>DIABETES</b>	2010-Final	2009-Final	2010-Final		Results - Flagstaff
Diabetes Dx Ever	28%	23%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	80%	No data	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	0%	No data	14%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	2%	40%	37%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	74%	No data	41%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	22%	19%	73%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	4%	27%	63%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>					
Influenza 65+	47%	33%	43%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	35%	24%	54%	Baseline	MET
Childhood IZ	95%	No data	70%	Baseline	MET
<b>PREVENTION</b>					
Pap Screening	38%	44%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	47%	42%	49%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	66%	5%	20%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	77%	No data	23%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	35%	5%	65%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	35%	4%	61%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	48%	12%	63%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	No Data	38%	84%	Baseline	No Data
Childhood Weight Control	0%	No data	18%	Baseline	MET

<sup>a</sup>Measures used for context; no annual targets

<sup>b</sup>CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS

NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results

*Results in italics represent measures with fewer than 20 patients in the denominator; use caution when interpreting these results*

*Measures Met = 16*

*Measures with no data = 1*

**Urban Inter-Tribal Center of Texas (Dallas)  
2010 Final  
GPRA Report**

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	Dallas	Dallas	CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>	2010 Target	2010 Final
<b>DIABETES</b>	<b>2010-Final</b>	<b>2009-Final</b>	<b>2010-Final</b>		<b>Results - Dallas</b>
Diabetes Dx Ever	24%	9%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	95%	57%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	20%	8%	14%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	12%	17%	37%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	29%	47%	41%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	90%	38%	73%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	54%	28%	63%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>					
Influenza 65+	58%	48%	43%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	50%	88%	54%	Baseline	MET
Childhood IZ	0%	100%	70%	Baseline	MET
<b>PREVENTION</b>					
Pap Screening	40%	69%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	18%	55%	49%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	36%	9%	20%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	0%	57%	23%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	54%	81%	65%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	54%	96%	61%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	85%	86%	63%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	No data	0%	84%	Baseline	No data
Childhood Weight Control	5%	17%	18%	Baseline	MET
<sup>a</sup> Measures used for context; no annual targets <sup>b</sup> CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results <i>Results in italics represent measures with fewer than 20 patients in the denominator; use caution when interpreting these results</i>					Measures Met = 16 Measures with no data = 1

**Oklahoma City Urban Clinic  
2010 Final  
GPRA Report**

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	Oklahoma City	Oklahoma City	CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>		2010 Final Results - Oklahoma City
DIABETES	2010-Final	2009-Final	2010-Final	2010 Target	
Diabetes Dx Ever	17%	15%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	87%	82%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	9%	10%	14%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	47%	42%	37%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	54%	48%	41%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	78%	70%	73%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	78%	78%	63%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>					
Influenza 65+	64%	85%	43%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	93%	92%	54%	Baseline	MET
Childhood IZ	94%	92%	70%	Baseline	MET
<b>PREVENTION</b>					
Pap Screening	70%	64%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	74%	72%	49%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	46%	36%	20%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	49%	33%	23%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	79%	60%	65%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	75%	61%	61%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	78%	61%	63%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	92%	83%	84%	Baseline	MET
Childhood Weight Control	22%	25%	18%	Baseline	MET
<sup>a</sup> Measures used for context; no annual targets <sup>b</sup> CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results					Measures Met = 17 Measures with no data = 0

## Indian Health Resource (Tulsa) 2010 Final GPRA Report

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	Tulsa	Tulsa	CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>		2010 Final
<b>DIABETES</b>	<b>2010-Final</b>	<b>2009-Final</b>	<b>2010-Final</b>	<b>2010 Target</b>	<b>Results - Tulsa</b>
Diabetes Dx Ever	8%	8%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	82%	79%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	18%	13%	14%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	33%	36%	37%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	28%	24%	41%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	82%	76%	73%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	77%	75%	63%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>					
Influenza 65+	27%	19%	43%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	25%	26%	54%	Baseline	MET
Childhood IZ	96%	91%	70%	Baseline	MET
<b>PREVENTION</b>					
Pap Screening	57%	55%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	67%	64%	49%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	4%	1%	20%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	7%	3%	23%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	75%	70%	65%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	73%	66%	61%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	74%	70%	63%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	100%	99%	84%	Baseline	MET
Childhood Weight Control	18%	18%	18%	Baseline	MET
<sup>a</sup> Measures used for context; no annual targets <sup>b</sup> CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results					Measures Met = 17 Measures with no data = 0

