# Regional Differences in Indian Health

## 2011 Edition



U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Indian Health Service Office of Public Health Support Division of Program Statistics



## INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE REGIONAL DIFFERENCES IN INDIAN HEALTH 2011 EDITION

#### Indian Health Service

Yvette Roubideaux, M.D., M.P.H. Director

#### Office of Public Health Support

Richard M. Church, Pharm. D. Director

#### Division of Program Statistics Kirk Greenway

Director

## PREFACE

Since 1955, the Indian Health Service (IHS) has upheld the Federal Government's obligation to promote healthy American Indian and Alaska Native (AI/AN) communities and cultures, while honoring and protecting each Tribe's inherent sovereign rights. Our mission is to raise the physical, mental, social, and spiritual health of American Indians and Alaska Natives to the highest level.

The 2011 edition of "**Regional Differences in Indian Health**" describes IHS programs and provides tables and charts detailing the health status of AI/AN people. This report presents a structural overview of the Agency, along with demographic data on American Indians and Alaska Natives and patient care delivery services. Where appropriate, comparisons are made to the U.S. population at large.

The IHS remains committed to ensuring that comprehensive, culturally acceptable personal and public health services are available and accessible to AI/AN people. The data contained within this report advances our ongoing efforts to achieve this vital health care goal.

Yvette Roubideaux, M.D., M.P.H. Director

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Overall production of *Regional Differences in Indian Health* was managed by the Division of Program Statistics (DPS), Office of Public Health Support (OPHS), Indian Health Service (IHS) under the direction of Kirk Greenway, Director.

Production coordination was provided by Priscilla Sandoval.

Production was accomplished by the DPS staff: Kirk Greenway, JoAnn Glakas Pappalardo, Alan Friedman, and Priscilla Sandoval.

Data were analyzed by the following Program Statistics staff:

Part I, Indian Health Structure: *Kirk Greenway* 

Part II, Population Statistics: Kirk Greenway, JoAnn Glakas Pappalardo, Alan Friedman and contractor Linda Querec

Part III, Natality and Infant Mortality Statistics: *JoAnn Glakas Pappalardo* 

Part IV, General Mortality Statistics: JoAnn Glakas Pappalardo, Alan Friedman, and contractor Debra A. Heller

Part V, Patient Care Statistics: *Kirk Greenway, Alan Friedman, George Chiarchiaro (Dental), and Amy Groom (Immunization)* 

Technical and editorial review was provided by Kirk Greenway, JoAnn Glakas Pappalardo and Priscilla Sandoval.

Graphics (charts and tables) were created and compiled by Priscilla Sandoval.

Administrative support was provided by Jennifer Joseph, DPS staff assistant.

This report was designed and prepared for publication by the National Institutes of Health, Division of Medical Arts.

We would also like to recognize the contributions of the staff of each of the IHS areas and express our appreciation to them for providing data and reviewing information contained in this publication. The report would not have been possible without the efforts of many dedicated individuals across all the IHS areas.

## CONTENTS

Overview		1
Introduction		2
Scope and	Organization of this Report	2
Summary of	Data	3
Indian Hea	Ith Service Organizational Structure	3
Population	Statistics	3
Natality an	d Infant/Maternal Mortality Statistics	4
General Mo	ortality Statistics	4
Patient Car	e Statistics	5
Sources and	Limitations of Data	6
Population	Statistics	6
IHS Service	Population	7
Defini	tion	7
	ption of Service Population Calculation	7
	Methodologies	8
	Conversion to ICD-10	8
	U.S. Census Populations with Bridged Race Categories (2000 Census Bridged File)	9
	djustment Based on the 2000 Standard Population	9
Vital Event		9
		11
Glossary		12
Sources of C	opies and Additional Information	14
Part 1: India	n Health Service Structure	15
Chart 1.1	Indian Health Service Area Offices	15
Chart 1.2	Number of Service Units and Facilities,	
	Operated by IHS and Tribes, October 1, 2011	15
Chart 1.3	Number of Service Units and Facilities, Operated by Aberdeen Area and Tribes, October 1, 2011	16
Chart 1.4	Number of Service Units and Facilities, Operated by Alaska Area and Tribes, October 1, 2011	16
Chart 1.5	Number of Service Units and Facilities, Operated by Albuquerque Area and Tribes, October 1, 2011	17
Chart 1.6	Number of Service Units and Facilities, Operated by Bemidji Area and Tribes, October 1, 2011	17
Chart 1.7	Number of Service Units and Facilities, Operated by Billings Area and Tribes, October 1, 2011	18
Chart 1.8	Number of Service Units and Facilities, Operated by California Area and Tribes, October 1, 2011	18
Chart 1.9	Number of Service Units and Facilities, Operated by Nashville Area and Tribes, October 1, 2011	19

Chart 1.10	Number of Service Units and Facilities, Operated by Navajo Area and Tribes, October 1, 2011	19
Chart 1.11	Number of Service Units and Facilities, Operated by Oklahoma Area and Tribes, October 1, 2011	20
Chart 1.12	Number of Service Units and Facilities,	20
churt 1.12	Operated by Phoenix Area and Tribes, October 1, 2011	20
Chart 1.13	Number of Service Units and Facilities,	
	Operated by Portland Area and Tribes, October 1, 2011	21
Chart 1.14	Number of Service Units and Facilities, Operated by Tucson Area and Tribes, October 1, 2011	21
Part 2: Popul	ation Statistics	22
Chart 2.1	IHS User Population, FY 2011	22
Chart 2.2	Percent of Females in User Population, FY 2011	22
Chart 2.3	Percent of User Population under Age Five, FY 2011	23
Chart 2.4	Percent of User Population over Age 54, FY 2011	23
Chart 2.5	Percent High School Graduate or Higher, Age 25 and Older,	
	2000 Census American Indian and Alaska Native (Alone)	24
Chart 2.6	Percent Bachelor's Degree or Higher, Age 25 and Older,	
	2000 Census American Indian and Alaska Native (Alone)	24
Chart 2.7	Percent of Males Unemployed, Age 16 and Older, 2000 Census American Indian and Alaska Native (Alone)	25
Chart 2.8	Percent of Females Unemployed, Age 16 and Older, 2000 Census American Indian and Alaska Native (Alone)	25
Chart 2.9	Median Household Income in 1999,	
	2000 Census American Indian and Alaska Native (Alone)	26
Chart 2.10	Percent of Population Below Poverty Level, 2000 Census American Indian and Alaska Native (Alone)	26
Part 3: Natali	ty and Infant/Maternal Mortality Statistics	27
Chart 3.1	Birth Rates, CY 2004-2006	27
Table 3.1	Number and Rate of Live Births, CY 2004-2006	27
Chart 3.2	Low Birthweight, CY 2004-2006	28
Table 3.2	Low Birthweight as a Percent of Total Live Births, CY 2004-2006	28
Chart 3.3	High Birthweight, CY 2004-2006	29
Table 3.3	High Birthweight as a Percent of Total Live Births, CY 2004-2006	29
Chart 3.4	Prenatal Care in First Trimester, CY 2004-2006	30
Table 3.4	Prenatal Care in First Trimester, CY 2004-2006	30
Chart 3.5	Percent of Live Births for Mothers Who Smoked During Pregnancy, CY 2004-2006	31
Chart 3.6	Percent of Low Birthweight for Mothers Who Smoked During Pregnancy, CY 2004-2006	31
Table 3.5	Percent of Mothers Who Smoked During Pregnancy for All Births and Low Birthweight by Age of Mother, CY 2004-2006	32
Chart 3 7	Rirth Rates Among Mothers with Diabetes CV 2004-2006	~ ~ ~
Chart 3.7 Table 3.7	Birth Rates Among Mothers with Diabetes, CY 2004-2006 Rate of Live Births Among Mothers with Diabetes by Age of Mother, CY 2004-2006	33 33
Chart 3.7 Table 3.7 Chart 3.8	Birth Rates Among Mothers with Diabetes, CY 2004-2006 Rate of Live Births Among Mothers with Diabetes by Age of Mother, CY 2004-2006 Infant Mortality Rates, CY 2004-2006	33 33 34

Table 3.8	Infant Mortality Rates (Under One Year), CY 2004-2006	3
Chart 3.9	Neonatal Mortality Rates, CY 2004-2006	3
Table 3.9	Neonatal Mortality Rates (Under 28 Days), CY 2004-2006	3
Chart 3.10	Postneonatal Mortality Rates, CY 2004-2006	3
Table 3.10	Postneonatal Mortality Rates (28 Days to Under One Year), CY 2004-2006	3
Chart 3.11	Leading Causes of Infant Deaths, All IHS Areas, CY 2004-2006	3
Chart 3.12	Leading Causes of Infant Deaths, U.S. All Races, CY 2005	3
Chart 3.13	Leading Causes of Infant Deaths, Aberdeen Area, CY 2004-2006	3
Chart 3.14	Leading Causes of Infant Deaths, Alaska Area, CY 2004-2006	3
Chart 3.15	Leading Causes of Infant Deaths, Albuquerque Area, CY 2004-2006	3
Chart 3.16	Leading Causes of Infant Deaths, Bemidji Area, CY 2004-2006	3
Chart 3.17	Leading Causes of Infant Deaths, Billings Area, CY 2004-2006	3
Chart 3.18	Leading Causes of Infant Deaths, California Area, CY 2004-2006	3
Chart 3.19	Leading Causes of Infant Deaths, Nashville Area, CY 2004-2006	3
Chart 3.20	Leading Causes of Infant Deaths, Navajo Area, CY 2004-2006	L
Chart 3.21	Leading Causes of Infant Deaths, Oklahoma Area, CY 2004-2006	L
Chart 3.22	Leading Causes of Infant Deaths, Phoenix Area, CY 2004-2006	4
Chart 3.23	Leading Causes of Infant Deaths, Portland Area, CY 2004-2006	4
Chart 3.24	Leading Causes of Infant Deaths, Tucson Area, CY 2004-2006	4
Chart 3.25	Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS) Rates, CY 2004-2006	4
Table 3.25	Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS) Rates, CY 2004-2006	4
art 4: Gene	ral Mortality Statistics	4
Chart 4.1	Age-Adjusted Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	
churt 4.1		4
	Age-Adjusted Death Rates (All Causes), 2004-2006	
Table 4.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4
Table 4.1 Chart 4.2	Age-Adjusted Death Rates (All Causes), 2004-2006	1
Table 4.1 Chart 4.2 Table 4.2	Age-Adjusted Death Rates (All Causes), 2004-2006 Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) Rates, CY 2004-2006	1
Table 4.1 Chart 4.2 Table 4.2 Chart 4.3	Age-Adjusted Death Rates (All Causes), 2004-2006 Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) Rates, CY 2004-2006 Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) Rates (All Causes), CY 2004-2006	1
Table 4.1 Chart 4.2	Age-Adjusted Death Rates (All Causes), 2004-2006 Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) Rates, CY 2004-2006 Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) Rates (All Causes), CY 2004-2006 Leading Causes of Death, All IHS Areas, CY 2004-2006	
Table 4.1 Chart 4.2 Table 4.2 Chart 4.3 Chart 4.4 Chart 4.5	Age-Adjusted Death Rates (All Causes), 2004-2006 Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) Rates, CY 2004-2006 Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) Rates (All Causes), CY 2004-2006 Leading Causes of Death, All IHS Areas, CY 2004-2006 Leading Causes of Death, U.S. All Races, CY 2005	
Table 4.1 Chart 4.2 Table 4.2 Chart 4.3 Chart 4.4 Chart 4.5 Chart 4.6	Age-Adjusted Death Rates (All Causes), 2004-2006 Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) Rates, CY 2004-2006 Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) Rates (All Causes), CY 2004-2006 Leading Causes of Death, All IHS Areas, CY 2004-2006 Leading Causes of Death, U.S. All Races, CY 2005 Leading Causes of Death, Aberdeen Area, CY 2004-2006	
Table 4.1         Chart 4.2         Table 4.2         Chart 4.3         Chart 4.4         Chart 4.5         Chart 4.6         Chart 4.7	Age-Adjusted Death Rates (All Causes), 2004-2006 Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) Rates, CY 2004-2006 Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) Rates (All Causes), CY 2004-2006 Leading Causes of Death, All IHS Areas, CY 2004-2006 Leading Causes of Death, U.S. All Races, CY 2005 Leading Causes of Death, Aberdeen Area, CY 2004-2006 Leading Causes of Death, Alaska Area, CY 2004-2006	
Table 4.1 Chart 4.2 Table 4.2 Chart 4.3 Chart 4.4	Age-Adjusted Death Rates (All Causes), 2004-2006Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) Rates, CY 2004-2006Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) Rates (All Causes), CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, All IHS Areas, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, U.S. All Races, CY 2005Leading Causes of Death, Aberdeen Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Alaska Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Albuquerque Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Albuquerque Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Bemidji Area, CY 2004-2006	
Table 4.1         Chart 4.2         Table 4.2         Chart 4.3         Chart 4.4         Chart 4.5         Chart 4.6         Chart 4.7         Chart 4.8         Chart 4.9	Age-Adjusted Death Rates (All Causes), 2004-2006Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) Rates, CY 2004-2006Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) Rates (All Causes), CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, All IHS Areas, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, U.S. All Races, CY 2005Leading Causes of Death, Aberdeen Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Alaska Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Albuquerque Area, CY 2004-2006	
Table 4.1         Chart 4.2         Table 4.2         Chart 4.3         Chart 4.4         Chart 4.5         Chart 4.6         Chart 4.7         Chart 4.8         Chart 4.9         Chart 4.10	Age-Adjusted Death Rates (All Causes), 2004-2006Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) Rates, CY 2004-2006Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) Rates (All Causes), CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, All IHS Areas, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, U.S. All Races, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Aberdeen Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Alaska Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Albuquerque Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Bemidji Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Bemidji Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, California Area, CY 2004-2006	
Table 4.1         Chart 4.2         Table 4.2         Chart 4.3         Chart 4.4         Chart 4.5         Chart 4.6         Chart 4.7         Chart 4.8	Age-Adjusted Death Rates (All Causes), 2004-2006Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) Rates, CY 2004-2006Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) Rates (All Causes), CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, All IHS Areas, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, U.S. All Races, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Aberdeen Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Alaska Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Allouquerque Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Bemidji Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Billings Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, California Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, California Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Nashville Area, CY 2004-2006	
Table 4.1         Chart 4.2         Table 4.2         Chart 4.3         Chart 4.4         Chart 4.5         Chart 4.6         Chart 4.7         Chart 4.8         Chart 4.9         Chart 4.10	Age-Adjusted Death Rates (All Causes), 2004-2006Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) Rates, CY 2004-2006Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) Rates (All Causes), CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, All IHS Areas, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, U.S. All Races, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Aberdeen Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Alaska Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Albuquerque Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Bemidji Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Bemidji Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, California Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Nashville Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Navajo Area, CY 2004-2006	
Table 4.1         Chart 4.2         Table 4.2         Chart 4.3         Chart 4.4         Chart 4.5         Chart 4.6         Chart 4.7         Chart 4.8         Chart 4.9         Chart 4.10         Chart 4.12	Age-Adjusted Death Rates (All Causes), 2004-2006Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) Rates, CY 2004-2006Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) Rates (All Causes), CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, All IHS Areas, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, U.S. All Races, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Aberdeen Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Alaska Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Allouquerque Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Bemidji Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Billings Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, California Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Nashville Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Oklahoma Area, CY 2004-2006	
Table 4.1         Chart 4.2         Table 4.2         Chart 4.3         Chart 4.4         Chart 4.5         Chart 4.6         Chart 4.7         Chart 4.8         Chart 4.9         Chart 4.10         Chart 4.11         Chart 4.12         Chart 4.13	Age-Adjusted Death Rates (All Causes), 2004-2006Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) Rates, CY 2004-2006Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) Rates (All Causes), CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, All IHS Areas, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, U.S. All Races, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Aberdeen Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Alaska Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Allouquerque Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Bemidji Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Bemidji Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, California Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Nashville Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Navajo Area, CY 2004-2006	
Table 4.1 Chart 4.2 Table 4.2 Chart 4.3 Chart 4.4 Chart 4.5 Chart 4.6 Chart 4.7 Chart 4.8 Chart 4.9 Chart 4.9 Chart 4.10 Chart 4.11 Chart 4.12 Chart 4.13 Chart 4.14 Chart 4.15	Age-Adjusted Death Rates (All Causes), 2004-2006Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) Rates, CY 2004-2006Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) Rates (All Causes), CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, All IHS Areas, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, U.S. All Races, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Aberdeen Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Alaska Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Allouquerque Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Bemidji Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Billings Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, California Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Nashville Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Navajo Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Neahyile Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Navajo Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Neahyile Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Phoenix Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Phoenix Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Phoenix Area, CY 2004-2006	
Table 4.1         Chart 4.2         Table 4.2         Chart 4.3         Chart 4.4         Chart 4.5         Chart 4.6         Chart 4.7         Chart 4.8         Chart 4.9         Chart 4.10         Chart 4.11         Chart 4.12         Chart 4.13	Age-Adjusted Death Rates (All Causes), 2004-2006Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) Rates, CY 2004-2006Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) Rates (All Causes), CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, All IHS Areas, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, U.S. All Races, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Aberdeen Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Alaska Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Allouquerque Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Bemidji Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Bemidji Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, California Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Nashville Area, CY 2004-2006Leading Causes of Death, Navajo Area, CY 2004-2006	

Chart 4.18	Age-Adjusted Unintentional Injury Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	51
Table 4.18	Age-Adjusted Unintentional Injury Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	51
Chart 4.19	Age-Adjusted Suicide Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	52
Table 4.19	Age-Adjusted Suicide Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	52
Chart 4.20	Age-Adjusted Homicide Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	53
Table 4.20	Age-Adjusted Homicide Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	53
Chart 4.21	Age-Adjusted Firearm Injury Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	54
Table 4.21	Age-Adjusted Firearm Injury Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	54
Chart 4.22	Age-Adjusted Alcohol-Related Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	55
Table 4.22	Age-Adjusted Alcohol-Related Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	55
Chart 4.23	Age-Adjusted Diabetes Mellitus Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	56
Table 4.23	Age-Adjusted Diabetes Mellitus Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	56
Chart 4.24	Age-Adjusted Pneumonia and Influenza Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	57
Table 4.24	Age-Adjusted Pneumonia and Influenza Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	57
Chart 4.25	Age-Adjusted Tuberculosis Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	58
Table 4.25	Age-Adjusted Tuberculosis Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	58
Chart 4.26	Age-Adjusted Gastrointestinal Diseases Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	59
Table 4.26	Age-Adjusted Gastrointestinal Diseases Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	59
Chart 4.27	Age-Adjusted Heart Disease Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	60
Table 4.27	Age-Adjusted Heart Disease Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	60
Chart 4.28	Age-Adjusted Cerebrovascular Diseases Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	61
Table 4.28	Age-Adjusted Cerebrovascular Diseases Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	61
Chart 4.29	Age-Adjusted Malignant Neoplasm Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	62
Table 4.29	Age-Adjusted Malignant Neoplasm Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	62
Chart 4.30	Age-Adjusted Lung Cancer Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	63
Table 4.30	Age-Adjusted Lung Cancer Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	63
Chart 4.31	Age-Adjusted Female Breast Cancer Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	64
Table 4.31	Age-Adjusted Female Breast Cancer Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	64
Chart 4.32	Age-Adjusted Cervical Cancer Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	65
Table 4.32	Age-Adjusted Cervical Cancer Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	65
Chart 4.33	Age-Adjusted Colon-Rectal Cancer Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	66
Table 4.33	Age-Adjusted Colon-Rectal Cancer Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	66
Chart 4.34	Age-Adjusted Prostate Cancer Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	67
Table 4.34	Age-Adjusted Prostate Cancer Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	67
Chart 4.35	Age-Adjusted Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) Infection Death Rates, CY 2004-2006	68
Table 4.35	Age-Adjusted Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) Infection Death Rates,	00
	CY 2004-2006	68
Chart 4.36	Life Expectancy at Birth for Both Sexes, CY 2004-2006	69
Chart 4.37	Life Expectancy at Birth for Males, CY 2004-2006	69
Chart 4.38	Life Expectancy at Birth for Females, CY 2004-2006	70

