

Optimizing Heart Failure

Sandhya Venugopal MD FACC University of California, Davis





- Definitions and scope of problem
- Diagnosing and classifying heart failure
- Approach to management of CHF

 Oral drug therapy (ACE-I, ARB, betablockers, aldosterone blockade, digoxin)
 - Device therapy

• Future directions and exciting developments



A Historical Perspective

- Ebers Papyrus
 - Dated circa 1550 BC
 - Early description of the heart and circulatory system
 - Passages describe heart failure

"His heart is flooded. This is the liquid of the mouth. His body parts are all together weak"

Remedy is one which
 will "cause an
 emptving"



Congestive Heart Failure

• Heart (or cardiac) failure is the state in which the heart is unable to pump blood at a rate commensurate with the requirements of the tissues or can do so only from high pressures

Braunwald 8th Edition, 2001



Types of Heart Failure

- Systolic (or squeezing) heart failure
 - Decreased pumping function of the heart, which results in fluid back up in the lungs and heart failure
- Diastolic (or relaxation) heart failure
 - Involves a thickened and stiff heart muscle
 - As a result, the heart does not fill with blood properly
 - This results in fluid backup in the lungs and heart failure



Risk Factors for Heart Failure

- Coronary artery disease
- Hypertension (LVH)
- Valvular heart disease
- Alcoholism

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Infection (viral)

- Diabetes
- Congenital heart defects
- Other:
 - Obesity
 - Age
 - Smoking
 - High or low hematocrit level
 - Obstructive Sleep Apnea

Epidemiology of Heart Failure in the US



- More deaths from heart failure than from all forms of cancer combined
- 550,000 new cases/year
- 4.7 million symptomatic patients; estimated 10 million in 2037

*Rich M. J Am Geriatric Soc. 1997;45:968–974. American Heart Association. 2001 *Heart and Stroke Statistical Update*. 2000.

UNIVERSITY OF CALL

Congestive Heart Failure

• Symptoms:

- Shortness of breath
- Leg swelling (edema)
- Breathing worsens with lying flat (orthopnea)
- Fatigue
- Decrease Exercise Tolerance







Classifying Heart Failure: Terminology and Staging



A Key Indicator for Diagnosing Heart Failure

Ejection Fraction (EF)

• Ejection Fraction (EF) is the percentage of blood that is pumped out of your heart during each beat





Echocardiographic Evaluation of CHF

- LV function (EF),chamber size,wall motion
- Segmental dysfunctioncoronary disease
- MS-severity, valve area
- AS- valve gradient, valve area
- AR/MR severity
- TR- RV systolic pressure = PA pressure

- RV function
- R/O IHSS, HCM
- R/O Pericardial Disease
- R/O rare causes e.g. myxoma, infiltrative disorders- restrictive cardiomyopathy
- Diastolic function
- Hyperdynamic states



Classification of HF: Comparison Between ACC/AHA HF Stage and NYHA Functional Class ACC/AHA HF Stage¹ NYHA Functional Class²

None

A At high risk for heart failure but without structural heart disease or symptoms of heart failure (eg, patients with hypertension or coronary artery disease) T Asymptomatic **B** Structural heart disease but without symptoms of heart failure Symptomatic with moderate exertion Π C Structural heart disease with prior or current symptoms of heart failure **III** Symptomatic with minimal exertion **D** Refractory heart failure requiring **IV** Symptomatic at rest specialized interventions

¹Hunt SA et al. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2001;38:2101–2113.

UC 2040 / Email of California rt Association/Little Brown and Company, 1964. Adapted from: Farrell MH et al. JAMA. 2002;287:890–897.

BNP Diagnostic Cut Points for CHF JACC 2001;37(2):379-85.

BNP > 400 pg/L - acute CHF presentBNP 100 pg/L - 400 pg/L

- Diagnostic of CHF with
 - Sensitivity 90%
 - Specificity 76%
 - Predictive accuracy 83%
 - R/O pulmonary embolism, LV dysfunction without acute CHF or cor pulmonale

BNP < 100 pg/L - 98% negative predictive accuracy



Pathophysiology



Pathologic Progression of CV Disease



UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA Ohn JN. N Engl J Med. 1996;335:490–498.