## Hunter Health Clinic (Wichita) 2010 Final GPRA Report

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	Wichita	Wichita	CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>		2010 Final
DIABETES	2010-Final	2009-Final	2010-Final	2010 Target	Results - Wichita
Diabetes Dx Ever	27%	24%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	66%	64%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	23%	18%	14%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	52%	48%	37%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	53%	45%	41%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	59%	63%	73%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	59%	50%	63%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>					
Influenza 65+	39%	30%	43%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	17%	5%	54%	Baseline	MET
Childhood IZ	75%	76%	70%	Baseline	MET
<b>PREVENTION</b>					
Pap Screening	32%	26%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	32%	37%	49%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	8%	11%	20%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	51%	50%	23%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	36%	34%	65%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	25%	24%	61%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	40%	34%	63%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	58%	34%	84%	Baseline	MET
Childhood Weight Control	53%	52%	18%	Baseline	MET
<sup>a</sup> Measures used for context; no annual targets <sup>b</sup> CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results					Measures Met = 17 Measures with no data = 0

**Native American Community Health Center (Phoenix)  
2010 Final  
GPRA Report**

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	Phoenix	Phoenix	CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>		2010 Final
<b>DIABETES</b>	<b>2010-Final</b>	<b>2009-Final</b>	<b>2010-Final</b>	<b>2010 Target</b>	<b>Results - Phoenix</b>
Diabetes Dx Ever	22%	21%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	76%	70%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	20%	23%	14%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	36%	35%	37%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	61%	70%	41%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	75%	70%	73%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	75%	73%	63%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>					
Influenza 65+	30%	28%	43%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	5%	10%	54%	Baseline	MET
Childhood IZ	58%	88%	70%	Baseline	MET
<b>PREVENTION</b>					
Pap Screening	57%	51%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	26%	24%	49%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	14%	19%	20%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	17%	28%	23%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	90%	94%	65%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	80%	80%	61%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	63%	38%	63%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	62%	100%	84%	Baseline	MET
Childhood Weight Control	25%	27%	18%	Baseline	MET
<sup>a</sup> Measures used for context; no annual targets <sup>b</sup> CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results					Measures Met = 17 Measures with no data = 0

**Nevada Urban Indians (Reno)  
2010 Final  
GPRA Report**

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	Reno	Reno	CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>	2010 Target	2010 Final
<b>DIABETES</b>	<b>2010-Final</b>	<b>2009-Final</b>	<b>2010-Final</b>		<b>Results - Reno</b>
Diabetes Dx Ever	5%	22%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	51%	66%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	11%	32%	14%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	36%	26%	37%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	27%	32%	41%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	53%	13%	73%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	44%	3%	63%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>					
Influenza 65+	18%	37%	43%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	37%	14%	54%	Baseline	MET
Childhood IZ	50%	100%	70%	Baseline	MET
<b>PREVENTION</b>					
Pap Screening	12%	3%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	32%	14%	49%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	19%	10%	20%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	34%	67%	23%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	8%	24%	65%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	33%	93%	61%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	13%	88%	63%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	33%	No data	84%	Baseline	MET
Childhood Weight Control	17%	24%	18%	Baseline	MET

<sup>a</sup>Measures used for context; no annual targets

<sup>b</sup>CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS

NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results

*Results in italics represent measures with fewer than 20 patients in the denominator; use caution when interpreting these results*