Part 5: Patie	nt Care Statistics	71
Chart 5.1	Number of Hospital Admissions, FY 2011	71
Chart 5.2	Hospital Admission Rates, FY 2011	71
Table 5.1	Number and Rate of Admissions, Indian Health Service and Tribal Direct and Contract	:
	General Hospitals, FY 2011, and U.S. Short-Stay Community Hospitals, CY 2011	72
Chart 5.3	Number of Hospital Days, FY 2011	73
Table 5.3	Number of Hospital Days, Indian Health Service	
<u> </u>	and Tribal Direct and Contract General Hospitals, FY 2011	73
Chart 5.4	Leading Causes of Hospitalization, All IHS Areas, FY 2011	74
Chart 5.5	Leading Causes of Hospitalization, Aberdeen Area, FY 2011	74
Chart 5.6	Leading Causes of Hospitalization, Alaska Area, FY 2011	74
Chart 5.7	Leading Causes of Hospitalization, Albuquerque Area, FY 2011	75
Chart 5.8	Leading Causes of Hospitalization, Bemidji Area, FY 2011	75
Chart 5.9	Leading Causes of Hospitalization, Billings Area, FY 2011	75
Chart 5.10	Leading Causes of Hospitalization, California Area, FY 2011	76
Chart 5.11	Leading Causes of Hospitalization, Nashville Area, FY 2011	76
Chart 5.12	Leading Causes of Hospitalization, Navajo Area, FY 2011	76
Chart 5.13	Leading Causes of Hospitalization, Oklahoma Area, FY 2011	77
Chart 5.14	Leading Causes of Hospitalization, Phoenix Area, FY 2011	77
Chart 5.15	Leading Causes of Hospitalization, Portland Area, FY 2011	78
Chart 5.16	Leading Causes of Hospitalization, Tucson Area, FY 2011	78
Chart 5.17	Number of Ambulatory Medical Visits, FY 2011	79
Table 5.17	Number of Ambulatory Medical Visits, Indian Health Service and Tribal Direct and Contract Facilities, FY 2011	79
Chart 5.18	Leading Causes of Ambulatory Medical Visits, All IHS Areas, FY 2011	80
Chart 5.19	Leading Causes of Ambulatory Medical Visits, Aberdeen Area, FY 2011	80
Chart 5.20	Leading Causes of Ambulatory Medical Visits, Alaska Area, FY 2011	80
Chart 5.21	Leading Causes of Ambulatory Medical Visits, Albuquerque Area, FY 2011	81
Chart 5.22	Leading Causes of Ambulatory Medical Visits, Bemidji Area, FY 2011	81
Chart 5.23	Leading Causes of Ambulatory Medical Visits, Billings Area, FY 2011	81
Chart 5.24	Leading Causes of Ambulatory Medical Visits, California Area, FY 2011	82
Chart 5.25	Leading Causes of Ambulatory Medical Visits, Nashville Area, FY 2011	82
Chart 5.26	Leading Causes of Ambulatory Medical Visits, Navajo Area, FY 2011	82
Chart 5.27	Leading Causes of Ambulatory Medical Visits, Oklahoma Area, FY 2011	83
Chart 5.28	Leading Causes of Ambulatory Medical Visits, Phoenix Area, FY 2011	83
Chart 5.29	Leading Causes of Ambulatory Medical Visits, Portland Area, FY 2011	84
Chart 5.30	Leading Causes of Ambulatory Medical Visits, Tucson Area, FY 2011	84
Chart 5.31	Hospital Rate of Persons Diagnosed with Asthma under Age 18, FY 2011	85
Table 5.31	Number and Rate of Hospitalization of Persons Diagnosed with Asthma	
	under Age 18, FY 2011	85
Chart 5.32	Immunization Rates, 19-35 Months, FY 2011	86
Chart 5.33	Pneumococcal Immunization Rates, 65 Years and Older, FY 2011	86
Chart 5.34	Influenza Immunization Rates, 65 Years and Older, FY 2011	87

Chart 5.35	Number of Dental Services Provided, FY 2011	88
Table 5.35	Number of Dental Services Provided, Indian Health Service and Tribal Direct and Contract Facilities, FY 2011	88
Glossary of I	CD-10 Codes	89
List of 113	Causes of Death (1999-present)	89
List of 130	Causes of Infant Death (1999-present)	92
Additional of	auses of death and their corresponding ICD-10 Codes (1999-present)	96
List of ICD-9	P-CM Codes used in Patient Care Charts and Tables	96
Index to Chai	ts and Tables	97
References		99

#### **OVERVIEW**

The Indian Health Service (IHS), an agency within the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), is responsible for providing federal health services to American Indian and Alaska Native (AI/AN) people. The provision of health services to federally recognized Indians grew out of a special relationship between the federal government and Indian Tribes. This government-to-government relationship is based on Article I, Section 8, of the United States Constitution, and has been given form and substance by numerous treaties, laws, Supreme Court decisions, and Executive Orders.

The Indian Health program became a primary responsibility of the HHS under P.L. 83-568, the Transfer Act, on August 5, 1954. This Act provides "that all functions, responsibilities, authorities, and duties . . . relating to the maintenance and operation of hospital and health facilities for Indians, and the conservation of Indian health . . . shall be administered by the Surgeon General of the United States Public Health Service."

The IHS is the federal health care provider and health advocate for AI/AN people and its goal is to assure that comprehensive, culturally-acceptable personal and public health services are available and accessible to AI/AN people. The mission of the IHS, in partnership with AI/AN people, is to raise their physical, mental, social, and spiritual health to the highest level. It is also the responsibility of the IHS to work with the people involved in the health delivery programs so they may be cognizant of entitlements of AI/AN people, as American citizens, to all federal, state, and local health programs, in addition to IHS and Tribal services. The IHS also acts as the principal federal health advocate for AI/AN people in the building of health coalitions, networks, and partnerships with Tribal nations and other government agencies as well as with non-federal organizations, e.g., academic medical centers and private foundations.

The IHS has carried out its responsibilities through developing and operating a health services delivery system designed to provide a broad-spectrum program of preventive, curative, rehabilitative, and environmental services. This system integrates health services delivered directly through IHS facilities, purchased by IHS through contractual arrangements with providers in the private sector, and delivered through Tribally operated programs and urban Indian health programs.

The 1975 Indian Self-Determination Act, P.L. 93-638 as amended, builds upon IHS policy by giving Tribes the option of staffing and managing IHS programs in their communities, and provides for funding for improvement of Tribal capability to contract under the Act. The 1976 Indian Health Care Improvement Act, P. L. 94-437 as amended, was intended to elevate the health status of AI/AN people to a level equal to that of the general population through a program of authorized higher resource levels in the IHS budget. Appropriated resources were used to expand health services, build and renovate medical facilities, and step up the construction of safe drinking water and sanitary disposal facilities. It also established programs designed to increase the number of Indian health professionals for Indian needs and to improve health care access for Indian people living in urban areas.

The operation of the IHS health services delivery system is managed through local administrative units called service units. A service unit is the primary level of health organization for a geographic area served by the IHS program, just as a county or city health department in a state health department.

A few service units cover a number of small reservations; some large reservations are divided into a number of service units. The service units are grouped into larger cultural-demographic-geographic management jurisdictions administered by Area Offices.

## INTRODUCTION

*Regional Differences in Indian Health* provides basic statistical information to the IHS and its programs, Tribes, other federal and state government agencies, as well as other customers interested in the IHS. This publication uses narrative, charts, and tables to describe the IHS program and the health status of AI/AN people residing in the IHS service area. The IHS service area consists of counties on and near federal Indian reservations. The Indians residing in the service area comprise about 57 percent of all AI/AN people residing in the U.S. Information pertaining to the IHS organizational structure, AI/AN demography, and patient care is included. Current regional differences are presented, and comparisons to the general population are made when appropriate. Historical trend information can be found in the IHS companion publication *Trends in Indian Health*.

#### **Scope and Organization of this Report**

Narrative, charts, and tables are grouped into five major categories:

IHS STRUCTURE	PG.17
POPULATION STATISTICS	PG.24
	DC 20
NATALITY AND INFANT/MATERNAL MORTALITY STATISTICS	PG.29
GENERAL MORTALITY/MULTIPLE CAUSE STATISTICS	PG.47
PATIENT CARE STATISTICS	PG.74

The tables provide detailed data, while the charts further depict significant relationships. Throughout this report each table and its corresponding chart appear next to each other. However, some self-explanatory charts do not have a corresponding table. In other instances, a table may have more than one chart associated with it.

## SUMMARY OF DATA

#### Indian Health Service Organizational Structure

The IHS is comprised of twelve regional administrative units called Area Offices:

Aberdeen	Bemidji	Nashville	Phoenix
Alaska	Billings	Navajo	Portland
Albuquerque	California	Oklahoma	Tucson

As of October 1, 2011, the Area Offices consisted of 165 basic administrative units called service units. Of the 165 service units, 109 were operated by Tribes. The number of service units ranged from two in Tucson to 31 in California.

The IHS operated 28 hospitals, 63 health centers, four school health centers, and 35 health stations. Tribes have two different vehicles for exercising their self-determination—they can choose to take over the operation of an IHS facility through a P.L. 93-638 self-determination contract (Title I) or a P.L. 93-638 self-governance compact, as amended (Title V). A distinction is made in this publication regarding these two Tribal modes of operation, i.e., Title I and Title V. Tribes operated 16 hospitals (Title V), 251 health centers (Title I, 96 and Title V, 155), six school health centers (Title I, four and Title V, two), 103 health stations (Title I, 43 and Title V, 60), and 166 Alaska village clinics (Title I, 8, Title V, 152, and other, six). Both California and Portland operated no hospitals while Aberdeen and Alaska operated seven hospitals. Tucson had the fewest health centers with six; California and Oklahoma had the most with 51.

#### **Population Statistics**

In fiscal year (FY) 2011, the IHS user population was slightly over 1.5 million. The IHS user population is defined as the number of Indian registrants, residing within a service delivery area with at least one face-to-face, direct or contract, inpatient stay, ambulatory care visit, or dental visit during the prior three fiscal years. The service delivery area for the user population is called a "Contract Health Service Delivery Area", and only users who live inside one can be counted as a user. Tucson (26,564) and Nashville (52,496) had the smallest user populations while Navajo (247,923) and Oklahoma (335,569) had the largest user populations.

The AI/AN population is younger, less educated and poorer than the U.S. all races population. For the IHS user population in FY 2011, 8.8 percent of the persons were under age 5 compared to 6.5 percent for the U.S. all races population (U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey 2011). There was considerable variation by Area with Oklahoma at 7.4 percent; Aberdeen and Alaska at 10.7 percent.

According to the 2000 Census, 70.9 percent of AI/AN (alone) (ages 25 and older) residing in the IHS service areas are high school graduates or higher compared to 80.4 percent for the U.S. all races population. For the Navajo Area, the percentage was less than 60.0 (based on state-level AI/AN (alone) data). The 2000 Census also indicated that the median household income in 1999 for AI/AN (alone) residing in the IHS service areas was \$32,461, while for the U.S. all races it was \$50,046, which is 54 percent higher than AI/AN (alone) people residing in the IHS service areas. Albuquerque had the lowest median household income at \$22,295 and California the highest at \$35,611 (based on state-level AI/AN (alone) data).

#### Natality and Infant/Maternal Mortality Statistics

The birth rate for AI/AN people residing in the IHS service area was 22.9 (rate per 1,000 population) in 2004-2006. It is 1.6 times the 2005 birth rate of 14.0 for the U.S. all races population.

The infant mortality rate for AI/AN people residing in the IHS service area was 8.2 per 1,000 live births in 2004-2006 compared to 6.9 for the U.S. all races population in 2005. The AI/AN rate is 19 percent higher than the U.S. all races rate. The infant mortality rate varied considerably among the IHS Areas, ranging from 6.2 in Phoenix to 10.7 in Aberdeen. These data are adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the death certificate.<sup>1</sup>

#### **General Mortality Statistics**

In 2004-2006 the age-adjusted death rate (all causes) for AI/AN people residing in the IHS service area was 979.8 per 100,000 population compared to 798.8 for the U.S. all races population in 2005. The AI/AN rate is 23 percent greater than the U.S. all races rate. The Aberdeen (1,437.4), Bemidji (1,291.7) and Billings (1,271.9) service areas had the highest rates. The rate is adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate.

The top two leading causes of death for the IHS service area population in 2004-2006 were diseases of the heart and malignant neoplasm, the same as the U.S. all races in 2005. However, six IHS Areas (Aberdeen, Albuquerque, Billings, Navajo, Phoenix, and Tucson) had unintentional injuries as one of the top two leading causes. The leading causes of death were determined without any adjustment for age which is the customary method. Please note that the age composition of a population does influence its mortality pattern and therefore could have an effect on the leading causes of death ranking.

For most of the specific causes of death identified in this publication, the 2004-2006 AI/AN age-adjusted death rate (with data that have also been adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on death certificates) was greater than the 2005 U.S. all races rate. There was also considerable variation in the rates among the IHS Areas. Some of the Area rates should be interpreted with caution because of the small number of deaths involved. The following list is a comparison of the AI/AN age-adjusted rate (using data that are also adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate) to the U.S. all races rate where there are substantial differences.

- 1) alcoholism–*517 percent greater*
- 2) motor vehicle–208 percent greater
- 3) diabetes mellitus–176 percent greater
- 4) unintentional injuries–140 percent greater
- 5) poisoning–*79 percent greater*
- 6) homicide–*90 percent greater*
- 7) suicide–82 percent greater
- 8) firearm injury–*34 percent greater*
- 9) pneumonia and influenza–*33 percent greater*
- 10) malignant neoplasm-4 percent less
- 11) diseases of the heart–2 percent less
- 12) human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection-26 percent less

#### **Patient Care Statistics**

In FY 2011, there were over 74,000 admissions to IHS and Tribal direct and contract general hospitals. The number of admissions ranged from 547 in California to 20,602 in Navajo. Obstetric deliveries and complications of pregnancy accounted for the overall leading cause of hospitalization in IHS and Tribal direct and contract general hospitals. However, on an area-by-area basis, obstetric deliveries and complications of pregnancy led hospital admissions in Alaska, Navajo, Oklahoma and Phoenix. IHS and Tribal direct and contract facilities reported ambulatory medical visits in excess of 12 million for FY 2011. Tucson reported the fewest ambulatory medical visits with 216,485 and Oklahoma had the most with 2,959,271. The supplementary classification—an ambulatory visit that does not directly deal with an injury or disease, but rather includes such preventative care as well-child visits, vaccinations, physical examinations, tests only (lab, x-ray, screening), hospital, medical, or surgical followup, and prescription refills—led as the number-one cause of ambulatory medical visits for all IHS Areas. Prescription refills are thought to be a major contributor to the number of such visits relative to all others. In order to provide a true "top five" in terms of categories of diseases, additional such categories were added beyond five in order to balance the disproportionate number of supplementary classifications relative to all other categories.

In FY 2011, 75.9 percent of AI/AN children 19-35 months and residing in IHS service areas received all required immunizations. In the general population in CY 2011, 73.3 percent of children aged 19 to 35 months received all required immunizations. In AI/AN children 19-35 months and residing in an IHS service area, the Portland area had the lowest coverage rate at 64.3 percent, while the Tucson Area had the highest rate, 84.4 percent.

In FY 2011, over 4.7 million dental services were reported to be provided at IHS and Tribal direct and contract facilities. Three IHS Areas provided 49 percent of these reported dental services: California (682,848), Navajo (725,257), and Oklahoma (890,130).

## SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS OF DATA

#### **Population Statistics**

Registered AI/AN patients with at least one direct or contract inpatient stay, outpatient visit, or dental visit during the last three years are defined as users. IHS user population estimates are drawn from data in the IHS National Data Warehouse. First implemented in 1984 as the Patient Registration System, it functioned adequately for many years; but, in recent years, system changes resulted in registration record errors. New system-wide improvements were implemented dating back to August, 2001. Local facilities re-sent complete and up-to-date information for all patients who had ever received direct or contract health services from IHS or Tribally-operated programs to a central data repository. Data matching software was then applied to the information, allowing for the identification and removal of duplicate records. Thanks to the dedicated efforts of area statistical officers and information technologists alike, this publication contains some of the most accurate user population estimates ever produced.

The IHS user population estimates shown in this publication should be contrasted with the IHS service population (eligible population) estimates, which are shown in the *Trends in Indian Health* publication. The service population estimates are based on official U.S. Census Bureau county data, representing self-identified AI/AN people who may or may not use IHS services. IHS service populations between census years (e.g., 1990 and 2000) are estimated using a smoothing technique in order to show a gradual transition between census years. This normally results in upward revisions to service population figures projected prior to a census, since each Census tends to do a better job in enumerating AI/AN people. IHS service populations beyond the latest census year with available data are projected through linear regression techniques, using the most current ten years of AI/AN birth and death data provided by the NCHS, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

IHS user population figures are used for calculating IHS patient care rates. However, since state birth and death certificates do not provide information on use of IHS services, IHS service population figures are used in calculating AI/AN vital event rates for the IHS service areas.

The social and economic data contained in this publication are from the 2000 census and reflect the characteristics of persons self-identifying as AI/AN (alone).

#### **IHS Service Population**

#### Definition

The IHS service population is based on the 2000 census bridged-race file (developed by the Census Bureau and NCHS, CDC). It consists of AI/AN and serves as a measure of those eligible for IHS services. Those AI/AN eligible are estimated by counting AI/AN who reside in geographic areas in which IHS has responsibilities ("on or near" reservations) and is comprised of approximately 57 percent of all AI/AN residing in the U.S. These people **may** or **may not** use IHS health services. (Migration is not a factor when developing the IHS service population).

#### Description of Service Population Calculation

DPS produces service populations for IHS Areas, service units, and counties.

IHS service population figures are based on the 2000 census with bridged-race file county data. The Census Bureau enumerates those individuals who identify themselves as AI/AN. The IHS service population consists of those enumerated AI/ANs who reside in the geographic areas in which IHS has responsibilities ("on or near" reservations, i.e., contract health service delivery areas (CHSDAs)).

The 2000 Census allowed respondents to report more then one race category to describe themselves and household members. This was a result of the revised Office of Management and Budget (OMB) guidelines issued on October 30, 1997. All other censuses prior to 2000 had offered the respondent with the option for self-identification of a single race with which the respondent most closely identified. As a result of the aforementioned OMB revised standards a methodology was developed to "bridge" the 2000 Census with previous decennial censuses. This impacted the manner in which the total AI/AN population was counted.

