CALIFORNIA AREA GPRA RESULTS & INITIATIVES

California Medical Providers' Conference, May 23, 2011

PRESENTATION OUTLINE

- WHY RESULTS MATTER
- © CA QUARTER 3 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS
- MEASURES IN DANGER
 - CLINIC LEVEL RESULTS
 - CAO INITIATIVES
- O DISCUSSION

WHY RESULTS MATTER

- Results appear in the IHS budget to Congress
 - Can impact funding decisions
- Results reflect quality of care
 - Measures track basic preventive care
 - Measures track basic care and health of patients with chronic disease (diabetes, cardiovascular disease)



Definitions:

- •On Track to Meet: As of 2011 Q3, the measure is currently meeting or exceeding the 2011 target
- •Within Range: Target has not yet been met, but based on historical performance, the 2011 target is likely to be met by Q4
- •Not Yet Within Range: 2011 target is in danger of not being met based on historical performance but could be met with extra effort
- •Not on Track: 2011 targets will likely not be met without significant improvement efforts by all clinics

- As of 2011 Q3, the California Area is in danger of missing the targets for many of the 19 GPRA measures:
 - 5 measures are currently "on track to meet" 2011 targets
 - 3 measures are "within range" of meeting 2011 targets
 - 6 measures are "not yet within range" of meeting 2011 targets
 - 5 measures on "not on track" to meet 2011 targets

CALIFORNIA AREA 2011 Q3 RESULTS ANALYSIS

- The California Area Office has implemented several improvement efforts in 2011, yet GPRA results aren't showing much overall improvement.....
- Same number of measures in each result category in Q3 as in Q2
 - 2 measures did improve from Q2 to Q3:
 - CVD Assessment moved from "within range" to "on track to meet"
 - Nephropathy Assessment moved from "not yet within range" to "within range"
 - However, 2 measures dropped in performance:
 - Retinopathy dropped from "within range" to "not yet within range"
 - Depression Screening went from "not yet within range" to "not on track"

2011 Q3 GPRA Dashboard										
	California Area	California Area	National	National	2011 Q3					
DIABETES	<u>2011-Q3</u>	<u>2010-Final</u>	<u>2011-Q3*</u>	<u> 2011 Target</u>	Results - California Area					
Diabetes Dx Ever	10.8%	11%	12.7%	N/A	N/A					
Documented A1c	79.6%	83%	78.9%	N/A	N/A					
Poor Glycemic Control	14.5%	15%	17.6%	19.4%	On Track to Meet					
Ideal Glycemic Control	34.3%	37%	30.5%	30.2%	On Track to Meet					
Controlled BP <130/80	32.7%	35%	35.3%	35.9%	Not Yet Within Range					
LDL (Cholesterol) Assessed	62.3%	67%	61.9%	63.3%	Within Range					
Nephropathy Assessed	46.8%	48%	49.7%	51.9%	Within Range					
Retinopathy Exam	39.1%	47%	45.3%	50.1%	Not Yet Within Range					
DENTAL										
Dental: General Access	37.9%	43%	23.0%	23.0%	On Track to Meet					
Sealants	11,227	13,926	209,374	257,261	N/A					
Topical Fluoride- Patients	9,071	9,750	130,406	135,604	N/A					
IMMUNIZATIONS										
Influenza 65+	53.3%	54%	61.8%	58.5%	Not on Track					
Pneumovax 65+	82.3%	80%	85.5%	79.3%	On Track to Meet					
Childhood IZ ^a	62.4%	72%	69.7%	74.6%	Not on Track					
PREVENTION										
(Cervical) Pap Screening	48.5%	51%	57.6%	55.7%	Not on Track					
Mammography Screening	40.9%	45%	46.4%	46.9%	Not Yet Within Range					
Colorectal Cancer Screening	33.5%	32%	40.5%	36.7%	Not Yet Within Range					
Tobacco Cessation	20.6%	25%	23.8%	23.7%	Within Range					
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	39.6%	43%	51.2%	51.7%	Not Yet Within Range					
DV/IPV Screening	40.7%	48%	48.8%	52.8%	Not Yet Within Range					
Depression Screening	37.6%	39%	49.2%	51.9%	Not on Track					

43%

62%

24%

33.2%

80.1%

24.0%

33.0%

73.6%

N/A

On Track to Meet

Not on Track

N/A

CVD-Comprehensive Assessment

^bLong-term measure as of FY 2009, next reported FY 2013. 2011 Q3 results represent data from 11 of 12 IHS Areas

Prenatal HIV Screening

Childhood Weight Control^b

39.1%

56.5%

22.6%

^a4 Pneumococcal conjugate vaccines added to Childhood Immunization series in FY 2011.