Compensatory Mechanisms: Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System







Rational for Medications

Improve Symptoms Diuretics (water pills) digoxin



• Improve Survival

- Betablockers
- ACE-inhibitors
- Aldosterone blockers
- Angiotensin receptor blockers (ARB's)



<u>**DIET</u> Approach to the Patient With Heart Failure**</u>

- <u>D</u>iagnose
 - Etiology
 - Severity (LV dysfunction)
- <u>I</u>nitiate
 - Diuretic/ACE inhibitor
 - $-\beta$ -blocker
 - Spirololactone
 - Digoxin

- <u>E</u>ducate
 - Diet
 - Exercise
 - Lifestyle
 - CV Risk
- <u>T</u>itrate
 - Optimize ACE inhibitor
 - Optimize β-blocker





ACE Inhibitors in CHF

Study	No.	Males	Age	EF%	Class	Drug	F/U	Mortality Reduction %
V-HeFT	642	100%	58	30	II.III	HDZN/	2.3	11
						ISDN	yrs.	
CONCENSUS	253	70%	70	NA	IV	Enalapril	188	27
							Days	
V-HeFT II	804	100%	61	29	II,III	Enalapril	2.5	14
							yrs.	
SOLVD	2569	80%	61	25	II,III	Enalapril	41.4	16
Treatment							mo.	
SOLVD	4228	89%	59	28	I,II	Enalapril	37.4	8
Prevention							mo.	



Optimal Dosing of ACE Inhibitors

- General Guideline:
- Start low and titrate to the target dose used in the clinical trials or the MAXIMUM TOLERATED DOSE (ATLAS trial)
- Captopril 6.25-12.5 mg \Rightarrow 50 mg BID-TID (SAVE)
- Enalapril 2.5 mg BID ⇒20 mg BID (SOLVD/X)
- Ramipril 2.5 mg BID ⇒ 5 mg BID (AIRE/EX)
- Lisinopril 10 mg OD ⇒ 30-40 mg OD (GISSI 3)
- Trandolapril $1mg \Rightarrow 4 mg$ (TRACE)



Summary – ARBs in CHF

		ELITE II Val-HeFT		VALIANT	CHARM	
		ARB vs ACEI	ARB vs placebo (± ACEI ±BB)	Captopril, Valsartan or Combination	ARB vs placebo (± ACEI)	
#]	ots.	3,152	5,010	4909/4909/4885	7,601	
Poj tio	pula- n	Heart failure	Heart failure	Post MI with clinical or radiologic HF	Symptomatic HF Class II-III/↓ LV function/preserved LVF (added+alternative/preserved)	
En poi	d- ints	1º All-cause mortality, sudden death or resuscitated cardiac arrest: NS	1° All-cause mortality: NS 1° Combined M/M: ACEI+ARB = - 13.2% ACE intolerant: -33% all cause mortality	1º All-cause mortality: NS 2º CV Death, MI, or HF:NS Valsartan non- inferior to Captopril	1° All-cause mortality: NS 2° CV death or HF hospitalization: •CHARM Added: –ACEI+ARB = -15% •CHARM Alternative: –ARB = -30% •CHARM Preserved: NS	

Evidence for Various ARBs

	Diovan (valsartan)	Avapro (irbesartan)	Cozaar (Iosartan)	Atacand (candesartan cilexetil)	Micardis (telmisartan)	Teveten (eprosartan)
Reduction in microalbumin- uria with starting dose	-45%	-6%	-35%	-30%	N/a	N/a
Heart failure hospitaliza- tions	-27.5% (ValHeFT)	N/a	-8.1% (ELITE II)	-17% (CHARM)	N/a	N/a
CV outcome in CHF-treated patients	-13.3% (ValHeFT)	N/a	+7% (ELITE II)	-15% (CHARM)	N/a	N/a
Positive CV outcomes in CHF	Yes	N/a	Νο	Yes	N/a	N/a
Equivalent Efficacy to ACEi post MI	Yes	N/a	No	N/a	N/a	N/a



HF Trials Modulating β receptors

Т	rial	HF Pts	Ν	R x	R R
ູບູ	S Carvedilol	11-111	1,094	Carvedilol	0.35
A	us-NZ	11	415	Carvedilol	0.74
C	IBIS II	EF<35%	2,647	Bisoprolol	0.66
M	ERIT	EF < 40%	3,991	Metopr-CR	0.66
ତ୍ତ୍	DPERNICUS	EF<25%	2,289	Carvedilol	0.65

Background Rx = ACEi + Diuretics +/- Digoxin



Number Need to Rx in HF

TRI	4 L	Therapy	Annual Mortality- Placebo	Annual Mortality- Treatment	Absolute Risk Reduc'n	NNRx/year to Save One Life
SOL	VD	Enalapril vs. Plac	12.5%	11.2%	1.3%	77
MEF	RIT	Metoprolol vs. Plac	11.0%	7.2%	3.8%	26
СІВІ	S-2	Bisoprolol vs. Plac	13.2%	8.8%	4.4%	23
C O F IC U i	P E R N S	Carvedilol vs. Plac	18.5%	11.4%	7.1%	14
RAL	ES	Spiro vs. Placebo	22.5%	15.8%	6.7%	15