The Census Bureau and NCHS are credited for developing the bridging methodology to address the inconsistencies for identifying race between the 2000 Census and the previous censuses. The 2000 Census with bridged-race categories re-categorizes more than one race responses to a single race response. The bridged 2000 Census single race corresponds with the single race categories used on the birth and death certificates.

## *Source: National Center for Health Statistics. Available on the Internet at: http://www. cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/bridged\_race/data\_documentation.htm. Accessed April 25, 2013*

Using the 2000 Census with bridged-race categories increased the AI/AN population denominators resulting in slightly decreased IHS mortality rates. The 2000 Census with bridged-race categories population for all AI/AN in the U.S. is 3.3 million. This falls between the population of all AI/AN in the U.S. of 2.5 million who identified themselves as an AI/AN race (alone) and the population of all AI/AN in the U.S. of 4.2 million who identified themselves as an AI/AN and a combination of at least one other race (combination).

IHS service populations beyond the latest census (2000) are projected through linear regression techniques using the latest ten years of AI/AN birth and death data provided by NCHS. The estimated natural change for a county (number of births minus number of deaths) is applied accumulatively to the latest census enumeration for the county for each year beyond the census. DPS produces a new set of IHS service population projections each year.

The IHS service populations are produced for the IHS area, service unit, and county levels. If a county is split between and/or among service units and/or IHS service areas, DPS allocates the county population to the affected service units and/or service areas. These population allocations are based on percentage splits developed and agreed by the affected IHS areas. A letter of agreement describing the formal arrangement (including a valid authorization by all authorities for the population allocation) is sent to DPS and kept on file. These percentage splits are calculated using sub-county census data and census maps.

DPS also generates AI/AN population estimates and projections, utilizing an identical methodology, for non-service IHS counties. Therefore, DPS produces census-based AI/AN population figures for every U.S. county and all 50 states.

#### **Changes in Methodologies**

DPS used updated methodologies to produce age-adjusted mortality rates. These applied methodologies coincide with methodologies used by NCHS, CDC and the U.S. Census Bureau. Using these updated methodologies enabled AI/AN mortality rates to be compared to U.S. all races mortality rates produced by the aforementioned agencies.

Age-adjusted mortality rates for *Regional Differences in Indian Health* developed for data years 2004-2006 are **NOT** comparable to previously published mortality rates calculated for data years prior to 1999. This is due to several changes in the methodology used to calculate the age-adjusted mortality rate produced by DPS.

The three major updated methodologies applied by DPS include:

#### ICD-9 Conversion to ICD-10

Beginning with the 1999 mortality data a new classification system was implemented to categorize causes of death. The International Classification of Diseases, Version 10 (ICD-10) was used by the states and NCHS to code all causes of death for years 1999 and onward. The ICD-10 classification system consists of a new nomenclature scheme with new and revised categories for some causes of death. Comparability ratios are applied when appropriate, i.e., to adjust data analyzed using the past classification system (ICD-9). Such revisions are noted on the data when applicable. ("*Regional Differences in Indian Health*" does not apply comparability ratios as these ratios are applied to data prior to 1999. This publication refers to data years 2004-2006 which eliminated the necessity to use these adjustment factors).

#### 2000 U.S. Census Populations with Bridged Race Categories (2000 Census Bridged File)

The 2000 U.S. Census Population with Bridged-Race Categories (2000 Census Bridged File) for AI/ANs was used by IHS to calculate mortality and natality age-adjusted rates. The 2000 Census allowed respondents to report more than one race category to describe their race. The birth and death certificates (vital events) used by the states for years 2004-2006 allow only a single race category to be reported. Vital event totals are used in the numerator and the 2000 Census bridged population is used as the denominator to produce the birth or death rates that occur in the population of interest. The denominator data are based on the 2000 Census bridge file, which re-categorizes responses to a single race where more than one race was reported. This corresponds to the single race categories used on birth and death certificates.

#### Age Adjustment Based on the 2000 Standard Population

The HHS recommended that all HHS agencies use the 2000 Census standard population to age-adjust mortality rates. IHS calculates age-adjusted rates based on the 2000 standard population to comply to this HHS recommendation.

#### **Vital Event Statistics**

AI/AN vital event statistics are derived from data provided annually to IHS by NCHS. Vital event statistics for the U.S. population were derived from data reported in various NCHS publications<sup>2,3,4,5</sup>, as well as from some unpublished data from NCHS. NCHS obtains birth and death records for all U.S. residents from state health departments, based on information reported on official state birth and death certificates. The records NCHS provides to IHS contain the same basic demographic items as the vital event records maintained by NCHS for all U.S. residents, but with names, addresses, and record identification numbers deleted. It should be noted that Tribal identity is not recorded on these records.

The natality and mortality data are only as accurate as the reporting by the states to NCHS. NCHS does perform numerous edit checks, applies verification methods, and imputes values for non-responses.<sup>5</sup>

Misreporting of AI/AN race on state death certificates occurs, especially in areas distant from traditional AI/AN reservations. In order to determine the degree and scope of the misreporting, IHS conducted a study utilizing the National Death Index (NDI) maintained by NCHS. The study involved matching IHS patient records of those patients who could have died during 1986 through 1988 with all death records of U.S. residents for 1986 through 1988 as contained on the NDI. The results were published in a document entitled, *Adjusting for Miscoding of Indian Race on State Death Certificates*, November 1996. The study revealed that on 10.9 percent of the matched IHS-NDI records, the race reported for the decedent was other than AI/AN. The percentage of records with inconsistent classification of race ranged from 1.2 percent in the Navajo Area to 28.0 and 30.4 percent in the Oklahoma and California Areas, respectively.

The results of the NDI study provide sufficient numbers to calculate adjustments for each IHS Area, IHS overall, and selected age groups. In addition to these adjustments based on the study findings, IHS assumed the following: (a) the results from 1986-88 apply to other years; (b) IHS age-group adjustments applied also to each Area; and (c) the Area adjustments applied to the causes of death used in this publication, i.e. if an Area's total deaths needed to be increased by ten percent, than the deaths for each cause of death would also increase by this same rate. These assumptions cannot be statistically supported by the results of the study. However, it was necessary to adjust all the death rates in this publication to provide a meaningful and comprehensive look at health status.

These NDI adjustments were used for the first time in the 1997 edition of this publication. Both unadjusted and adjusted information is shown, as applicable. The adjustments were applied to the results obtained from using an unadjusted death file.

IHS has more specific adjustment factors for the age group less than one year. These are derived from the linked birth/infant death data sets produced by NCHS. In this edition unadjusted and adjusted infant mortality rates will be shown. These adjustments based on the linked data sets take precedent over the NDI adjustments for the under one-year age group, described above.

Natality statistics are based on the total file of birth records occurring in the U.S. each year. Mortality statistics are based on the total file of registered deaths occurring in the U.S. each year. Tabulations of vital events for IHS Areas are by place of residence.

The AI/AN vital event statistics in this publication pertain only to AI/AN people residing in the counties that make up the IHS service area, in contrast to earlier editions of the *Trends in Indian Health* publication which showed vital event statistics for all AI/AN people residing in the Reservation States. Calculations done on a Reservation State basis include all counties within the State, even those outside the IHS service area. Reservation State vital event rates tend to be lower in value (i.e., lower birth rates, lower death rates) than IHS service area rates. Since prior to 1972, only Reservation State data were available; these data were used to show trends going back to 1955, the inception of the IHS. However, now that sufficient vital event data are available for the IHS service area to show meaningful trends, the *Trends in Indian Health* publication, beginning with the 1992 edition, shows vital event statistics for the IHS service population. IHS service area data are more indicative of the health status of the AI/AN people that IHS serves.

The AI/AN population is considerably younger than the U.S. all races population. Death rates presented in this publication have been age-adjusted to the 2000 standard population, where applicable, so that appropriate comparisons can be made between these population groups. One exception is the information presented for leading causes of death. In order to determine the leading causes of death for a population group, it is necessary to rank causes of death without any adjustment for age. However, it should be kept in mind that the ranking of causes of death for a population group is affected by its age composition.

All age-adjusted death rates calculated using a small number of deaths should be interpreted with caution as the observed rate may be quite different from the true underlying rate. This occasionally occurred when an Area rate was calculated for a specific cause of death. Any rate based upon fewer than 20 deaths may not be reliable as the sample will be too small.

#### **Patient Care Statistics**

Patient care statistics are derived principally from the IHS National Data Warehouse (NDW), the national data repository for IHS statistical health care data on patient registration and visit encounters occurring at either IHS facilities or contracting facilities that provide care. It collects data on persons who are members of federally recognized tribes that access IHS services. Other sources are listed below.

**Monthly Inpatient Services Report**—A patient census report prepared for each IHS hospital by the NDW indicating the number of discharges and days by type of service (e.g., adult, pediatric, obstetric, newborn), used for direct inpatient work-load statistics also referred to as the "INP 202" after the name of the report series from NPIRS. Sites can also submit manual monthly versions signed by the hospital CEO if technical issues prevent their transmitting data in time to meet IHS wide deadlines.

**Inpatient Care Data**— The IHS NDW serves as an agency-wide statistical information system and repository of Indian health and health system data. This data repository is the source of IHS hospital inpatient data pertaining to various patient characteristics (age, sex, principal diagnoses, other diagnoses, community of residence, etc.), collected daily, one record per discharge.

**Ambulatory Patient Care Data**—The NDW is also the source of data pertaining to the number of ambulatory medical visits at IHS facilities by various patient characteristics (age, sex, clinical impression, community of residence, etc.). The data are collected daily, one record per ambulatory medical visit.

**Contract Care Data**—NDW website reports have provided ambulatory and inpatient contract care data collected through the Contract Health System

*Immunization Data*—Information obtained by IHS/CDC jointly appointed immunization tracking staff.

**Dental Data**—The NDW is also the source for dental services data, monitored by IHS Headquarters dental personnel.

The data from these systems are subject to recording, inputting, and transmitting errors. However, the IHS DPS in consultation with the Office of Information Technology NDW Staff closely monitor the electronic transmissions and content of the repository and its attendant reports ensuring data quality.

## GLOSSARY

#### Age-Adjustment (direct method)—The

application of age-specific rates in a population of interest to a standardized age distribution in order to eliminate differences in observed rates that result from age differences in population composition. This adjustment is usually done when comparing two or more populations at one point in time or one population at two or more points in time.<sup>6</sup>

*Area*—A defined geographic region for Indian Health Service (IHS) administrative purposes. Each Area Office administers several service units

*Average Daily Patient Load*—The average number of patients occupying beds in a hospital on a daily basis. It is calculated by dividing total inpatient days for the year by 365.

*Birthweight*—Weight of fetus or infant at time of delivery (recorded in pounds and ounces, or grams).

*Cause of Death*—For the purpose of national mortality statistics, every death is attributed to one underlying condition, based on information reported on the death certificate and using the international rules for selecting the underlying cause of death from the conditions stated on the death certificate. The underlying cause is defined by the World Health Organization (WHO) as the disease or injury that initiated the train of events leading directly to death, or the circumstances of the accident or violence. which produced the fatal injury. Generally, more medical information is reported on death certificates than is directly reflected in the underlying cause of death. The conditions that are not selected as underlying cause of death constitute the non-underlying cause of death, also known as multiple cause of death. Cause of death is coded according to the appropriate revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD). Effective with deaths occurring in 1999, the United States began using the Tenth Revision of the ICD (ICD-10); during the period 1979-98, causes of death were coded and classified according to the Ninth Revision (ICD-9). Each of these revisions has produced discontinuities in cause-of-death trends. These discontinuities are measured using comparability ratios.7

*Census Definitions*—Definitions for census information including: unemployment, median household income, and poverty can be found on the census website: http://www.census.gov

*Comparability Ratios*—Adjustment factors designed to measure the effects of a new revision of the ICD on the comparability with the previous revision of mortality statistics cause of death.<sup>7</sup>

*Contract Care*—Services not available directly from IHS or Tribes that are purchased under contract from community hospitals and practitioners.

*Health Center*—A facility, physically separated from a hospital, with a full range of ambulatory services including at least primary care physicians, nursing, pharmacy, laboratory, and x-ray, which are available at least forty hours a week for ambulatory care.

*Health Station*—A facility, physically separated from a hospital or health center where primary care physician services are available on a regularly scheduled basis but for less than forty hours a week.

*High Birthweight*—Birthweight of 4,000 grams or more.

*Infant Mortality*—The death of a live-born child before his or her first birthday. Deaths in the first year of life may be further classified according to age as neonatal and postneonatal. Neonatal deaths are those that occur before the 28th day of life; postneonatal deaths are those that occur between 28 and 365 days of age.

*Infant Mortality Rate*—A rate based on period files calculated by dividing the number of infant deaths during a calendar year by the number of live births reported in the same year. It is expressed as the number of infant deaths per 1,000 live births.<sup>8</sup>

*International Classification of Diseases*—The Ninth Revision (ICD-9) codes are used for years **prior** to 1999. The Tenth Revision (ICD-10) codes are used for data years 1999 onward. Life Expectancy—Life expectancy is the average number of years of life remaining to a person at a particular age and is based on a given set of age-specific death rates, generally the mortality conditions existing in the period mentioned. Life expectancy may be determined by race, sex, or other characteristics using age-specific death rates for the population with that characteristic.<sup>9</sup>

*Live Birth*—In the WHO's definition, also adopted by the United Nations and NCHS, a live birth is the complete expulsion or extraction from its mother of a product of conception, irrespective of the duration of the pregnancy, which, after such separation, breathes or shows any other evidence of life such as heartbeat, umbilical cord pulsation, or definite movement of voluntary muscles, whether the umbilical cord has been cut or the placenta is attached. Each product of such a birth is considered live born.<sup>10</sup>

*Low Birthweight*—Birthweight of less than five pounds, eight ounces or 2,500 grams.

*Neonatal Mortality Rate*—The number of deaths under 28 days of age per 1,000 live births.

*Occurrence*—Place where the event occurred.

*Post-neonatal Mortality Rate*—The number of deaths that occur from 28 days to 365 days after birth per 1,000 live births.

*Race*—Federal Register Notice (October 30, 1997), Revision to the Standards for the Classification of Federal Data on Race and Ethnicity.

The revised standards have five minimum categories for data on race: American Indian or Alaska Native, Asian, Black or African American, Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, and White. There will be two categories for data on ethnicity: "Hispanic or Latino: and "Not Hispanic or Latino."

Persons are offered the option to select one or more races.

http://1.usa.gov/vBs8nU Accessed July 9, 2013 *Reservation State*—A State in which IHS has responsibilities for providing health care to American Indians or Alaska Natives.

*Residence*—Usual place of residence of person to whom an event occurred. For births and deaths, residence is defined as the mother's place of residence.

*Service Area*—The geographic areas in which IHS has responsibilities—"on or near" reservations, i.e., contract health service delivery areas.

*Service Population*—AI/AN people identified to be eligible for IHS services.

Service Unit—The local administrative unit of IHS.

*User Population*—AI/AN people who have used IHS services at least once during the last three-year period according to their community of residence.

Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL)— A mortality indicator that measures the burden of premature deaths, calculated by subtracting the age at death from age 65 and summing the result over all deaths.

## SOURCES OF COPIES AND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Additional AI/AN health status information can be obtained from the IHS Division of Program Statistics. Specific responsibilities are as follows:

#### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

Kirk Greenway, Principal Statistician and Director, Division of Program Statistics

Priscilla Sandoval, Program Analyst

Jennifer Joseph, Staff Assistant

**DEMOGRAPHIC STATISTICS** JoAnn Glakas Pappalardo, Senior Statistician

Alan Friedman, Health Statistician

**PATIENT CARE STATISTICS** Vacant


Copies of this and other statistical publications may be obtained from Division of Program Statistics at:

#### **Indian Health Service**

Office of Public Health Support Division of Program Statistics 801 Thompson Building Suite 120 Rockville, Maryland 20852

Phone: 301-443-1180 Fax: 301-443-1770 DPS Website: **http://www.ihs.gov/DPS/** 

## PART 1 INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE STRUCTURE



Indian Health Service operated 28 hospitals, 63 health centers, four school health centers, and 35 health stations as of October 1, 2011. Tribes can operate a facility under a P.L. 93-638 self-determination contract (Title I) or self-governance compact, as amended (Title V), or-in Alaska only-an Alaska village clinic funded by a standard procurement contract. Tribes operated 16 hospitals (Title V), 251 health centers (Title I, 96 and Title V, 155), six school health centers (Title I, four and Title V, two), 103 health stations (Title I, 43 and Title V, 60), and 166 Alaska village clinics (Title I, 8; Title V, 152; and Other, six).

#### Chart 1.2

#### Number of Service Units and Facilities, Operated by IHS and Tribes, October 1, 2011

				ribal		
Type of Facility	Total	Total IHS	Total	I	v	Other
Service Units	165	56	109			
Hospitals	44	28	16		16	
Ambulatory Facilities	628	102	526	151	369	6
Health Centers	314	63	251	<del>96</del>	155	
School Health Centers	10	4	6	4	2	
Health Stations	138	35	103	43	60	
Alaska Village Clinics	166		166	8	152	6
Alaska Village Clinics	166		166	8	152	2

 I — operated under Title I, P.L. 93-638 Self-Determination Contracts
 V — operated under Title V, P.L. 106-260 Tribal Self-Governance Amendment of 2000
 Other — operated by a local government, not a tribe, for some Alaska Native villages through a standard procurement contract

In the Aberdeen Area, Indian Health Service operated seven hospitals, nine health centers, and fifteen health stations as of October 1, 2011. Tribes operated ten health centers and seven health stations, all under Title V.

#### Chart 1.3

#### Number of Service Units and Facilities, Operated by Aberdeen Area and Tribes, October 1, 2011

				Tribal	
Type of Facility	Total	IHS	Total	I	v
Service Units	19	12	7		
Hospitals	7	7			
Ambulatory Facilities	41	24	17		17
Health Centers	19	9	10		10
School Health Centers					
Health Stations	22	15	7		7

I- operated under Title I, P.L. 93-638 Self-Determination Contracts V- operated under Title V, P.L. 106-260 Tribal Self-Governance Amendment of 2000

In the Alaska Area, Indian Health Service did not operate any facilities as of October 1, 2011. Tribes operated seven hospitals, 36 health centers (Title I, two and Title V, 34), and 166 village clinics (Title I, eight; Title V, 152; and Other, six).

#### Chart 1.4

#### Number of Service Units and Facilities, Operated by Alaska Area and Tribes, October 1, 2011

				Tribal			
Type of Facility	Total	IHS	Total	I	۷	Other	
Service Units	9		9				
Hospitals	7		7		7		
Ambulatory Facilities	202		202	10	186	6	
Health Centers	36		36	2	34		
School Health Centers							
Health Stations							
Alaska Village Clinics	166		166	8	152	6	

I- operated under Title I, P.L. 93-638 Self-Determination Contracts V- operated under Title V, P.L. 106-260 Tribal Self-Governance Amendment of 2000 Other- operated by a local government, not a tribe, for some Alaska Native villages through

a standard procurement contract

In the Albuquerque Area, Indian Health Service operated four hospitals, six health centers, and five health stations as of October 1, 2011. Tribes operated five health centers, one school health center, and four health stations, all under Title I.