	California Area	California Area	California Area	National	Difference: 2010	Difference: 2011			
DIABETES	<u>2010-Q3</u>	<u>2010-Final</u>	<u>2011-Q3</u>	<u> 2011 Target</u>	Q3 to 2010 Final	Q3 to 2011 Target			
Prenatal HIV Screening	60%	62%	56.5%	73.6%	2%	-17.1%			
Depression Screening	32%	39%	37.6%	51.9%	7%	-14.3%			
Childhood IZ ^a	64%	72%	62.4%	74.6%	8%	-12.2%			
DV/IPV Screening	41%	48%	40.7%	52.8%	7%	-12.1%			
Alcohol Screening (FAS Prevention)	35%	43%	39.6%	51.7%	8%	-12.1%			
Retinopathy Exam	37%	47%	39.1%	50.1%	10%	-11.0%			
Pap Screening	49%	51%	48.5%	55.7%	2%	-7.2 %			
Mammography Screening	40%	45%	40.9%	46.9%	5%	-6.0%			
Influenza 65+	56%	54%	53.3%	58.5%	-2%	-5.2%			
Nephropathy Assessed	40%	48%	46.8%	51.9%	8%	-5.1%			
Controlled BP <130/80	33%	35%	32.7%	35.9%	2%	-3.2%			
Colorectal Cancer Screening	30%	32%	33.5%	36.7%	2%	-3.2%			
Tobacco Cessation	19%	25%	20.6%	23.7%	6%	-3.1%			
LDL Assessed	59%	67%	62.3%	63.3%	8%	-1.0%			
CVD-Comprehensive Assessment	37%	43%	39.1%	33.0%	6%	6.1%			
Ideal Glycemic Control	34%	37%	34.3%	30.2%	3%	4.1%			
Pneumovax 65+	79%	80%	82.3%	79.3%	1%	3.0%			
Poor Glycemic Control	14%	15%	14.5%	19.4%	1%	4.9%			
Dental Access	39%	43%	37.9%	23.0%	4%	14.9%			
Sealants	10,815	13,926	11,227	257,261	3111	N/A			
Topical Fluoride- Patients	7,841	9,750	9,071	135,604	1909	N/A			
Childhood Weight Control ^b	24%	24%	22.6%	N/A	0%	N/A			
^a Varicella immunization added to childhood immunization series as of FY 2010									
^b Long-term measure as of FY 2009									

MEASURES IN DANGER OF NOT MEETING 2011 TARGETS....




Initiatives:

 Convened a Prenatal HIV Workgroup on March 23, 2011, included representatives from lower and higher performing sites

•Developed materials for sites to use to gather historical data for Prenatal HIV Screening (fax-back form, patient consent form) 2011 Q3 Result: 56.5%

2011 Target: 73.6%

Difference: 17.1%



DEPRESSION SCREENING


Initiatives:

 Measure of the Month Challenge for Depression Screening in December 2010 (Provided instructions for running patient lists and reference materials)

 Promoted Universal
 Screening of BH measures (including Depression
 Screening) and provided seed money and incentives for improvement 2011 Q3 Result: 37.6%

2011 Target: 51.9%

Difference: 14.3%




DV/IPV SCREENING

Initiatives:

 Promoted Universal
 Screening of BH measures (including DV/IPV
 Screening) and provided seed money and incentives for improvement 2011 Q3 Result: 40.7%

2011 Target: 52.8%

Difference: 12.1%




ALCOHOL SCREENING

Initiatives:

 Promoted Universal Screening of BH measures (including Alcohol Screening) and provided seed money and incentives for improvement 2011 Q3 Result: 39.6%

2011 Target: 51.7%

Difference: 12.1%



CHILDHOOD IMMUNIZATIONS

Initiatives:


•Provided written recommendations to clinics to run patient lists to identify children missing any immunizations in the 4313314 series

- Hosted ChildhoodImmunizationImprovement Webex on 4/19/11
- Measure of the Month Challenge for Childhood Immunizations in February/March 2011 (provided measure information and reference materials)

2011 Q3 Result: 62.4%

2011 Target: 74.6%

Difference: 12.2%



Starting in FY 2007, GPRA results are reported using the CRS Immunization package. Previous results were provided by the National Immunization Program.
*Varicella vaccine added to childhood immunization serires in FY 2010.

INFLUENZA 65+


Initiatives:

Hosted Influenza
 Vaccination
 Improvement Webex on
 11/4/2010

•Measure of the Month Challenge for Influenza vaccination in November 2010 (provided measure information and reference materials) 2011 Q3 Result: 53.3%

2011 Target: 58.5%

Difference: 5.2%




PAP SCREENING

Initiatives:

 Measure of the Month Challenge for Pap Screening in June/July 2011 (will provide measure information and reference materials) 2011 Q3 Result: 48.5%

2011 Target: 55.7%

Difference: 7.2%




MAMMOGRAPHY SCREENING

2011 Q3 Result: 40.9%

2011 Target: 46.9%

Difference: 6.0%






Initiatives:

 Measure of the Month Challenge for Colorectal Cancer Screening in January 2011 (provided measure information and reference materials) 2011 Q3 Result: 33.5%

2011 Target: 36.7%

Difference: 3.2%




DIABETES: BP CONTROL

2011 Q3 Result: 32.7%

2011 Target: 35.9%

Difference: 3.2%




DIABETES: RETINOPATHY EXAM

Initiatives:

 Training on use of Retinopathy cameras available year-round 2011 Q3 Result: 39.1%

2011 Target: 50.1%

Difference: 11.0%



DISCUSSION: HOW ELSE MAY WE ASSIST YOUR CLINIC WITH IMPROVEMENT?