*Measures Met = 17*

*Measures with no data = 0*

## Indian Walk-In Center (Salt Lake City) 2010 Final GPRA Report

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	Salt Lake City	Salt Lake City	CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>	2010 Target	2010 Final
DIABETES	2010-Final	2009-Final	2010-Final		Results - Salt Lake City
Diabetes Dx Ever	65%	72%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	87%	78%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	22%	13%	14%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	37%	26%	37%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	63%	44%	41%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	63%	52%	73%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	13%	52%	63%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>					
Influenza 65+	24%	59%	43%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	24%	59%	54%	Baseline	MET
Childhood IZ	66%	69%	70%	Baseline	MET
<b>PREVENTION</b>					
Pap Screening	73%	61%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	4%	52%	49%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	17%	10%	20%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	22%	43%	23%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	7%	71%	65%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	34%	72%	61%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	2%	37%	63%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	63%	73%	84%	Baseline	MET
Childhood Weight Control	12%	36%	18%	Baseline	MET
<sup>a</sup> Measures used for context; no annual targets					Measures Met = 17
<sup>b</sup> CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS					Measures with no data = 0
NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results					
<i>Results in italics represent measures with fewer than 20 patients in the denominator; use caution when interpreting these results</i>					

**Native American Rehabilitation Association of the Northwest, Inc. (Portland)  
2010 Final  
GPRA Report**

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	Portland	Portland	CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>		2010 Final
DIABETES	2010-Final	2009-Final	2010-Final	2010 Target	Results - Portland
Diabetes Dx Ever	16%	17%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	98%	97%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	19%	20%	14%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	38%	35%	37%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	39%	47%	41%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	89%	81%	73%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	74%	76%	63%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>					
Influenza 65+	46%	39%	43%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	68%	55%	54%	Baseline	MET
Childhood IZ	63%	63%	70%	Baseline	MET
<b>PREVENTION</b>					
Pap Screening	44%	59%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	66%	58%	49%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	36%	No data	20%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	0%	2%	23%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	25%	24%	65%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	11%	0%	61%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	39%	30%	63%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	33%	40%	84%	Baseline	MET
Childhood Weight Control	54%	41%	18%	Baseline	MET
<sup>a</sup> Measures used for context; no annual targets <sup>b</sup> CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results					Measures Met = 17 Measures with no data = 0

## Seattle Indian Health Board 2010 Final GPRA Report

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	Seattle	Seattle	CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>		2010 Final
DIABETES	2010-Final	2009-Final	2010-Final	2010 Target	Results - Seattle
Diabetes Dx Ever	10%	11%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	76%	69%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	14%	15%	14%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	24%	21%	37%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	28%	24%	41%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	56%	50%	73%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	37%	33%	63%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>					
Influenza 65+	40%	30%	43%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	11%	2%	54%	Baseline	MET
Childhood IZ	36%	60%	70%	Baseline	MET
<b>PREVENTION</b>					
Pap Screening	84%	64%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	24%	68%	49%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	10%	8%	20%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	76%	No data	23%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	11%	9%	65%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	1%	4%	61%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	20%	13%	63%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	49%	51%	84%	Baseline	MET
Childhood Weight Control	0%	No data	18%	Baseline	MET

<sup>a</sup>Measures used for context; no annual targets

<sup>b</sup>CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS

NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results

*Measures Met = 17*

*Measures with no data = 0*

**Native Health Clinic of Spokane  
2010 Final  
GPRA Report**

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	Spokane	Spokane	CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>		2010 Final
<b>DIABETES</b>	<b>2010-Final</b>	<b>2009-Final</b>	<b>2010-Final</b>	<b>2010 Target</b>	<b>Results - Spokane</b>
Diabetes Dx Ever	9%	9%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	81%	82%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	24%	21%	14%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	33%	42%	37%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	43%	57%	41%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	54%	60%	73%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	61%	41%	63%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>					
Influenza 65+	44%	31%	43%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	37%	40%	54%	Baseline	MET
Childhood IZ	63%	61%	70%	Baseline	MET
<b>PREVENTION</b>					
Pap Screening	67%	78%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	45%	71%	49%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	69%	70%	20%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	76%	87%	23%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	73%	86%	65%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	73%	85%	61%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	75%	87%	63%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	26%	7%	84%	Baseline	MET
Childhood Weight Control	21%	28%	18%	Baseline	MET