#### Chart 1.5

#### Number of Service Units and Facilities, Operated by Albuquerque Area and Tribes, October 1, 2011

				Tribal	
Type of Facility	Total	IHS	Total	I	V
Service Units	9	9			
Hospitals	4	4			
Ambulatory Facilities	21	11	10	10	
Health Centers	11	6	5	5	
School Health Centers	1		1	1	
Health Stations	9	5	4	4	

I — operated under Title I, P.L. 93-638 Self-Determination Contracts
 V — operated under Title V, P.L. 106-260 Tribal Self-Governance Amendment of 2000

In the **Bemidji Area**, Indian Health Service operated two hospitals, two health centers, and one health station as of October 1, 2011. Tribes operated 34 health centers (Title I, 21 and Title V, 13), two school health centers (Title I) and 16 health stations (Title I, ten and Title V, six).

#### Chart 1.6

#### Number of Service Units and Facilities, Operated by Bemidji Area and Tribes, October 1, 2011

Type of Facility				Tribal		
	Total	IHS	Total	I	V	
Service Units	13	3	10			
Hospitals	2	2				
Ambulatory Facilities	55	3	52	33	19	
Health Centers	36	2	34	21	13	
School Health Centers	2		2	2		
Health Stations	17	1	16	10	6	

I - operated under Title I, P.L. 93-638 Self-Determination Contracts

V – operated under Title V, P.L. 106-260 Tribal Self-Governance Amendment of 2000

#### In the **Billings Area**, Indian Health Service operated three hospitals, seven health centers, and one health station as of October 1, 2011. Tribes operated five health centers (Title V) and sixteen health stations (Title I, ten and Title V, six).

#### Chart 1.7 Number of Service Units and Facilities, Operated by Billings Area and Tribes, October 1, 2011

			Tribal			
Type of Facility	Total	IHS	Total	I	V	
Service Units	8	6	2			
Hospitals	3	3				
Ambulatory Facilities	29	8	21	10	11	
Health Centers	12	7	5		5	
School Health Centers						
Health Stations	17	1	16	10	6	

 ${\rm I}-$  operated under Title I, P.L. 93-638 Self-Determination Contracts V- operated under Title V, P.L. 106-260 Tribal Self-Governance Amendment of 2000

In the **California Area**,Indian Health Service did not operate any facilities as of October 1, 2011. Tribes operated 51 health centers (Title I, 37 and Title V, 14) and 14 health stations (Title I, five and Title V, nine).

## Chart 1.8 Number of Service Units and Facilities,

Type of Facility			Tribal			
	Total	IHS	Total	I.	V	
Service Units	31		31			
Hospitals						
Ambulatory Facilities	65		65	42	23	
Health Centers	51		51	37	14	
School Health Centers						
Health Stations	14		14	5	9	

I- operated under Title I, P.L. 93-638 Self-Determination Contracts V- operated under Title V, P.L. 106-260 Tribal Self-Governance Amendment of 2000

In the Nashville Area, Indian Health Service operated three health centers as of October 1, 2011. Tribes operated two hospitals (Title V), 18 health centers (Title I, ten and Title V, eight), one school health center (Title V) and seven health stations (Title I, two and Title V, five).

#### Number of Service Units and Facilities, Operated by Nashville Area and Tribes, October 1, 2011

		Tribal			
Total	IHS	Total	I.	V	
27	6	21	12	9	
2		2		2	
29	3	26	12	14	
21	3	18	10	8	
1		1		1	
7		7	2	5	
	27 2 29 21	27 6 2 29 3 21 3	27         6         21           2          2           29         3         26           21         3         18	Total         IHS         Total         I           27         6         21         12           2          2            29         3         26         12           21         3         18         10	

I- operated under Title I, P.L. 93-638 Self-Determination Contracts V- operated under Title V, P.L. 106-260 Tribal Self-Governance Amendment of 2000

In the Navajo Area, Indian Health Service operated four hospitals, eight health centers, one school health center, and five health stations as of October 1, 2011. Tribes operated two hospitals (Title V), eight health centers (Title 1, one and Title V, seven), and two health stations (Title V).

#### Chart 1.10

#### Number of Service Units and Facilities, **Operated by Navajo Area and Tribes, October 1, 2011**

			Tribal		
Type of Facility	Total	IHS	Total	I	۷
Service Units	8	5	3	3	
Hospitals	6	4	2		2
Ambulatory Facilities	24	14	10	1	9
Health Centers	16	8	8	1	7
School Health Centers	1	1			
Health Stations	7	5	2		2

I- operated under Title I, P.L. 93-638 Self-Determination Contracts V- operated under Title V, P.L. 106-260 Tribal Self-Governance Amendment of 2000

In the **Oklahoma Area**, Indian Health Service operated two hospitals, nine health centers, and one health station as of October 1, 2011. Tribes operated four hospitals (Title V), 42 health centers (Title I, two and Title V, 40), and one school health center (Title V).

#### Chart 1.11 Number of Service Units and Facilities, Operated by Oklahoma Area and Tribes, October 1, 2011

Type of Facility			Tribal			
	Total	IHS	Total	I	v	
Service Units	12	7	5			
Hospitals	6	2	4		4	
Ambulatory Facilities	53	10	43	2	41	
Health Centers	51	9	42	2	40	
School Health Centers	1		1		1	
Health Stations	1	1				

 ${\rm I}-$  operated under Title I, P.L. 93-638 Self-Determination Contracts V- operated under Title V, P.L. 106-260 Tribal Self-Governance Amendment of 2000

In the **Phoenix Area**, Indian Health Service operated five hospitals, nine health centers, and seven health stations as of October 1, 2011. Tribes operated one hospital (Title V), 12 health centers (Title I, one and Title V, 11), and 13 health stations (Title V).

#### Chart 1.12 Number of Service Units and Facilities, Operated by Phoenix Area and Tribes, October 1, 2011

			Tribal			
Type of Facility	Total	IHS	Total	I	V	
Service Units	11	7	4			
Hospitals	6	5	1		1	
Ambulatory Facilities	41	16	25	1	24	
Health Centers	21	9	12	1	11	
School Health Centers						
Health Stations	20	7	13		13	

I — operated under Title I, P.L. 93-638 Self-Determination Contracts

 $\mathbf{V}-$  operated under Title V, P.L. 106-260 Tribal Self-Governance Amendment of 2000

In the Portland Area, Indian Health Service operated six health centers as of October 1, 2011. Tribes operated 28 health centers (Title I, 15 and Title V, 13), one school health center (Title I), and 24 health stations (Title I, 12 and Title V, 12).

#### Number of Service Units and Facilities, Operated by Portland Area and Tribes, October 1, 2011

		Tribal			
Total	IHS	Total	I	v	
16		16		16	
59	6	53	28	25	
34	6	28	15	<b>13</b>	
1		1	1		
24		24	12	12	
	16  59 34 1	16                59         6           34         6           1	16          16                59         6         53           34         6         28           1          1	Total         IHS         Total         I           16          16                  59         6         53         28           34         6         28         15           1          1         1	

I- operated under Title I, P.L. 93-638 Self-Determination Contracts V- operated under Title V, P.L. 106-260 Tribal Self-Governance Amendment of 2000

In the Tucson Area, Indian Health Service operated one hospital, four health centers, and three school health centers as of October 1, 2011. Tribes operated two health centers (Title I).

## Chart 1.14


#### Number of Service Units and Facilities, Operated by Tucson Area and Tribes, October 1, 2011

Type of Facility			Tribal			
	Total	IHS	Total	I	V	
Service Units	2	1	1	1		
Hospitals	1	1				
Ambulatory Facilities	9	7	2	2		
Health Centers	6	4	2	2		
School Health Centers	3	3				
Health Stations						


I - operated under Title I, P.L. 93-638 Self-Determination Contracts

 $\mathbf{V}-$  operated under Title V, P.L. 106-260 Tribal Self-Governance Amendment of 2000

In FY 2011, the IHS user population—a count of those AI/AN people who used IHS services at least once during the last 3-year period—was slightly over 1.5 million. Approximately 38 percent of the user population was concentrated in two IHS Areas: Oklahoma and Navajo.




There were a slightly higher percentage of females in FY 2011 in the IHS user population than the U.S. all races population (CY 2011). Phoenix and Albuquerque had the two highest percentages at 53.7 and 54.0, respectively.




REGIONAL DIFFERENCES IN INDIAN HEALTH 2011 EDITION, PART 2

The IHS user population in FY 2011 was considerably younger than the U.S. all races population (CY 2011). The Oklahoma Area, which had the lowest percentage of population under age 5 (7.4), still had a percentage that was 0.9 percent higher than the U.S. all races percentage (6.5).




In CY 2011, 25.5 percent of the U.S. all races population was over age 54 compared to 15.5 for the IHS user population (FY 2011). California and Oklahoma had the highest percentages for this age group, 16.6 and 17.6, respectively.






According to the 2000 Census, 70.9 percent of Al/AN people, age 25 and older, are high school graduates or higher as compared to 80.4 percent for the U.S. all races population. Ten (10) percent more people in the U.S. general population had at least a high school education as compared to the Al/AN people in the IHS service Area. All IHS Areas were below the U.S. percent.




The 2000 Census indicated that 9.6 percent of AI/AN people, age 25 and older, residing in the current IHS Areas have a Bachelor's Degree or higher. This is less than half the rate for U.S. all races with a Bachelor Degree (24.4 percent). The Area percentages ranged from 5.7 percent in Navajo to 13.3 percent in Oklahoma.






In 2000, 16.3 percent of AI/AN males, age 16 and older, residing in the current IHS Areas were unemployed compared to 4.0 percent for the U.S. all races male population. Billings had unemployment rates greater than 26.0 percent.





In 2000, 13.0 percent of AI/AN females, age 16 and older, residing in the current IHS Areas were unemployed a rate that is four times greater than the U.S. all races female population (3.3 percent). The Area unemployment rates ranged from 8.1 in Oklahoma to 19.2 in Aberdeen.







According to the 2000 Census, the median household income in 1999 for AI/AN people residing in the current IHS Areas was \$32,461. The median household income for U.S. all races (50,046) is 54.2 percent higher than that of AI/AN. The Albuquerque, Navajo, Aberdeen, and Billings Areas had median household incomes that were less than half of the U.S. figure.







26






Table 3.1

Number and Rate of Live Births, Calendar Years 2004-2006

	Number	Rate <sup>1/</sup>
U.S. All Races (2005)	4,138,349	14.0
All IHS Areas	124,091	22.9
Aberdeen	10,143	30.0
Alaska	9,040	25.4
Albuquerque	6,426	20.8
Bemidji	8,286	23.9
Billings	5,435	28.1
California	8,236	15.5
Nashville	6,817	20.3
Navajo	14,460	20.5
Oklahoma	25,062	24.0
Phoenix	14,312	24.8
Portland	13,557	23.7
Tucson	2,317	22.1

<sup>1/</sup> Rate per 1,000 population.

For 2004-2006, 7.1 percent of all Al/AN births in the IHS service area were considered low birthweight (less than 2,500 grams). This was better than the figure for the U.S. all races population (8.2 percent in 2005). All IHS Areas had lower proportions of low birthweight births than the general population, except for the Albuquerque Area that had the same rate.





Table 3.2	Low Birthwei	ght as a Percent o	f Total Live Births	, Calendar Years 2004
		Total Live Births <sup>1/</sup>	Number Low Birthweight <sup>2/</sup>	Percent Low Birthweight <sup>3/</sup>
U.S. All F	Races (2005)	4,138,349	338,565	8.2
All IHS A	reas	124,091	<b>8,812</b>	7.1
Aberdee	n	10,143	713	7.0
Alaska		9,040	501	5.5
Albuque	rque	6,426	559	8.7
Bemidji		8,286	501	6.0
Billings		5,435	435	8.0
Californi	a	8,236	572	6.9
Nashvill	e	6,817	515	7.6
Navajo		14,460	1,030	7.1
Oklahon	าล	25,062	1,763	7.0
Phoenix		14,312	1,068	7.5
Portland		13,557	985	7.3
Tucson		2,317	170	7.3

 $^{1\prime}$  Includes 3,979 U.S. All Races live births and 170 American Indian/Alaska Native live births with birthweight not stated.

<sup>2/</sup> Birthweight of less than 2,500 grams (5lb 8oz).

<sup>3/</sup> Percent low weight based on live births with a birthweight reported.

The AI/AN population experience a greater number of high birthweights than the U.S. all races population. High birthweight may be a complication of diabetic pregnancies. In 2004-2006, 10.5 percent of all births in the IHS service area were high birthweight (4,000 grams or more). In contrast, the U.S. all races percentage was 2.5 percentage points lower (8.1 percent) in 2005 than the IHS service area high birthweight. The rates varied considerably by Area ranging from 6.3 percent in Albuquerque to 17.0 percent in Alaska.



#### Table 3.3

# High Birthweight as a Percent of Total Live Births, Calendar Years 2004-2006


	Total Live Births <sup>1/</sup>	Number High Birthweight <sup>2/</sup>	Percent High Birthweight	
U.S. All Races (2005)	4,138,349	335,932	8.1	
All IHS Areas	124,091	13,018	10.5	
Aberdeen	10,143	1,281	12.6	
Alaska	9,040	1,538	17.0	
Albuquerque	6,426	406	6.3	
Bemidji	8,286	1,223	14.8	
Billings	5,435	601	11.1	
California	8,236	905	11.0	
Nashville	6,817	688	10.1	
Navajo	14,460	1,055	7.3	
Oklahoma	25,062	2,271	9.1	
Phoenix	14,312	1,330	9.3	
Portland	13,557	1,574	11.6	
Tucson	2,317	229	9.9	

<sup>1/</sup>Includes 3,979 U.S. All Races live births and 170 American Indian/Alaska Native live births with birthweight not stated.

<sup>2/</sup> Birthweight of more than 4,000 grams (8lb 14oz).

<sup>3/</sup>Percent high weight based on live births with a birthweight reported.


During 2004-2006, prenatal care began in the first trimester for 66.6 percent of AI/AN live births among the IHS service area population, which is higher than the number of births with prenatal care among the U.S. all races population (56.5 percent) in 2005. The percentages varied widely among IHS Areas, ranging from 56.8 for Portland to 74.8 for California.




Percent of Total Live Births Where Mother Receive Prenatal Care in the First Trimester

Prenatal Care in First Trimester, Calendar Years 2004-2006							
	Total -	Mother Received Prenatal Care	Mother R Prenata in the First	al Care			
	Live Births <sup>1/</sup>	Reported	Number	Percent			
U.S. All Races (2005)	4,138,349	2,760,324	2,338,438	56.5			
All IHS Areas	124,091	118,187	82,649	66.6			
Aberdeen	10,143	9,792	5,988	59.0			
Alaska	9,040	8,648	6,325	70.0			
Albuquerque	6,426	5,925	3,982	62.0			
Bemidji	8,286	8,076	5,954	71.9			
Billings	5,435	5,236	3,511	64.6			
California	8,236	7,949	6,158	74.8			
Nashville	6,817	6,620	5,011	73.5			
Navajo	14,460	13,897	8,531	59.0			
Oklahoma	25,062	24,201	17,778	70.9			
Phoenix	14,312	13,679	10,119	70.7			
Portland	13,557	11,921	7,706	56.8			
Tucson	2,317	2,243	1,586	68.5			

<sup>1/</sup> Includes 1,378,025 U.S. All Races live births and 5,904 American Indian/Alaska Native live births for which prenatal care was either 'not reported' or 'no prenatal care was provided.' <sup>2/</sup> Percent based on live births with this information reported. During 2004-2006, 16.8 percent of mothers of Al/AN newborns who smoked during pregnancy (as reported on the state birth certificate) is 1.4 times the rate for mothers in the U.S. general population (12.0 percent) in 2005. The Bemidji Area (37.6 percent) was 3.1 times the all IHS Area rate.



NOTE: California data was excluded.



During 2004-2006, 9.5 percentCharof AI/AN women who smokedduring pregnancy gave birth to lowbirthweight babies as compared to5.9 percent of women in the U.S.all races population in 2005.



NOTE: California data was excluded.

# Percent of Mothers Who Smoked During Pregnancy for All Births and Low Birthweight by Age of Mother, Calendar Years 2004-2006

(Low Birthweight is defined as weight less than 2,500 grams (5lb., 8oz.))

	Percent of Live Births <sup>1/</sup> for Which the Mother Reported Smoking							
-	All Ages	Under 15 Years	15-19 Years	20-34 Years	35-54 Years			
U.S. All Races (2005)	12.0	5.1	18.0	12.3	6.7			
All IHS Areas	16.8	<b>8.5</b>	17.2	17.0	<b>13.5</b>			
Aberdeen	28.6	17.6	25.4	29.6	27.3			
Alaska	28.8	10.5	30.4	29.2	22.8			
Albuquerque	4.6	6.7 *	5.3	4.7	1.9			
Bemidji	37.6	19.0	38.9	38.1	29.9			
Billings	26.3	12.5	25.3	26.8	25.4			
California²/	*	*	*	*				
Nashville	21.5	0.0*	22.1	21.7	19.1			
Navajo	2.1	0.0*	2.7	2.1	1.4			
Oklahoma	19.2	5.6	19.2	19.2	19.6			
Phoenix	6.5	0.0 *	5.3	6.8	6.8			
Portland	21.0	25.0	23.3	21.0	17.2			
Tucson	4.0	0.0*	4.2	3.7	5.7			

	Percent of Low Birthweight <sup>1/</sup> for Which the Mother Reported Smoking						
	All Ages	Under 15 Years	15-19 Years	20-34 Years	35-54 Years		
U.S. All Races (2005)	5.9	2.6	8.2	6.1	3.4		
All IHS Areas	<u>9.5</u>	0.0	<b>8.1</b>	<b>9.2</b>	15.7		
Aberdeen	8.8	0.0*	6.2	9.2	12.4		
Alaska	7.5	0.0*	5.3	7.8	9.5		
Albuquerque	12.6	0.0*	8.9	13.3	18.2		
Bemidji	8.0	0.0*	5.8	8.0	15.5		
Billings	10.5	0.0*	8.0	10.9	14.5		
California <sup>2/</sup>	*	*	*	*	*		
Nashville	9.3	0.0 *	12.5	8.7	8.6		
Navajo	14.3	0.0*	15.2	13.3	21.7		
Oklahoma	10.0	33.3	9.2	9.4	20.6		
Phoenix	14.3	0.0*	11.8	13.9	21.3		
Portland	9.5	0.0*	9.1	8.7	19.2		
Tucson	14.1	0.0*	15.8	11.3	27.3		


-- Represents zero.

\* Figure does not meet standards of reliability or precision.

<sup>1/</sup> Based on the number of live births with smoking status of the mother reported.

<sup>2/</sup> Excludes data for California, which did not require reporting of tobacco use during pregnancy.

During 2004-2006 mothers of AI/AN newborns were more likely to have diabetes than their counterparts in the U.S. all races population in 2005. The 2004-2006 rate for AI/AN people was 1.6 times larger than the U.S. all races rate (38.3 births to mothers with diabetes per 1,000 live births). For the AI/AN population, there were 61.2 births to mothers with diabetes per 1,000 of all live births. The Area proportions ranged from 39.0 per 1,000 live births in Billings to 88.0 in Navajo.