β-adrenergic Blocking Agents

- Titrate to target dose
 - Bisoprolol 1.25 -10 mg OD
 - Carvedilol 3.125 25 mg BID
 - Metoprolol 12.5 50 to75 mg /BID
- If unable to tolerate high dose β-blocker maintain highest tolerated dose
- Continue indefinitely



Patient Selection for Successful β - Blocker Initiation

- Stable symptoms
- Stable background heart failure medications
- No recent CV hospitalization
- Stable CV status (no hypotension or bradycardia)
- Euvolemic status
- Start low and titrate slowly



Patients With Heart Failure Who Should Not Be Started on β -blockers

General Contraindications

- Bronchospastic pulmonary disease
- Severe bradycardia, high degree AV block, sick sinus syndrome
- Heart Failure Considerations
 - Congestive symptoms at rest (NYHA Class IV)
 - Patients who require intravenous therapy for HF
 - Unstable symptoms or recent changes in background medications
 - Hospitalized patients (especially for worsening HF)



Device Therapy: Biventricular Pacing



Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy (CRT)

- Atrial-biventricular stimulation
- Electrical synchronization → narrower QRS
- Mechanical synchronization → reverse remodeling





Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy Key Points

Indications

- Moderate to severe CHF who have failed optimal medical therapy
- EF<30%
- Evidence of electrical conduction delay
- Timing of Referral Important
 - Patients often not on optimal Medical Rx
 - Patients referred too late- Not a Bail Out



Defibrillators (ICD's)



Severity of Heart Failure Modes of Death



Therapies Provided by Today's Dual-Chamber ICDs

Atrium

- AT/AF tachyarrhythmia detection
- Antitachycardia pacing
- Cardioversion

Ventricle

- VT/VF detection Antitachycardia pacing
- Cardioversion

Defibrillation

Atrium & Ventricle

- Bradycardia sensing
- ♦ Bradycardia pacing

SCD-HeFT: Primary Conclusions

- . In class II or III CHF patients with $EF \le 35\%$ on good background drug therapy, the mortality rate for placebo-controlled patients is 7.2% per year over 5 years
- Simple, single lead, shock-only ICDs decrease mortality by 23%
- 3. Amiodarone, when used as a primary preventative agent, does not improve survival





Implantable Cardiac Defribrillators

EBM Therapies	Relative Risk Reduction	Mortality 2 year
ACE-I	23%	27%
B-Blockers	35%	12%
Aldosterone Antagonists	30%	19%
ICD	31%	8.5%



Who should Consider an ICD?

- Patients with weakend heart, New York Heart Association (NYHA) Class II and III heart failure, and measured left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) ≤ 35%
- Patients who meet all current requirements for a cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) device and have NYHA Class IV heart failure;



Other Therapies



CardioMEMSTM HF System





RSITY OF CALI







Adult and Pediatric Heart Transplants Number of Transplants by Year





JHLT. 2014 Oct; 33(10): 996-1008

Ventricular Assist Devices (VAD)

- The first VADs were developed in the 1960s.
- Successful use did not occur until 1980s, but their use has been limited to heart transplant centers
 - Durability measured in days to weeks
 - Large in size
 - Many moving parts
 - Exclusively bridge to transplant
- Widespread use in the HF population has not been seen until recently



HeartMate II



• FDA approved as a bridge to transplant 2008.

- FDA approved as destination therapy in 2010
- Appropriate for endstage systolic heart failure patients



Total Artificial Heart

- Bridge to transplant
 - Biventricular failure
 - Refractory arrhythmias
 - Restrictive cardiomyopathy
- Longest "run" 46 months
- Pneumatic
- Patients can be outpatient











Heart Recovery/Cure?

- VADs + aggressive neurohormonal blockade
 Myocardial recovery and VAD explant
- Gene Therapy?
- Stem Cells?



What have we learned?



Goals & Outcomes

- Improve symptoms
- Improve quality of life
- Prevent progression of LV dysfunction
- Reduce hospitalization and morbidity
- Reduce mortality
 - Progression of HF
 - Sudden death





In Summary....

- Heart failure is common and has high mortality
- Drug therapy improves survival
 Betablockers, ACE-I, aldosterone antagonists
- Newer device therapies are showing promise for symptom relief and improved survival
 Biventricular pacing, ICD's, LVADs



Summary

- Chronic disease management models of multidisciplinary teams and home monitoring will be a mainstay of therapy
- The standard of care ranges from medical therapy to surgical therapy
- Other therapies continue to be developed













THANK YOU