<sup>a</sup>Measures used for context; no annual targets

<sup>b</sup>CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS

NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results

*Measures Met = 17*

*Measures with no data = 0*

**Tucson Indian Center  
2010 Final  
GPRA Report**

2010 Final GPRA Dashboard	Tucson	Tucson	CRS Sites <sup>b</sup>	2010 Target	2010 Final
<b>DIABETES</b>	<b>2010-Final</b>	<b>2009-Final</b>	<b>2010-Final</b>		<b>Results - Tucson</b>
Diabetes Dx Ever	30%	27%	11%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Documented A1c	0%	0%	81%	N/A <sup>a</sup>	N/A
Poor Glycemic Control	0%	No data	14%	Baseline	MET
Ideal Glycemic Control	0%	No data	37%	Baseline	MET
Controlled BP <130/80	0%	0%	41%	Baseline	MET
LDL Assessed	0%	0%	73%	Baseline	MET
Nephropathy Assessed	0%	0%	63%	Baseline	MET
<b>IMMUNIZATIONS</b>					
Influenza 65+	0%	0%	43%	Baseline	MET
Pneumovax 65+	0%	0%	54%	Baseline	MET
Childhood IZ	0%	0%	70%	Baseline	MET
<b>PREVENTION</b>					
Pap Screening	0%	0%	55%	Baseline	MET
Mammography Screening	0%	0%	49%	Baseline	MET
Colorectal Cancer Screening	0%	0%	20%	Baseline	MET
Tobacco Cessation	0%	100%	23%	Baseline	MET
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	100%	0%	65%	Baseline	MET
DV/IPV Screening	100%	100%	61%	Baseline	MET
Depression Screening	0%	0%	63%	Baseline	MET
Prenatal HIV Screening	No data	No Data	84%	Baseline	No Data
Childhood Weight Control	0%	0%	18%	Baseline	MET
<sup>a</sup> Measures used for context; no annual targets <sup>b</sup> CRS Urban Results from 18 urban programs reporting via CRS NOTE: As of FY 2010, refusals are no longer included in GPRA results <i>Results in italics represent measures with fewer than 20 patients in the denominator; use caution when interpreting these results</i>					Measures Met = 16 Measures with no data = 1

## APPENDIX 1: URBAN PROGRAM RESULTS—DATA SOURCES

Reported from CRS	Reported from non-CRS
South Dakota Urban Indian Health	Nebraska Urban Indian Health Coalition
Denver Indian Health and Family Services	First Nations Community Healthsource (Albuquerque)
American Indian Health and Family Services (Detroit)	American Indian Health Service of Chicago
Indian Health Board of Billings	Gerald L. Ignace Indian Health Center (Milwaukee)
North American Indian Alliance (Butte)	Indian Health Board of Minneapolis, Inc.
Indian Family Health Clinic (Great Falls)	Indian Health Center of Santa Clara Valley (San Jose)
Helena Indian Alliance	The American Indian Community House of New York City, Inc.
Missoula Indian Center	NACA Family Health Center (Flagstaff)
Bakersfield Indian Health Center	Hunter Health Center (Wichita)
Fresno Native American Health Center	Native American Community Health Center (Phoenix)
United American Indian Involvement (Los Angeles)	Nevada Urban Indians (Reno)
Native American Health Center Oakland/San Francisco	Indian Walk-In Center (Salt Lake City)
Sacramento Native American Health Center	Native American Rehabilitation Association of the Northwest, Inc. (Portland)
San Diego American Indian Health Center	Seattle Indian Health Board
Santa Barbara Indian Health Center	Native Health Clinic of Spokane
Urban Inter-Tribal Center of Texas (Dallas)	Tucson Indian Center
Oklahoma City Urban Clinic	
Indian Health Resource (Tulsa)	