Calendar Years 2004-2006 Under								
	All Ages	20 Years	20-24 Years	25-29 Years	30-34 Years	35-39 Years	40-54 Years	
U.S. All Races (2005)	38.3	11.9	21.9	36.1	50.7	66.8	86.6	
All IHS Areas	61.2	<b>18.8</b>	35.2	<b>68.1</b>	108.9	<b>151.8</b>	188.2	
Aberdeen	63.9	16.3	37.2	75.2	140.3	178.2	330.5	
Alaska	42.0	12.6	20.4	50.3	71.5	95.9	128.9	
Albuquerque	75.8	19.6	44.0	78.0	131.1	191.2	205.3	
Bemidji	77.1	28.4	47.6	89.5	137.8	190.5	239.6	
Billings	39.0	8.4	22.3	45.1	87.0	134.3	101.7	
California	42.0	16.3	25.1	49.3	61.8	76.0	130.2	
Nashville	73.8	31.5	51.1	86.7	104.2	135.2	153.8	
Navajo	88.0	17.9	37.7	91.6	151.6	228.6	239.8	
Oklahoma	48.7	18.2	32.5	58.7	87.9	108.8	172.7	
Phoenix	63.9	19.1	40.0	69.4	112.8	153.5	169.6	
Portland	60.6	21.3	34.4	58.6	104.4	149.2	167.4	
Tucson	63.4	17.2	25.0	79.4	129.5	175.3	128.2	

<sup>1/</sup> Number of live births among mothers with diabetes per 1,000 live births with diabetes status reported in age group specified.

The infant mortality rate for the IHS service area population in 2004-2006 was 8.2 deaths per 1,000 live births. The AI/AN rate is 19 percent higher than the U.S. all races (6.9 deaths per 1,000 live births for 2005). The Aberdeen Area had the highest infant mortality rate (10.7) followed by the Alaska Area (9.8). The rate is adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate.



le 3.8 Infant Mortality Rates <i>(Under One Year)</i> , Calendar Years 2004-20								
			Infant Deaths Rate <sup>1/</sup>					
		Live Births	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2/</sup>	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2/</sup>		
U.S. All R (2005)	aces	4,138,349	28,384		6.9			
All IHS A	reas	124,091	871	1,019	7.0	8.2		
Aberdee	n	10,143	1133/	109 <sup>3/</sup>	11.13/	10.7 <sup>3/</sup>		
Alaska		9,040	83	89	9.2	9.8		
Albuque	rque	6,426	47	49	7.3	7.6		
Bemidji		8,286	52	63	6.3	7.6		
Billings		5,435	47	51	8.6	9.4		
Californi	a	8,236	40	63	4.9	7.6		
Nashville	9	6,817	45	64	6.6	9.4		
Navajo		14,460	101	111	7.0	7.7		
Oklahom	na	25,062	152	207	6.1	8.3		
Phoenix		14,312	86	89	6.0	6.2		
Portland		13,557	91	107	6.7	7.9		
Tucson		2,317	14	17	6.0	7.3		

<sup>1/</sup> Rate per 1,000 live births.

<sup>2/</sup> Adjusted to compensate for misreporting of American Indian/Alaska Native race on the state death certificate.

<sup>3/</sup> For the Aberdeen Area there were more American Indian and Alaska Native infant deaths identified through use of the state death certificate records (unadjusted data) than through use of match between state birth and deaths certificate records (adjusted data).

The neonatal mortality rate for the IHS service area population in 2004-2006 was 4.2 deaths per 1,000 live births. The U.S. all races rate of 4.5 deaths per 1,000 live births in 2005 is 1.1 times higher than the AI/AN rate of 4.2. Three IHS Areas (Aberdeen, Billings, and Nashville) had rates that exceeded the U.S. all races rate. The rate is adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate.



ble 3.9 Neonatal Mortality Rates <i>(Under 28 Days)</i> , Calendar Years 2004-200							
		Live	Infant	Deaths	Ra	te <sup>1/</sup>	
		Births	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2/</sup>	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2/</sup>	
U.S. All I	Races (2005)	4,138,349	18,782		4.5		
All IHS A	lreas	124,091	428	510	3.5	4.2	
Aberdee	en	10,143	52 <sup>3/</sup>	51 <sup>3/</sup>	5.1 <sup>3/</sup>	5.0 <sup>3/</sup>	
Alaska		9,040	36	39	4.0	4.3	
Albuque	erque	6,426	25	26	3.9	4.0	
Bemidji		8,286	24	30	2.9	3.6	
Billings		5,435	26	27	4.8	5.0	
Californ	ia	8,236	22	37	2.7	4.5	
Nashvill	e	6,817	22	36	3.2	5.3	
Navajo		14,460	53	54	3.7	3.7	
Oklahon	na	25,062	76	108	3.0	4.3	
Phoenix		14,312	47	51	3.3	3.6	
Portland	1	13,557	45	51	3.3	3.8	
Tucson		2,317	*	*	2.2	3.0	


\* Quantity greater than zero and less than ten.

<sup>1/</sup>Rate per 1,000 live births.

 $^{2\prime}$  Adjusted to compensate for misreporting of American Indian/Alaska Native race on the state death certificate.

<sup>3/</sup> The adjusted number and rate for neonatal deaths for the Aberdeen Area are lower than the unadjusted number and rate because the linked birth/infant death file (used to obtain the adjusted counts for neonatal deaths) had less deaths than did the unadjusted mortality file for each Area (2004-2006 data).

The postneonatal mortality rate for the IHS service area population in 2004-2006 was 4.0 deaths per 1,000 live births. The AI/AN rate is 1.7 times higher than the U.S. all races rate of 2.3 deaths per 1,000 live births for 2005. The Aberdeen Area had the highest rate (5.7 deaths per 1,000 live births) among the IHS Areas followed by Alaska (5.5 deaths per 1,000 live births). The rate is adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate.



# Table 3.10Postneonatal Mortality Rates (28 Days to Under One Year),<br/>Calendar Years 2004-2006


	Live	Infant Deaths		Rate <sup>1/</sup>		
	Births	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2/</sup>	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2/</sup>	
U.S. All Races (2005)	4,138,349	<i>9</i> ,602		2.3		
All IHS Areas	124,091	438	<b>492</b>	<b>3.5</b>	4.0	
Aberdeen	10,143	613/	58 <sup>3/</sup>	6.03/	5.7 <sup>3/</sup>	
Alaska	9,040	47	50	5.2	5.5	
Albuquerque	6,426	22	23	3.4	3.6	
Bemidji	8,286	28	33	3.4	4.0	
Billings	5,435	21	24	3.9	4.4	
California	8,236	18	26	2.2	3.2	
Nashville	6,817	23	28	3.4	4.1	
Navajo	14,460	48	57	3.3	3.9	
Oklahoma	25,062	76	99	3.0	4.0	
Phoenix	14,312	39	38	2.7	2.7	
Portland	13,557	46	56	3.4	4.1	
Tucson	2,317	*	*	3.9	4.3	

\* Quantity greater than zero and less than ten.

<sup>1/</sup>Rate per 1,000 live births.

<sup>2/</sup> Adjusted to compensate for misreporting of American Indian/Alaska Native race on the state death certificate.


<sup>3/</sup> The adjusted numbers and rates for postneonatal deaths for the Aberdeen Area are lower than the unadjusted numbers and rates because the linked birth/infant death file (used to obtain the adjusted for postneonatal deaths) had two less deaths than did the unadjusted mortality file for each Area (2004-2006 data).




Percent of Total Infant Deaths

In 2005, 19.5 percent of all infant deaths in the U.S. were caused by congenital anomalies, followed by disorders related to short gestation and low birthweight at 16.6 percent. The percent's are based on calculations adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate.






In 2004-2006, 23.7 percent of all infant deaths in the **Aberdeen Area** were caused by congenital anomalies, followed by sudden infant death syndrome at 19.7 percent. The percent's are based on calculations adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate.



Percent of Total Infant Deaths


In 2004-2006, 19.9 percent of all infant deaths in the **Alaska Area** were caused by unintentional injuries, followed by sudden infant death syndrome at 13.3 percent. The percent's are based on calculations adjusted for misreporting of Al/AN race on the state death certificate.






In 2004-2006, 21.8 percent of all infant deaths in the **Albuquerque Area** were caused by congenital anomalies, followed by disorders related to short gestation and low birthweight and sudden infant death syndrome at 10.9 percent. The percent's are based on calculations adjusted for misreporting of Al/AN race on the state death certificate.






In 2004-2006, 19.0 percent of all infant deaths in the **Bemidji Area** were caused by congenital anomalies, followed by sudden infant death syndrome at 15.7 percent. The percent's are based on calculations adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate.

Chart 3.16 Leading Causes of Infant Deaths, Bemidji Area, Calendar Years 2004-2006




Percent of Total Infant Deaths




In 2004-2006, 14.6 percent of all infant deaths in the **California Area** were caused by congenital anomalies, followed by sudden infant death syndrome at 12.1 percent. The percent's are based on calculations adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate.

Chart 3.18 Leading Causes of Infant Deaths, California Area, Calendar Years 2004-2006



In 2004-2006, 19.1 percent of all infant deaths in the **Nashville Area** were caused by sudden infant death syndrome, followed by congenital anomalies (16.1 percent). The percent's are based on calculations adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate. Chart 3.19



Leading Causes of Infant Deaths, Nashville Area, Calendar Years 2004-2006






Chart 3.20 Leading Causes of Infant Deaths, Navajo Area, Calendar Years 2004-2006




In 2004-2006, 14.8 percent of all infant deaths in the Oklahoma Area were caused by congenital anomalies, followed by sudden infant death syndrome at 9.2 percent. The percent's are based on calculations adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate.





In 2004-2006, 15.4 percent of all infant deaths in the **Phoenix** Area were caused by congenital anomalies, followed by disorders related to short gestation and low birthweight at 5.6 percent. The percent's are based on calculations adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate.


Chart 3.22




Leading Causes of Infant Deaths, Phoenix Area, Calendar Years 2004-2006

Percent of Total Infant Deaths


In 2004-2006, 15.4 percent of all infant deaths in the **Portland Area** were caused by congenital anomalies, followed by sudden infant death syndrome at 11.1 percent. The percent's are based on calculations adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate.



In 2004-2006, 12.9 percent of all infant deaths in the **Tucson Area** were caused by congenital anomalies and sudden infant death syndrome. Disorders related to short gestation and low birthweight are both at 8.6 percent. The number of infant deaths for the Tucson Area is very small therefore these percent's should be interpreted with caution. The percent's are based on calculations adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate.



In 2004-2006, the mortality rate for sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS) for the IHS service area population was 2.0 times the rate for the U.S. all races population in 2005 (107.2 and 54.0, respectively). The percent's are based on calculations adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate.



Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS) Rates, Calendar Years 2004-2006

	Live	Infant	Deaths	Ra	ate <sup>1/</sup>
	Births	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2/</sup>	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2/</sup>
U.S. All Races (2005)	4,138,349	2,234		54.0	
All IHS Areas	124,091	<b>98</b>	1 <b>33</b>	79.0	<b>107.2</b>
Aberdeen	10,143	213/	20 <sup>3/</sup>	207.03/	197.2 <sup>3/</sup>
Alaska	9,040	10	12	110.6	132.7
Albuquerque	6,426	*	*	93.4	108.9
Bemidji	8,286	*	13	108.6	156.9
Billings	5,435	*	*	92.0	110.4
California	8,236	*	10	36.4	121.4
Nashville	6,817	10	13	146.7	190.7
Navajo	14,460	*	*	34.6	34.6
Oklahoma	25,062	15	23	59.9	91.8
Phoenix	14,312	*	*	34.9	41.9
Portland	13,557	*	15	51.6	110.6
Tucson	2,317	*	*	86.3	129.5

\* Quantity greater than zero and less than ten.

<sup>1/</sup> Rate per 100,000 live births.


Table 3.25

<sup>2/</sup> Adjusted to compensate for misreporting of American Indian/Alaska Native race on the state death certificate.
 <sup>3/</sup> The adjusted number and rate for SIDS deaths for the Aberdeen Area are lower than the

<sup>37</sup> The adjusted number and rate for SIDS deaths for the Aberdeen Area are lower than the unadjusted number and rate because the linked birth/infant death file (used to obtain the adjusted counts for SIDS deahts) had less deaths than did the unadjusted mortality file for each Area (2004-2006 data).

# PART 4 GENERAL MORTALITY STATISTICS

In 2004-2006, the age-adjusted death rate (all causes) for the IHS service area population was 979.8 deaths per 100,000 population. The AI/AN rate is 23 percent higher than the U.S. all races rate of 798.8 for 2005. The Aberdeen (1,437.4), Bemidji (1,291.7) and Billings (1,271.9) service areas had the highest rates. The rate is adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate.



#### Table 4.1

# Age-Adjusted Death Rates (All Causes), Calendar Years 2004-2006

	Deaths	1/	Rate <sup>2/</sup>		
	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>3/</sup>	Unadjusted	<b>Adjusted</b> <sup>3</sup>	
U.S. All Races (2005)	2,448,017		798.8		
All IHS Areas	30,213	35,038	852.8	979.8	
Aberdeen	2,503	2,563	1,401.9	1,437.4	
Alaska	2,237	2,363	1,020.4	1,073.3	
Albuquerque	1,542	1,623	764.4	803.0	
Bemidji	2,296	2,731	1,100.0	1,291.7	
Billings	1,376	1,467	1,191.4	1,271.9	
California	1,852	2,650	542.9	754.3	
Nashville	1,569	1,795	644.2	730.2	
Navajo	3,853	3,910	813.9	824.3	
Oklahoma	6,496	8,997	851.6	1,152.8	
Phoenix	2,867	2,999	808.0	845.4	
Portland	2,956	3,254	836.7	914.3	
Tucson	666	686	1,021.2	1,050.4	

<sup>1/</sup>Includes deaths with age not reported (13 deaths IHS-wide; Phoenix-8 deaths, Tucson-3 deaths, Navajo-1 death and Oklahoma-1 death).

<sup>2/</sup>Age-adjusted rate per 100,000 population.

<sup>3/</sup>Adjusted to compensate for misreporting of American Indian/Alaska Native race on the state death certificate.

In 2004-2006, the years of potential life lost rate for the IHS service area population was 88.4 years per 1,000 persons under 65 years, which is 94 percent higher than the U.S. all races rate of 45.6 for 2005. The rate of each IHS Area is higher than the U.S. all races rate. The lowest Area rate, California (60.0 years of potential life lost per 1,000 persons under 65 years), is 32 percent greater than the U.S. all races rate, while the highest Area rate, Aberdeen, (121.5) is 2.6 times the U.S. all races rate. The IHS service area rate is adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate.




Table 4.2	Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) Rates (All Causes),
	Calendar Years 2004-2006


	Number of '	YPLL <sup>1/</sup>	Rate <sup>2/</sup>	
	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>3/</sup>	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>3/</sup>
U.S. All Races (2005)	11,822,941		45.6	
All IHS Areas	369,443	446,905	74.5	<b>88.4</b>
Aberdeen	38,505	39,035	122.44/	121.54
Alaska	30,928	33,187	94.2	99.5
Albuquerque	22,1024/	21,9434/	77.54/	75.94
Bemidji	25,434	32,278	79.1	99.0
Billings	20,366	22,265	113.3	121.7
California	17,906	29,699	36.5	60.0
Nashville	16,490	21,239	53.5	68.1
Navajo	53,1594/	52,9014/	82.04/	80.74
Oklahoma	56,482	99,728	59.9	104.1
Phoenix	42,776	43,449	79.9	79.9
Portland	36,690	41,595	69.1	77.2
Tucson	8,605	9,586	87.8	96.8


<sup>1/</sup>Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) is a mortality indicator which measures the burden of premature deaths. It is calculated by subtracting the age at death from age 65 and summing the result over all deaths. This calculation was performed through the use of age groups under one, one to four, and five-year age groups through sixty to 64 years. The age at death was calculated based upon the mid-point of each of these age groups.

<sup>2/</sup>Rate per 1,000 population under 65 years of age.


<sup>3/</sup>Adjusted to compensate for misreporting of American Indian/Alaska Native race on the state death certificate.


<sup>4/</sup>The adjusted numbers and/or rates in the Aberdeen, Albuquerque, and Navajo Areas are lower than the unadjusted numbers and rates for each of these Areas.








In 2004-2006, 12.8 percent of all deaths in the **Aberdeen Area** were caused by diseases of the heart, followed by unintentional injuries at 11.9 percent.






















In 2004-2006, 11.4 percent of all deaths in the **Nashville Area** were caused by diseases of the heart, followed by malignant neoplasms at 9.9 percent.
















In 2004-2006, 9.4 percent of all deaths in the **Tucson Area** were caused by diseases of the heart, followed by unintentional injuries at 9.1 percent.



In 2004-2006, the age-adjusted poisoning death rate for the IHS service area population was 19.7 deaths per 100,000 population. The AI/AN rate is 1.8 times the U.S. all races rate (11.0 per 100,000 population) for 2005. The Tucson Area rate (28.8 per 100,000 population), which is the highest among the Areas, is 2.6 times the U.S. all races rate. The age-adjusted rate is adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate.



[ab	le 4	4.17	7	Α	s


ge-Adjusted Poisoning<sup>1/</sup> Death Rates, Calendar Years 2004-2006

	Deat	hs	Rate	2/
	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>3/</sup>	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>3</sup>
U.S. All Races (2005)	32,691		11.0	
All IHS Areas	818	<i>99</i> 7	16.3	<b>19.7</b>
Aberdeen	44	44	16.1	16.1
Alaska	64	68	20.5	21.9
Albuquerque	36	37	11.8	12.0
Bemidji	69	85	20.6	25.1
Billings	45	46	25.2	25.9
California	60	92	12.1	18.1
Nashville	35	40	10.1	11.4
Navajo	49	49	7.5	7.5
Oklahoma	179	283	18.8	29.3
Phoenix	91	92	17.3	17.5
Portland	120	134	21.8	24.2
Tucson	26	27	27.7	28.8

 $^{\rm 1/}$  Includes the following ICD-10 cause of death groups combined: U01(.6-.7), X40-X49, X60-X69, X85-X90, Y10-Y19, and Y35.2.

<sup>2/</sup>Age-adjusted rate per 100,000 population.

<sup>3/</sup> Adjusted to compensate for misreporting of American Indian/Alaska Native race on the state death certificate.




				_	Mot	or Vehicle Cras	hes		
		All Unintentio	onal Injuries		Tota	ls	Percent of Motor Vehicle	Oth Unintention	
	Deat	hs	Rate	2/	Rate	e <sup>2/</sup>	Crash Deaths Pedestrian-	Rate	,2/
	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>3/</sup>	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>3/</sup>	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>3/</sup>	related <sup>1/</sup>	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>3/</sup>
U.S. All Races (2005)	117,809		39.1		15.2		11.3%	23.9	
All IHS Areas	3,938	4,690	80.4	<i>93.8</i>	38.8	46.8	14.4%	41.7	47.2
Aberdeen	387	402	158.7	162.7	79.5	83.2	11.7%	78.8	79.1
Alaska	289	303	95.2	99.3	22.7	23.5	16.5%	72.5	75.8
Albuquerque	220	235	79.1	83.3	42.2	45.8	25.0%	36.8	37.5
Bemidji	248	311	75.9	92.8	35.9	46.9	14.1%	40.2	46.1
Billings	216	231	118.8	126.3	71.2	78.7	9.2%	47.6	47.6
California	170	277	34.8	53.4	15.4	26.0	8.7%	19.4	28.3
Nashville	169	205	51.9	61.6	27.1	34.6	14.8%	24.8	27.0
Navajo	740	756	124.0	126.4	66.7	68.9	23.5%	57.8	58.0
Oklahoma	615	1,005	64.1	100.8	27.7	46.8	6.6%	36.6	54.2
Phoenix	410	435	81.5	85.5	43.9	47.7	16.1%	37.9	38.2
Portland	378	431	71.6	79.9	31.7	37.6	12.2%	39.7	42.2
Tucson	96	99	107.7	110.4	51.5	53.0	25.0%	56.2	57.4

<sup>1/</sup>Includes Motor vehicle crashes having ICD-10 codes. V02-V04 (1,.9) and V09.2 indicates a pedestrian was the subject decedent as a result of the motor vehicle crash. Percentages rare based on adjusted numbers of deaths.

<sup>2/</sup>Age-adjusted rate per 100,000 population.

<sup>3/</sup>Adjusted to compensate for misreporting of American Indian/Alaska Native race on the state death certificate.

In 2004-2006, the age-adjusted suicide death rate for the IHS service area population was 19.8 per 100,000 population. The AI/AN rate is 82 percent higher than the U.S. all races rate of 10.9 for 2005. The Alaska Area rate (46.9) is 4.3 times the U.S. rate. The age-adjusted rate is adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate.




#### Table 4.19 Ag

# Age-Adjusted Suicide Death Rates, Calendar Years 2004-2006

	Death	s	Rate <sup>1/</sup>	
	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2/</sup>	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2</sup>
U.S. All Races (2005)	32,637		10.9	
All IHS Areas	<i>958</i>	1,119	17.0	<b>19.8</b>
Aberdeen	103	103	28.1	28.1
Alaska	158	172	43.4	46.9
Albuquerque	42	42	13.5	13.5
Bemidji	73	94	21.0	26.9
Billings	49	51	26.7	27.7
California	31	59	5.6	10.2
Nashville	27	28	8.0	8.3
Navajo	142	142	19.2	19.2
Oklahoma	133	214	13.2	20.8
Phoenix	93	96	14.9	15.3
Portland	84	95	13.8	15.5
Tucson	23	23	18.3	18.3

 $^{\rm 1/}{\rm Age}\xspace$  adjusted rate per 100,000 population. Rates based on a small number of deaths should be interpreted with caution.

<sup>2/</sup>Adjusted to compensate for misreporting of American Indian/Alaska Native race on the state death certificate. In 2004-2006, the age-adjusted homicide death rate for the IHS service area population was 11.8 per 100,000 population. The Al/AN rate is 90 percent higher than the U.S. all races rate of 6.2 for 2005. The Aberdeen Area had the highest rate of 24.8. The age-adjusted rate is adjusted for misreporting of Al/AN race on the state death certificate.



#### Table 4.20

# Age-Adjusted Homicide Death Rates, Calendar Years 2004-2006


	Death	s	Rate <sup>1/</sup>	1
	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2/</sup>	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2</sup>
U.S. All Races (2005)	18,538		6.2	
All IHS Areas	574	665	<b>10.3</b>	<b>11.8</b>
Aberdeen	53	53	24.8	24.8
Alaska	31	31	9.5	9.5
Albuquerque	39	41	12.4	13.0
Bemidji	39	46	10.2	11.8
Billings	32	33	15.2	15.8
California	34	55	6.4	10.0
Nashville	16	17	4.8	5.1
Navajo	96	96	14.0	14.0
Oklahoma	74	127	7.3	12.0
Phoenix	93	96	14.6	15.0
Portland	50	53	8.1	8.5
Tucson	17	17	14.4	14.4

 $^{1\prime}$  Age-adjusted rate per 100,000 population. Rates based on a small number of deaths should be interpreted with caution.

 $^{\rm 2\prime}$  Adjusted to compensate for misreporting of American Indian/Alaska Native race on the state death certificate.

NOTE: Includes deaths due to homicide and legal intervention.

In 2004-2006 the age-adjusted firearm injury death rate for the IHS service area population was 13.7 per 100,000 population. The AI/AN rate is 1.3 times the U.S. all races rate of 10.2 for 2005 The Alaska Area rate (32.6) far exceeds the rate of the next highest area (Tucson) with a rate of 21.1. The age-adjusted rate is adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate.



#### Table 4.21 Ag

# Age-Adjusted Firearm Injury<sup>1/</sup> Death Rates, Calendar Years 2004-2006


	Death	S	Rate <sup>2</sup>	1
	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>3/</sup>	Unadjusted	<b>Adjusted</b> <sup>3</sup>
U.S. All Races (2005)	30,694		10.2	
All IHS Areas	653	780	11.6	13.7
Aberdeen	38	38	12.5	12.5
Alaska	106	115	30.1	32.6
Albuquerque	31	31	9.7	9.7
Bemidji	48	60	12.7	15.6
Billings	26	26	13.5	13.5
California	33	57	6.0	9.9
Nashville	23	25	7.1	7.5
Navajo	59	59	8.5	8.5
Oklahoma	110	178	10.8	17.0
Phoenix	81	83	11.9	12.2
Portland	71	81	11.7	13.2
Tucson²/	27	27	21.1	21.1

<sup>1/</sup> Includes deaths with ICD-10 codes: accident caused by firearm missile—W32-W34; suicide and self-inflicted injury by firearms—X72-X74; assault by firearms and legal intervention—X93-X95,Y35.0; terrorism involving firearms—U01.4; and injury by firearms, undetermined whether accidentally or purposely inflicted—Y22-Y24. Injury by firearm causes exclude explosive and other causes indirectly related to firearms.

<sup>2/</sup> Age-adjusted rate per 100,000 population.

<sup>3/</sup> Adjusted to compensate for misreporting of American Indian/Alaska Native race on the state death certificate.

The age-adjusted alcohol-related death rate for the IHS service area population in 2004-2006 was 43.2 per 100,000 population. The Al/AN rate is 6.2 times the U.S. all races rate of 7.0 for 2005. The Aberdeen Area rate of 89.2 is 12.7 times the U.S. all races rate and 4.7 times the lowest Area rate (Nashville, 19.0). The age-adjusted rate is adjusted for misreporting of Al/AN race on the state death certificate.



# Table 4.22


# Age-Adjusted Alcohol-Related Death Rates, Calendar Years 2004-2006

	Death	s	Rate <sup>1/</sup>	
	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2/</sup>	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2</sup>
U.S. All Races (2005)	21,634		7.0	
All IHS Areas	1,674	1,931	37.5	43.2
Aberdeen	189	199	85.1	89.2
Alaska	159	182	55.0	62.8
Albuquerque	124	137	48.7	53.5
Bemidji	93	121	31.1	40.5
Billings	122	134	80.0	88.6
California	85	131	18.9	28.8
Nashville	51	59	16.5	19.0
Navajo	237	245	42.3	43.8
Oklahoma	161	229	18.2	25.7
Phoenix	227	245	51.1	55.1
Portland	170	191	35.6	39.7
Tucson	56	58	67.3	69.6

 $^{1/}$  Age-adjusted rate per 100,000 population.

<sup>2/</sup> Adjusted to compensate for misreporting of American Indian/Alaska Native race on the state death certificate.

The age-adjusted diabetes death rate for the IHS service area population in 2004-2006 was 68.0 per 100,000 population. The 2004-2006 AI/AN rate is 2.8 times the 2005 U.S. all races rate of 24.6. The IHS Area rates vary widely, ranging from 18.5 in Alaska to 133.2 in Aberdeen. The age-adjusted rate is adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate.




#### Table 4.23

# Age-Adjusted Diabetes Mellitus Death Rates, Calendar Years 2004-2006

	Death	s	Rate <sup>1</sup> /	
	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2/</sup>	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2,</sup>
U.S. All Races (2005)	75,119		24.6	
All IHS Areas	1,878	2,262	56.8	68.0
Aberdeen	199	209	126.9	133.2
Alaska	40	40	18.5	18.5
Albuquerque	121	137	68.9	78.2
Bemidji	156	195	80.8	100.7
Billings	90	103	84.4	96.2
California	107	156	32.9	47.6
Nashville	111	139	45.2	56.5
Navajo	210	214	48.2	49.1
Oklahoma	433	608	57.9	80.0
Phoenix	192	211	59.3	65.4
Portland	167	195	53.5	62.3
Tucson	52	55	91.6	96.5

<sup>1/</sup> Age-adjusted rate per 100,000 population.
<sup>2/</sup> Adjusted to compensate for misreporting of American Indian/Alaska Native race on the state death certificate.

The age-adjusted pneumonia and influenza death rate for the IHS service area population in 2004-2006 was 27.1 per 100,000 population. The AI/AN rate is 1.3 times the U.S. all races rate of 20.3 for 2005. The two highest Area rates in Navajo (43.5), and Tucson (35.3), are over two times higher than the lowest Area rate in Nashville (14.7). The age-adjusted rate is adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate.



# Table 4.24

Age-Adjusted Pneumonia and Influenza Death Rates, Calendar Years 2004-2006

	Death	5	Rate <sup>1/</sup>	
	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2/</sup>	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2/</sup>
U.S. All Races (2005)	63,001		20.3	
All IHS Areas	722	<b>791</b>	24.7	27.1
Aberdeen	44	44	31.0	31.9
Alaska	48 <sup>3/</sup>	46 <sup>3/</sup>	27.4 <sup>3/</sup>	27.0 <sup>3</sup>
Albuquerque	49	52	30.8	32.8
Bemidji	45	52	29.6	33.4
Billings	21	21	24.2	24.2
California	36	48	12.6	16.7
Nashville	28	30	13.8	14.7
Navajo	174	175	43.0	43.5
Oklahoma	122	161	17.7	23.1
Phoenix	88	94	31.8	33.6
Portland	49	51	17.4	18.4
Tucson	18 <sup>3/</sup>	17 <sup>3/</sup>	36.0 <sup>3/</sup>	35.3 <sup>3</sup>

 $^{1/}$  Age-adjusted rate per 100,000 population.

<sup>2/</sup> Adjusted to compensate for misreporting of American Indian/Alaska Native race on the state death certificate.

<sup>3/</sup> The adjusted numbers and rates in the Alaska and Tucson Areas is lower than the unadjusted numbers and rates because the linked birth/infant death file (used to obtain the adjusted counts of infant deaths) had one less death for this cause than did the unadjusted mortality file (2004-2006 data).

In 2004-2006, the age-adjusted tuberculosis death rate for the IHS service area population was 1.2 per 100,000 population. The AI/AN rate is six times the U.S. all races rate of 0.2 for 2005. Area rates with the small numbers of deaths should be interpreted with caution. (See section *Sources and Limitations of Data: Population Statistics.*) The age adjusted rate is adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate.




Table 4.25	Age-Adjusted Tu	h

#### e-Adjusted Tuberculosis Death Rates, CY 2004-2006

	Deaths		Rate <sup>1/</sup>	
	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2/</sup>	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2/</sup>
U.S. All Races (2005)	648		0.2	
All IHS Areas	<b>39</b> <sup>3/</sup>	<b>39</b> <sup>3/</sup>	<b>1.2</b> <sup>4/</sup>	<b>1.2</b> <sup>4</sup>
Aberdeen	*	*	5.2	5.2
Alaska	*	*	5.0	5.0
Albuquerque	*	*	1.9	1.9
Bemidji				
Billings				
California				
Nashville				
Navajo	*	*	1.2	1.2
Oklahoma	*	*	0.7	0.7
Phoenix	*	*	1.0	1.0
Portland	*	*	1.1	1.1
Tucson	*	*	3.3	3.3

-- Represents zero.

\* Quantity greater than zero and less than 10.


<sup>17</sup> Age-adjusted rate per 100,000 population. Rates based on a small number of deaths should be interpreted with caution.

<sup>2/</sup> Adjusted to compensate for misreporting of American Indian/Alaska Native race on the state death certificate.

<sup>3/</sup> Total number of deaths for the Indian Health Service includes suppressed counts (counts greater than zero and less than 10).

<sup>4</sup>/ Calculated unadjusted and adjusted rates include all Indian Health Service Areas with suppressed counts.

In 2004-2006, the age-adjusted gastrointestinal diseases death rate for the IHS service area population was 1.7 per 100,000 population. The AI/AN rate is lower than the U.S. all races rate for 2005 (2.1 per 100,000 population). The Area rates should be interpreted with caution because of the small number of deaths involved. (See section *Sources and Limitations of Data: Population Statistics.*) The age adjusted rate is adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate.



# Table 4.26

Age-Adjusted Gastrointestinal Diseases Death Rates, Calendar Years 2004-2006

	Deaths		Rate <sup>1/</sup>	
	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2/</sup>	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2/</sup>
U.S. All Races (2005)	6,545		2.1	
All IHS Areas	<b>49</b> <sup>3/</sup>	<b>54</b> <sup>3/</sup>	<b>1.6</b> <sup>4/</sup>	<b>1.7</b> <sup>4</sup>
Aberdeen	*	*	4.4	4.4
Alaska	*	*	1.0	1.0
Albuquerque	*	*	1.3	1.3
Bemidji	*	*	3.5	4.1
Billings	*	*	0.6	0.6
California	*	*	0.2	0.2
Nashville	*	*	2.1	2.1
Navajo	*	*	0.6	0.6
Oklahoma	12	14	1.7	2.0
Phoenix	*	*	1.4	1.6
Portland	*	*	1.7	1.7
Tucson	*	*	5.5	5.5


\* Quantity greater than zero and less than 10.

<sup>1/</sup> Age-adjusted rate per 100,000 population. Rates based on a small number of deaths should be interpreted with caution.

 $^{2\prime}$  Adjusted to compensate for misreporting of American Indian/Alaska Native race on the state death certificate.

<sup>37</sup> Total number of deaths for the Indian Health Service includes suppressed counts (counts greater than zero and less than 10)

greater than zero and less than 10). <sup>4/</sup> Calculated unadjusted and adjusted rates include all Indian Health Service Areas with suppressed counts. In 2004-2006, the age-adjusted heart disease death rate for the IHS service area population was 205.9 per 100,000 population. The AI/AN rate is 2.5 percent lower than the U.S. all races rate (211.1) in 2005. The Albuquerque Area has the lowest rate (118.8) and is 44 percent lower than the U.S. all races rate while the Bemidji Area has the highest rate (284.7) for heart disease which is 35 percent higher than the U.S. all races rate. The age-adjusted rate is adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate.




#### Table 4.27

#### Age-Adjusted Heart Disease Death Rates, Calendar Years 2004-2006

	Deaths		Rate <sup>1/</sup>	
	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2/</sup>	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2</sup>
U.S. All Races (2005)	652,091		211.1	
All IHS Areas	5,515	6,427	177.4	205.9
Aberdeen	421	432	275.7	283.7
Alaska	332	340	177.0	181.7
Albuquerque	201	206	115.6	118.8
Bemidji	447	530	241.0	284.7
Billings	193	208	200.1	217.6
California	416	578	135.5	185.5
Nashville	338	384	151.4	171.8
Navajo	543	547	131.7	132.6
Oklahoma	1,533	2,041	215.0	283.3
Phoenix	497	524	172.1	182.8
Portland	500	541	164.7	178.1
Tucson	94	96	176.8	181.7

 $^{1/}$  Age-adjusted rate per 100,000 population.

<sup>2/</sup> Adjusted to compensate for misreporting of American Indian/Alaska Native race on the state death certificate. In 2004-2006, the age-adjusted cerebrovascular diseases death rate for the IHS service area population was 46.5 per 100,000 population, whereas, the U.S. all races rate is 46.6 for the year 2005. The IHS Area rates differ considerably among Areas; the Alaska rate of 72.1 is 2.7 times higher than the Phoenix rate of 26.7. The age-adjusted rate is adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate.



## Table 4.28

Age-Adjusted Cerebrovascular Diseases Death Rates, Calendar Years 2004-2006

catchaar rears			D-4-1	
	Death	5	Rate <sup>1/</sup>	
	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2/</sup>	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2/</sup>
U.S. All Races (2005)	143 <b>,</b> 579		46.6	
All IHS Areas	1,193	1,380	40.4	46.5
Aberdeen	69	70	49.9	50.9
Alaska	120	129	67.5	72.1
Albuquerque	51	53	30.3	31.8
Bemidji	88	105	49.9	58.9
Billings	47	49	55.8	58.3
California	98	138	31.6	43.4
Nashville	81	90	37.2	41.7
Navajo	109 <sup>3/</sup>	108 <sup>3/</sup>	27.0 <sup>3/</sup>	26.9 <sup>3/</sup>
Oklahoma	283	371	41.9	54.4
Phoenix	77	78	26.2	26.7
Portland	149	168	53.2	60.1
Tucson	21	21	43.6	43.6

 $^{1/}$  Age-adjusted rate per 100,000 population.

<sup>2/</sup> Adjusted to compensate for misreporting of American Indian/Alaska Native race on the state death certificate.

<sup>37</sup> The adjusted numbers and rates in the Navajo Area is lower than the unadjusted numbers and rates because the linked birth/infant death file (used to obtain the adjusted counts of infant deaths) had one less death for this cause than did the unadjusted mortality file (2004-2006 data).

In 2004-2006, the age-adjusted malignant neoplasm death rate for the IHS service area population was 175.9 per 100,000 population. The 2004-2006 AI/AN rate is 4.3 percent less than the U.S. all races rate of 183.8 for 2005. Five IHS Areas have a rate greater than the U.S. all races rate; Bemidji (289.2), Billings (257.9), Aberdeen (250.5), Alaska (232.9), and Oklahoma (223.5). The rate is adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate.





Table 4.29	Age-Adjusted Malignant Neoplasm Death Rates,
	Calendar Years 2004-2006

Death	S	Rate <sup>1/</sup>	
Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2/</sup>	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2/</sup>
559 <b>,</b> 312		183.8	
5,076	5,850	153.3	175.9
379	387	244.6	250.5
452	482	219.5	232.9
202	208	108.9	112.3
489	564	250.7	289.2
245	259	242.4	257.9
332	448	102.6	137.4
299	336	129.1	145.0
498	498	113.8	113.8
1,258	1,706	166.4	223.5
317	320	102.3	103.4
530	566	158.5	169.0
75	76	133.9	136.5
	559,312 5,076 379 452 202 489 245 332 299 498 1,258 317 530	559,312           5,076         5,850           379         387           452         482           202         208           489         564           245         259           332         448           299         336           498         498           1,258         1,706           317         320           530         566	559,312         183.8           5,076         5,850         153.3           379         387         244.6           452         482         219.5           202         208         108.9           489         564         250.7           245         259         242.4           332         448         102.6           299         336         129.1           498         498         113.8           1,258         1,706         166.4           317         320         102.3           530         566         158.5

 $^{1/}\mbox{Age-adjusted}$  rate per 100,000 population.

<sup>2/</sup>Adjusted to compensate for misreporting of American Indian/Alaska Native race on the state death certificate. In 2004-2006, the age-adjusted lung cancer death rate for the IHS service area population was 48.0 per 100,000 population. The definition of lung cancer has been expanded to include the trachea and bronchus. The 2004-2006 AI/AN rate is 8.7 percent less than the U.S. all races rate of 52.6 in 2005. Five IHS Areas (Aberdeen, Alaska, Bemidji, Billings, and Oklahoma) have rates exceeding the U.S. all races rate. The age-adjusted rate is adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate.



## Table 4.30

### Age-Adjusted Lung Cancer<sup>1/</sup> Death Rates, Calendar Years 2004-2006

	Death	S	Rate <sup>2/</sup>	
	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>3/</sup>	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>3/</sup>
U.S. All Races (2005)	159,292		52.6	
All IHS Areas	1,268	1,582	<b>38.7</b> <sup>4/</sup>	<b>48.0</b> <sup>4</sup>
Aberdeen	125	133	83.4	89.3
Alaska	130	148	63.2	71.5
Albuquerque	23	25	12.4	13.6
Bemidji	173	222	87.0	111.4
Billings	50	57	52.2	60.4
California	85	123	26.3	37.8
Nashville	81	100	34.3	42.3
Navajo	39	39	9.4	9.4
Oklahoma	382	532	50.6	70.0
Phoenix	47	49	17.2	18.1
Portland	133	154	38.9	44.7
Tucson	*	*	8.7	8.7

\* Quantity greater than zero and less than 10.


<sup>1/</sup> Lung cancer death includes deaths due to cancers of the trachea, bronchus and lung, ICD-10 codes C33-C34.

 $^{\rm 2\prime}$  Age-adjusted rate per 100,000 population. Rates based on a small number of deaths should be interpreted with caution.

 $^{\rm 3\prime}$  Adjusted to compensate for misreporting of American Indian/Alaska Native race on the state death certificate.

<sup>4/</sup> Calculated unadjusted and adjusted rates include all Indian Health Service Areas with suppressed counts.

In 2004-2006, the age-adjusted female breast cancer death rate in the IHS service area population was 21.0 per 100,000 population. The 2004-2006 AI/AN rate is 12.9 percent less than the U.S. all races rate of 24.1 per 100,000 population for 2005. The age-adjusted rate is adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate.



### Table 4.31 A

### Age-Adjusted Female Breast Cancer Death Rates, Calendar Years 2004-2006

	Death	s	Rate <sup>1/</sup>	
	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2/</sup>	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2,</sup>
U.S. All Races (2005)	41,116		24.1	
All IHS Areas	343	<b>408</b>	<b>17.8</b> <sup>3/</sup>	<b>21.0</b> <sup>3</sup>
Aberdeen	27	27	27.8	27.8
Alaska	32	35	25.0	26.9
Albuquerque	17	18	14.1	14.9
Bemidji	21	24	20.4	23.3
Billings	17	18	30.3	33.1
California	25	38	13.4	20.0
Nashville	18	21	12.4	14.2
Navajo	30	30	11.3	11.3
Oklahoma	85	122	20.2	28.5
Phoenix	26	27	12.2	12.6
Portland	41	44	23.4	25.2
Tucson	*	*	13.9	13.9


\* Quantity greater than zero and less than 10.

<sup>1/</sup> Age-adjusted rate per 100,000 **female** population. Rates based on a small number of deaths should be interpreted with caution.

 $^{2\prime}$  Adjusted to compensate for misreporting of American Indian/Alaska Native race on the state death certificate.

<sup>37</sup> Calculated unadjusted and adjusted rates include all Indian Health Service Areas with suppressed counts.

In 2004-2006, the age-adjusted cervical cancer death rate for females in the IHS service area population was 3.3 per 100,000 population. The 2004-2006 AI/AN rate is 37.5 percent greater than the U.S. all races rate of 2.4 per 100,000 population for 2005. The Area rates should be interpreted with caution because of the small number of deaths involved. The highest death rates for cervical cancer occurred in Aberdeen (6.3) followed by Tucson (5.9) during the 3-year period. (See section Sources and Limitations of Data: Population Statistics.) The age-adjusted rate is adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate.



### Table 4.32

### Age-Adjusted Cervical Cancer Death Rates, Calendar Years 2004-2006

	Deat	hs	Rate	1/
	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2/</sup>	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2/</sup>
U.S. All Races (2005)	<i>3,92</i> 4		2.4	
All IHS Areas	<b>66</b> <sup>3/</sup>	<b>73</b> <sup>3/</sup>	<b>3.0</b> <sup>4/</sup>	<b>3.3</b> <sup>4</sup>
Aberdeen	*	*	6.3	6.3
Alaska	*	*	2.9	2.9
Albuquerque	*	*	5.0	5.0
Bemidji	*	*	5.1	5.8
Billings	*	*	4.8	4.8
California	*	*	0.7	1.1
Nashville	*	*	2.0	2.6
Navajo	*	*	3.5	3.5
Oklahoma	*	13	2.0	2.9
Phoenix	*	*	2.4	2.4
Portland	*	*	2.7	2.7
Tucson	*	*	5.9	5.9

\* Quantity greater than zero and less than 10.


<sup>1/</sup> Age-adjusted rate per 100,000 **female** population. Rates based on a small number of deaths should be interpreted with caution.

 $^{2\prime}$  Adjusted to compensate for misreporting of American Indian/Alaska Native race on the state death certificate.

<sup>3/</sup> Total number of deaths for the Indian Health Service does not include suppressed counts (counts greater than zero and less than 10).

<sup>4</sup> Calculated unadjusted and adjusted rates include all Indian Health Service Areas with suppressed counts.

The age-adjusted colon rectal cancer death rate for the IHS service area population in 2004-2006 was 17.7 per 100,000 population, whereas, the U.S. all races rate in 2005 is 17.5 per 100,000 population. The highest IHS Area rate (Alaska and Bemidji each at 28.5) is 1.6 times the U.S. all races rate. The age-adjusted rate is adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate.



### Table 4.33 A

### Age-Adjusted Colon-Rectal Cancer Death Rates, Calendar Years 2004-2006

	Death	s	Rate <sup>1/</sup>	
	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2/</sup>	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2,</sup>
U.S. All Races (2005)	53,252		17.5	
All IHS Areas	<b>498</b>	<b>581</b>	<b>15.3</b> <sup>3/</sup>	<b>17.7</b> <sup>3</sup>
Aberdeen	27	27	17.0	17.0
Alaska	54	56	27.8	28.5
Albuquerque	20	21	11.9	12.7
Bemidji	46	50	25.7	28.5
Billings	21	24	21.0	24.2
California	43	59	13.6	18.3
Nashville	34	40	15.1	17.8
Navajo	47	47	10.4	10.4
Oklahoma	131	181	17.1	23.3
Phoenix	25	25	8.0	8.0
Portland	48	49	15.1	15.6
Tucson	*	*	3.1	3.1


\* Quantity greater than zero and less than 10.

<sup>1/</sup> Age-adjusted rate per 100,000 population. Rates based on a small number of deaths should be interpreted with caution.

<sup>2/</sup> Adjusted to compensate for misreporting of American Indian/Alaska Native race on the state death certificate.

<sup>37</sup> Calculated unadjusted and adjusted rates include all Indian Health Service Areas with suppressed counts.

In 2004-2006, the age-adjusted prostate cancer death rate for males in the IHS service area population was 22.1 per 100,000 population, whereas, the 2005 U.S. all races rate is 24.5 per 100,000 population. The age-adjusted rate is adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate.



### Table 4.34

## Age-Adjusted Prostate Cancer Death Rates, Calendar Years 2004-2006

	Death	S	Rate <sup>1/</sup>	1
	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2/</sup>	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2/</sup>
U.S. All Races (2005)	28,905		24.5	
All IHS Areas	213	242	<b>19.6</b> <sup>3/</sup>	<b>22.1</b> <sup>3</sup>
Aberdeen	11	11	23.6	23.6
Alaska	12	13	19.9	21.4
Albuquerque	11	12	17.3	19.2
Bemidji	18	23	29.5	38.8
Billings	11	11	42.5	42.5
California	12	16	10.2	13.5
Nashville	11	11	13.7	13.7
Navajo	32	32	21.1	21.1
Oklahoma	50	66	19.0	24.4
Phoenix	16	16	15.9	15.9
Portland	24	26	24.4	27.0
Tucson	*	*	25.2	25.2

\* Quantity greater than zero and less than 10.

<sup>1/</sup> Age-adjusted rate per 100,000 **male** population. Rates based on a small number of deaths should be interpreted with caution.

 $^{\rm 2\prime}$  Adjusted to compensate for misreporting of American Indian/Alaska Native race on the state death certificate.

<sup>3/</sup> Calculated unadjusted and adjusted rates include all Indian Health Service Areas with suppressed counts.

In 2004-2006, the age-adjusted human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection death rate for the IHS service area population is 3.1 per 100,000 population, whereas, the 2005 U.S. all races rate is 4.2 per 100,000 population. The Billings Area didn't have any deaths due to HIV, therefore had the lowest mortality rate for all IHS areas. Area rates should be interpreted with caution when small numbers of deaths occur. (See section: Sources and Limitations of Data: Population Statistics.) The age-adjusted rate is adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate.




Table 4.35	Age-Adjusted Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) Infection Death Rates,
	Calendar Years 2004-2006

	Deaths	S	Rate <sup>1/</sup>	
	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2/</sup>	Unadjusted	Adjusted <sup>2/</sup>
U.S. All Races (2005)	12,543		4.2	
All IHS Areas	<b>129</b> <sup>3/</sup>	<b>143</b> <sup>3/</sup>	<b>2.8</b> <sup>4/</sup>	<b>3.1</b> 4
Aberdeen	10	10	4.0	4.0
Alaska	*	*	3.0	3.0
Albuquerque	*	*	2.1	2.1
Bemidji	*	*	0.6	0.6
Billings			0.0	0.0
California	14	17	3.0	3.6
Nashville	12	14	3.8	4.4
Navajo	15	15	2.7	2.7
Oklahoma	23	31	2.5	3.4
Phoenix	*	*	1.9	1.9
Portland	25	26	4.6	4.8
Tucson	*	*	3.5	3.5

-- Represents zero.

\* Quantity greater than zero and less than 10.


<sup>1/</sup> Age-adjusted rate per 100,000 population. Rates based on a small number of deaths should be interpreted with caution.

<sup>2/</sup> Adjusted to compensate for misreporting of American Indian/Alaska Native race on the state death certificate.

<sup>3/</sup> Total number of deaths for the Indian Health Service includes suppressed counts (counts greater than zero and less than 10).


<sup>4/</sup> Calculated unadjusted and adjusted rates include all Indian Health Service Areas with suppressed counts.

In 2004-2006, the AI/AN life expectancy at birth (both sexes) for the IHS service area population was 73.1 years. Life expectancy calculations are based on rates adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the death certificate. Life expectancy at birth is 4.3 years less than the 2005 figure of 77.4 years for the U.S. all races population. The Nashville and California IHS Areas have a life expectancy greater than the U.S. all races population. The Aberdeen Area has a life expectancy (67.7) 9.7 years less than the U.S. figure.




NOTE: Life expectancies **not** adjusted for misreporting of American Indian/Alaska Native race on state death certificates are shown in parentheses.

In 2004-2006, the life expectancy at birth for AI/AN males in the IHS service area population was 69.9 years. Life expectancy calculations are based on rates adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the death certificate. Life expectancy at birth is 5 years less than the 2005 figure of 74.9 years for the U.S. all races male population. AI/AN males in the Aberdeen Area (63.0) can expect to live from birth, 11.9 years less than U.S. males.



NOTE: Life expectancies **not** adjusted for misreporting of American Indian/Alaska Native race on state death certificates are shown in parentheses.

In 2004-2006, the life expectancy at birth for AI/AN females in the IHS service area population was 76.3 years. Life expectancy calculations are based on rates adjusted for misreporting of AI/AN race on the state death certificate. Life expectancy at birth is 3.6 years less than the 2005 figure of 79.9 years for the U.S. all races female population. AI/AN females in the California Area (81.3) had the best Area life expectancy, can expect to live from birth slightly less than their counterparts in the U.S. all races population. Females in the Aberdeen Area have a life expectancy (71.1) that is 8.8 years less than that of U.S. females.




NOTE: Life expectancies **not** adjusted for misreporting of American Indian/Alaska Native race on state death certificates are shown in parentheses.


## PART 5 PATIENT CARE STATISTICS



In FY 2011, there were over 74,567 admissions to IHS and Tribal direct and contract general hospitals. Over 46.9 percent of these admissions were in two IHS Areas, Alaska (14,377) and Navajo (20,602).



The IHS admission rate of 478.4 admissions per 10,000 user population in FY 2011 was 42 percent lower than the U.S. rate of 1,118.2 in CY 2011. The IHS Area rates ranged from 63.7 in California, where the IHS provides little inpatient care, to 1,004.5 in Alaska. Chart 5.2



NOTE: Prior issues used User Population for the denominator of this measure.

Hospital Admission Rates, FY 2011

Table 5.1

### Number and Rate of Admissions, Indian Health Service and Tribal Direct and Contract General Hospitals, FY 2011, and U.S. Short-Stay Community Hospitals, Calendar Year 2011

	Total		IHS Adm	issions	Tribal Adn	nissions
	Admission Rate	Total Admissions	Direct	Contract	Direct	Contract
U.S. All Races (2011)	1,118.2	34,843 <sup>2/</sup>				
All IHS Areas	<b>478.4</b> <sup>1/</sup>	74,567	26,817	<i>9,949</i>	30,197	7,604
Aberdeen	511.3	6,351	4,144	2,141	0	66
Alaska	1,004.5	14,377	0	0	14,189	188
Albuquerque	278.5	2,395	1,569	772	0	54
Bemidji	98.8	1,037	438	359	0	240
Billings	545.6	3,960	1,859	2,101	0	0
California <sup>3/</sup>	63.7	547	0	0	0	547
Nashville	781.8	4,182	0	90	1,192	2,900
Navajo	833.4	20,602	11,858	1,376	7,014	354
Oklahoma	346.5	11,768	1,543	1,512	7,749	964
Phoenix	380.5	6,331	4,968	1,213	53	97
Portland <sup>3/</sup>	199.8	2,165	0	152	0	2,013
Tucson	315.6	852	438	233	0	181

<sup>1/</sup> Number of admissions per 10,000 user population.

<sup>2/</sup> Number of admissions in thousands.

<sup>3/</sup> California and Portland do not have direct IHS or Tribal inpatient care.


SOURCES: IHS National Data Warehouse, March 2013.

American Hospital Association, Fast Facts on US Hospitals (2011), http://bit.ly/14a7g06

US Census Bureau American Fact Finder, http://1.usa.gov/NLypPB



The number of inpatient days in IHS and Tribal direct and contract general hospitals was approximately 289,000 in FY 2011. The number varied considerably among the IHS Areas, ranging from 2,703 in Tucson to 75,013 in Navajo.



## Table 5.3

## Number of Hospital Days, Indian Health Service and Tribal Direct and Contract General Hospitals, FY 2011


		IHS	Days	Tribal	Days
	Total Days	Direct	Contract	Direct	Contract
All IHS Areas	289,454	86,522	47,517	118,538	36,877
Aberdeen	21,847	11,242	10,349	0	256
Alaska	60,483	0	0	59,355	1,128
Albuquerque	9,442	5,437	3,761	0	244
Bemidji	4,360	2,164	1,353	0	843
Billings	15,910	5,906	10,004	0	0
California1/	6,453	0	0	0	6,453
Nashville	18,414	0	303	7,512	10,599
Navajo	75,013	37,260	7,570	28,275	1,908
Oklahoma	42,792	5,003	6,824	23,283	7,682
Phoenix	24,444	18,241	5,824	113	266
Portland <sup>1/</sup>	7,593	0	603	0	6,990
Tucson	2,703	1,269	926	0	508

<sup>1/</sup> California and Portland do not have direct IHS or Tribal inpatient care.

SOURCES: IHS National Data Warehouse, March 2013.


## PART 5: PATIENT CARE STATISTICS

In FY 2011, 16.3 percent of all discharges from IHS and Tribal direct and contract general hospitals pertained to obstetric deliveries and complications of pregnancy, followed by diseases of the respiratory system at 12.9 percent.



For the **Aberdeen Area** in FY 2011, 14.5 percent of all discharges from IHS and Tribal direct and contract general hospitals pertained to diseases of the respiratory system, followed by obstetric deliveries and complications of pregnancy at 13.5 percent.


Chart 5.0 Leading Causes of Hospitalization, Aberdeen Area, FY 2011




IHS and Tribal Direct and Contract General Hospitals


## For the **Alaska Area** in FY 2011, 21.0 percent of all discharges from Tribal contract general hospitals pertained to obstetric deliveries and complications of pregnancy, followed by diseases of the respiratory system at 12.9 percent.

Chart 5.6 Leading Causes of Hospitalization, Alaska Area, FY 2011






IHS and Tribal Direct and Contract General Hospitals




For the **Billings Area** in FY 2011, 13.0 percent of all discharges from IHS and Tribal direct and contract general hospitals pertained to diseases of the digestive system, followed by diseases of the respiratory system at 12.5 percent.




For the **California Area** in FY 2011, 35.5 percent of all discharges from Tribal contract health service hospitals pertained to mental disorders, followed by diseases of the digestive system at 8.4 percent.






For the **Nashville Area** in FY 2011, 19.5 percent of all discharges from Tribal contract general hospitals pertained to mental disorders, followed by symptoms, signs, and ill-defined conditions at 10.3 percent.






For the **Navajo Area** in FY 2011, 17.8 percent of all discharges from IHS and Tribal direct and contract general hospitals pertained to obstetric deliveries and complications of pregnancy, followed by diseases of the respiratory system and diseases of the digestive system both at 15.3 percent.






IHS and Tribal Direct and Contract General Hospitals




Percent of Area Total Discharges IHS and Tribal Direct and Contract General Hospitals


For the **Phoenix Area** in FY 2011, 15.1 percent of all discharges from IHS and Tribal direct and contract general hospitals pertained to obstetric deliveries and complications of pregnancy, followed by diseases of the respiratory system at 14.6 percent.



For the **Portland Area** in FY 2011, 13.7 percent of all discharges from Tribal contract general hospitals pertained to symptoms, signs, and ill-defined conditions, followed by diseases of the digestive system at 13.4 percent.




For the **Tucson Area** in FY 2011, 26.6 percent of all discharges from IHS and Tribal direct and contract general hospitals pertained to endocrine, nutritional, and metabolic diseases and immunity disorders, followed by diseases of the respiratory system at 11.7 percent.





In FY 2011, there were over 12 million ambulatory medical visits to IHS and Tribal direct and contract facilities. Three IHS Areas—Oklahoma (2,959,271), Navajo (1,928,047) and Alaska (1,601,680)—had 50.2 percent of the visits.



## Table 5.17


## Number of Ambulatory Medical Visits, Indian Health Service and Tribal Direct and Contract Facilities, FY 2011

		Indian Heal	th Service	Trib	al
	Total	Direct	Contract	Direct	Contract
All IHS Areas	12,928,033	4,879,340	<i>92,300</i>	7,722,393	234,000
Aberdeen	932,084	809,948	14,131	107,626	379
Alaska	1,601,680	0	0	1,594,193	7,487
Albuquerque	570,660	471,217	5,482	93,140	821
Bemidji	772,358	272,834	3,449	472,994	23,081
Billings	630,419	485,698	23,640	121,081	(
California	564,289	0	0	522,461	41,828
Nashville	554,550	12,958	2,430	482,097	57,065
Navajo	1,928,047	1,030,954	11,844	882,372	2,877
Oklahoma	2,959,271	568,266	13,384	2,362,269	15,352
Phoenix	1,294,057	800,123	12,017	476,361	5,556
Portland	904,133	281,881	2,344	545,621	74,287
Tucson	216,485	145,461	3,579	62,178	5,267


SOURCE: IHS National Data Warehouse, March 2013.








#### Chart 5.19 For the **Aberdeen Area** in Leading Causes of Ambulatory Medical Visits, Aberdeen Area, FY 2011 FY 2011, 24.8 percent of all clinical impressions in IHS and Tribal direct and contract facilities pertained Supplementary Classification 24.8 to supplementary classifications, followed by endocrine, nutritional, Endocrine, Nutritional, & Metabolic 12.9 and metabolic diseases and **Diseases & Immunity Disorders** immunity disorders at 12.9 Diseases of the 8.8 **Respiratory System** percent. Diseases of the 8.6 **Circulatory System** Diseases of the Musculoskeletal 7.9 System & Connective Tissue Diseases of the Nervous 6.5 System & Sense Organs 0 10 20 30 Percent of Area Total Clinical Impressions IHS and Tribal Direct and Contract Facilities





Percent of Area Total Clinical Impressions IHS and Tribal Direct and Contract Facilities






Chart 5.23


For the **Billings Area** in FY 2011, 58.9 percent of all clinical impressions in IHS and Tribal direct and contract facilities pertained to supplementary classifications, followed by diseases of the respiratory system at 5.6 percent.





Leading Causes of Ambulatory Medical Visits, Billings Area, FY 2011

Percent of Area Total Clinical Impressions IHS and Tribal Direct and Contract Facilities











Percent of Area Total Clinical Impressions IHS and Tribal Direct and Contract Facilities

Percent of Area Total Clinical Impressions IHS and Tribal Direct and Contract Facilities



83








Chart 5.30



Leading Causes of Ambulatory Medical Visits, Tucson Area, FY 2011

Percent of Area Total Clinical Impressions IHS and Tribal Direct and Contract Facilities In FY 2011, there were 354 asthma admissions to IHS and Tribal direct and contract general hospitals with asthma as a principal diagnosis. Approximately 62 percent of these admissions where asthma was a principal diagnosis were in two IHS Areas, Navajo (153) and Aberdeen (65). The rate of the IHS and Tribal AI/AN population is 19.5 percent of that found to the closest published figure for the U.S. all races population (7.1 asthma admissions per 10,000 versus 36.5, respectively).



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>CDC National Hospital Discharge Survey

## Table 5.31


# Number and Rate of Hospitalization of Persons Diagnosed with Asthma under Age 18, FY 2011

		ma as Diagnosis	Any Diag also Inclue	Estimated Population		
	Admission Rate per 10,000	Number of Admissions	Admission Rate per 10,000	Number of Admissions	Under Age 18 Years <sup>1/</sup>	
U.S. All Races (2010) <sup>2/</sup>	36.5					
All IHS Areas	7.1	<b>354</b>	17.1	<b>853</b>	<b>497,75</b> 4	
Aberdeen	14.6	65	31.6	141	44,651	
Alaska	8.9	44	41.3	205	49,647	
Albuquerque	5.8	15	10.9	28	25,775	
Bemidji	1.2	4	3.4	11	32,643	
Billings	5.6	14	14.1	35	24,780	
California	0.4	1	0.8	2	25,863	
Nashville	5.8	10	12.7	22	17,321	
Navajo	19.0	153	32.3	261	80,681	
Oklahoma	2.6	26	7.3	72	98,842	
Phoenix	2.5	14	8.8	50	56,902	
Portland	0.6	2	1.2	4	32,092	
Tucson	7.0	6	25.7	22	8,557	


<sup>1/</sup> IHS User Population under age 18 for FY 2011.

<sup>2/</sup> CDC National Hospital Discharge Survey under age 15 for CY 2010. http://1.usa.gov/15ns9ks SOURCES: IHS National Data Warehouse, March 2013. In FY 2011, 75.9 percent of AI/AN children 19-35 months old residing in an IHS service area who received care from an IHS or Tribal facility completed the 4:3:1:3:3:1:4 (4 or more doses diphtheria, tetanus, acellular pertussis vaccine, 3 or more doses of polio vaccine, 1 or more doses of measles, mumps, rubella vaccine, 3 or more doses of Haemophilus influenzae type B vaccine, 3 or more doses of hepatitis B vaccine, and 1 dose of varicella vaccine, and 4 or more doses of pneumococcal conjugate vaccine). The Portland Area had the lowest coverage at 64.3 percent, while the Tucson Area had the highest coverage, 84.4 percent. Based on the data from the CDC's National Immunization Survey in CY 2011, 73.3 percent of children aged 19 to 35 months completed the 4:3:1:3:3:1:4 vaccine series.


In FY 2011, 85.5 percent of AI/AN adults 65 years and older residing in an IHS service area and receiving care from an IHS or Tribal facility received the pneumococcal vaccine. The Bemidji Area had the lowest coverage at 72.1 percent, while the Tucson Area had the highest coverage, 96.5 percent. Based on the data from the CDC's National Health Interview Survey, CY 2011, 62.3 percent of the U.S. general population 65 years and older received pneumococcal vaccine.



<sup>1</sup>IHS FY 2011 12 Area GPRA Report <sup>2</sup>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Immunization Survey 2011. http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/stats\_surv/nis/data/tables\_2011.htm#overall




<sup>1</sup> IHS FY 2011 12 Area GPRA Report <sup>2</sup> Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Immunization Survey 2011. http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6204a2.htm?cid=mm6204a2 w In FY 2011, 62.0 percent of AI/AN adults 65 years and older and residing in an IHS service area and receiving care from an IHS or Tribal facility received the influenza vaccine. The Alaska Area had the lowest coverage at 48.2 percent, while the Tucson Area had the highest coverage, 75.6 percent. Based on the data from the CDC's FluVaxView for 2010-2011 influenza season, 66.6 percent of the U.S. general population 65 years and older received influenza vaccine.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>IHS FY 2011 12 Area GPRA Report <sup>2</sup>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. FluVaxView. http://www.cdc.gov/flu/professionals/vaccination/reporti1011/reportii/index.htm

In FY 2011, over 4.7 million dental services were provided at IHS and Tribal direct and contract facilities, as reported to the IHS National Data Warehouse. These IHS Areas provided 49 percent of these dental services: California (682,848), Navajo (725,257), and Oklahoma (890,130). *NOTE: Not all IHS areas fully report contract dental services*. *Direct Dental patients by definition are not referrals. Contract patients by definition are referrals. Not all Tribal or Urban programs report direct services data to IHS*.



	Т	otal	IHS	Direct	IHS Co	ontract	Triba	l Direct	Tribal C	Contract
	Patients	Services	Patients	Services	Patients	Services	Patients	Services	Patients	Services
All IHS Areas	398,637	4,733,622	205,579	2,313,353	0	46 <b>3</b>	193,058	2,365,508	0	54,298
Aberdeen	33,401	380,879	27,971	323,609	0	29	5,430	57,241	0	0
Alaska	17,555	291,663	0	0	0	133	17,555	283,126	0	8,404
Albuquerque	31,925	362,650	25,797	294,592	0	28	6,128	68,030	0	0
Bemidji	23,891	123,874	9,429	123,850	0	24	14,462	0	0	0
Billings	23,733	230,111	18,735	180,792	0	23	4,998	49,296	0	0
California	47,458	682,848	0	0	0	48	47,458	658,973	0	23,827
Nashville	16,046	7,616	566	7,583	0	33	15,480	0	0	0
Navajo	60,588	725,257	55,486	539,657	0	33	5,102	185,567	0	0
Oklahoma	60,533	890,130	20,891	272,474	0	37	39,642	614,306	0	3,313
Phoenix	39,677	465,078	26,910	317,750	0	36	12,767	147,292	0	0
Portland	37,907	480,281	13,871	175,212	0	35	24,036	286,280	0	18,754
Tucson	5,923	93,235	5,923	77,834	0	4	0	15.397	0	0

NOTE: Not all IHS areas fully report contract dental services.

Direct Dental patients by definition are not referrals. Contract patients by definition are referrals.

## List of 113 Causes of Death (1999-present)

Cause of Death	ICD-10 Codes
Salmonella infections	A01-A02
Shigellosis and amebiasis	A03, A06
Certain other intestinal infections	A04, A07-A09
Tuberculosis	A16-A19
Respiratory Tuberculosis	A16
Other Tuberculosis	A17-A19
Whooping cough	A37
Scarlet fever and erysipelas	A38, A46
Meningococcal infection	A39
Septicemia	A40-A41
Syphilis	A50-A53
Acute poliomyelitis	A80
Arthropod-borne viral encephalitis	A83-A84, A85.2
Measles	B05
Viral Hepatitis	B15-B19
Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) disease	B20-B24
Malaria	B50-B54
Other and unspecified infections and parasitic diseases and their sequelae	A00, A05, A20-A36,
A42-A44, A48-A49, A54-A79, A81-A82, A85.0-A85.1, A85.8, A86-B04, B06-	
Malignant neoplasm	C00-C97
Malignant neoplasm of lip, oral cavity and pharynx	C00-C14
Malignant neoplasm of esophagus	C15
Malignant neoplasm of stomach	C16
Malignant neoplasm of colon, rectum, anus	C18-C21
Malignant neoplasm of liver and intrahepatic bile ducts	C22
Malignant neoplasm of pancreas	C25
Malignant neoplasm of larynx	C32
Malignant neoplasm of trachea, bronchus and lung	C33-C34
Malignant melanoma of skin	C43
Malignant neoplasm of breast	C50
Malignant neoplasm of cervix uteri	C53
Malignant neoplasm of corpus uteri and uterus, part unspecified	C54-C55
Malignant neoplasm of ovary	C56
Malignant neoplasm of prostate	C61
Malignant neoplasm of kidney and renal pelvis	C64-C65
Malignant neoplasm of bladder	C67
Malignant neoplasm of meninges, brain, and other	
parts of central nervous system	C70-C72
Malignant neoplasm of lymphoid, hematopoietic and related tissue	C81-C96
Hodgkin's disease	C81
Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma	C82-C85
Leukemia	C91-C95
Multiple myeloma and immunoproliferative neoplasm	C88, C90
Other and unspecified malignant neoplasm of lymphoid,	
hematopoietic and related tissue	С96
All other unspecified malignant neoplasm C17, C23-C24, C26-C31, C37-	C41, C44-C49, C51-C52,
	, C68-C69, C73-C80, C97
In situ neoplasm, benign neoplasm and neoplasm of uncertain or unknown beh	
Anemia	D50-D64

## List of 113 Causes of Death (1999-present)

Cause of Death	ICD-10 Codes
Malnutrition	E40-E46
Other nutritional deficiencies	E50-E64
Meningitis	G00, G03
Parkinson's disease	G20-G21
Alzheimer's disease	G30
Major cardiovascular diseases	100-178
Diseases of heart	100-109, 111, 113, 120-151
Acute rheumatic fever and chronic rheumatic heart diseases	100-109
Hypertensive heart disease	11
Hypertensive and renal disease	13
Ischemic heart disease	120-125
Acute myocardial infraction	121-122
Other acute ischemic heart diseases	124
Other forms of chronic ischemic heart disease	
Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, so described	125.0
All other forms of chronic ischemic heart disease	120, 125.1-125.9
Other heart diseases	120, 129.11 129.9
Acute and subacute endocartitis	33
Diseases of pericardium and acute myocarditis	30- 31,  40
Heart failure	50
All other forms of heart disease	126-128, 134-138, 142-149, 151
Essential (primary) hypertension and hypertensive renal disease	120-128, 154-158, 142-149, 151
Cerebrovascular disease	110,112
Atherosclerosis	100-109
	170
Other diseases of circulatory system Aortic aneurysm and dissection	71- 78
Other diseases of arteries, arterioles and capillaries	-
· · · · ·	172-178
Other disorders of circulatory system	180-199
Influenza and pneumonia	J10-J18
Influenza	J10-J11
Pneumonia	J12-J18
Other acute lower respiratory infections	J20-J22
Acute bronchitis and bronchiolitis	J20-J21
Unspecified acute lower respiratory infection	J22
Chronic lower respiratory diseases	J40-J47
Bronchitis chronic and unspecified	J44, J47
Emphysema	J43
Asthma	J45-J46
Other chronic lower respiratory diseases	J44, J47
Pneumoconioses and chemical effects	J60-J66, J68
Pneumonitis due to solids and liquids	J69
Other diseases of respiratory system	J00-J06, J30-J39, J67, J70-J98
Peptic ulcer	K25-K28
Diseases of appendix	K35-K38
Hernia	К40-К46
Chronic liver disease and cirrhosis	K70, K73-K74
Alcoholic liver disease	K70
Other chronic liver disease and cirrhosis	K73-K74

Cause of Death	ICD-10 Codes
Cholelithias and other disorders of gall bladder	K80-K82
Nephritis, nephritic syndrome and nephrosis	N00-N07, N17-N19, N25-N27
Acute and rapidly progressive nephritic and nephritic syndrome	N00-N01, N04
Chronic glomerulonephritis, nephritis and nephropathy not specified	
as acute or chronic, and renal sclerosis unspecified	N02-N03, N05-N07, N26
Renal failure	N17-N19
Other disorders of kidney	N25, N27
Infections of kidney	N10-N12, N13.6, N15.1
Hyperplasia of prostate	N40
Inflammatory diseases of female pelvic organs	N70-N76
Pregnancy, childbirth and the puerperium	000-099
Pregnancy with abortive outcome	000-007
Other complications of pregnancy, childbirth and the puerperium	010-099
Certain conditions originating in the perinatal period	P00-P96
Congenital malformations, deformations and chromosomal abnormalitie	es Q00-Q99
Symptoms, signs, and abnormal clinical and laboratory findings not else	where classified R00-R99

All other diseases	Residual
Accidents (unintentional injuries)	V01-X59, Y85-Y86
Transport accidents	V01-V99, Y85
Motor vehicle accidents V02-V	04, V09.0-V09.2, V12-V14, V19.0-V19.2, V19.4-V19.6, V20-V79,
V80.3-V80.5, V81.0-V81.1,	V82.0-V82.1, V83-V86, V87.0-V87.8, V88.0-V88.8, V89.0, V89.2
Other land transport accidents	V01, V05-V06, V09.1, V09.3-V09.9, V10-V11, V15-V18,
	V19.3, V19.8-V19.9, V80.0-V80.2, V80.6-V80.9, V81.2-V81.9,
	V82.2-V82.9, V87.9, V88.9, V89.1, V89.3, V89.9

and their sequelae Nontransport accidents Falls Accidental discharge of firearms Accidental drowning and submersion Accidental exposure to smoke, fire and flames Accidental poisoning and exposure to noxious substances	V90-V99, Y85 W00-X59, Y86 W00-W19 W32-W34 W65-W74 X00-X09 X40-X49 W20-W31, W35-W64, 5-W99, X10-X39, X50-X59, Y86
Falls Accidental discharge of firearms Accidental drowning and submersion Accidental exposure to smoke, fire and flames	W00-W19 W32-W34 W65-W74 X00-X09 X40-X49 W20-W31, W35-W64, 5-W99, X10-X39, X50-X59, Y86
Accidental discharge of firearms Accidental drowning and submersion Accidental exposure to smoke, fire and flames	W32-W34 W65-W74 X00-X09 X40-X49 W20-W31, W35-W64, 5-W99, X10-X39, X50-X59, Y86
Accidental drowning and submersion Accidental exposure to smoke, fire and flames	W65-W74 X00-X09 X40-X49 W20-W31, W35-W64, 5-W99, X10-X39, X50-X59, Y86
Accidental exposure to smoke, fire and flames	X00-X09 X40-X49 W20-W31, W35-W64, 5-W99, X10-X39, X50-X59, Y86
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	X40-X49 W20-W31, W35-W64, 5-W99, X10-X39, X50-X59, Y86
Accidental poisoning and exposure to noxious substances	W20-W31, W35-W64, 5-W99, X10-X39, X50-X59, Y86
, <u>v</u> ,	-W99, X10-X39, X50-X59, Y86
Other and unspecified nontransport accidents and their sequelae	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
W75	
Intentional self-harm (suicide)	U03, X60-X84, Y87.0
Intentional self-harm (suicide) by discharge of firearms	X72-X74
Intentional self-harm (suicide) by other and unspecified means and the	eir sequelae
l	U03, X60-X71, X75-X84, Y87.0
Assault (homicide)	U01-U02, X85-Y09, Y87.1
Assault (homicide) by discharge of firearms	U01.4, X93-X95
Assault (homicide) by other and unspecified means and their sequelae	e U01.0-U01.3,
U01.5-U01.9, U	U02, X85-X92, X96-Y09, Y87.1
Legal intervention	Y35, Y89.0
Events of undetermined intent	Y10-Y34, Y87.2, Y89.9
Discharge of firearms, undetermined intent	Y22-Y24
Other and unspecified events of undetermined intent	
	10-Y21, Y25-Y34, Y87.2, Y89.9
Operations of war and their sequelae	Y36, Y89.1
Complications of medical and surgical care	Y40-Y84, Y88

## List of 130 Causes of Infant Death (1999-present)

ICD-10 Code
A00-B9
A00-A0
A0
A16-A1
A33, A3
A3
A3
A3
A40-A4
A5
A5
A80-B3
A8
BO
BO
B20-B2
B2
A81-B00, B02-B04, B06-B19, B25, B27-B3
B3
B50-B5
B5
liseases A20-A32, A38, A42-A49, A51-A53
A55-A79, B35-B36, B38-B49, B55-B58, B60-B9
C00-D4
С00-С9
nas C81-C8
C91-C9
C00-C80, C88-C90, C96-C9
of uncertain or unknown behavior D00-D4
ertain disorders involving
D50-D8
D50-D6
ans D65-D7
nism D80-D8
E00-E8
E34.
E40-E6
E40-L0
ases E00-E32, E34.0-E34.2
E34.4-E34.9, E65-E83, E85, E8
G00-G9
G00, G0
Hoffman) G12.
G8
G93.
/ na r c c e

Cause of Death	ICD-10 Codes
Diseases of the circulatory system	100-199
Pulmonary heart disease and diseases of pulmonary circulation	126-128
Pericarditis, endocardititis and myocarditis	130, 133, 140
Cardiomyopathy	142
Cardiac arrest	146
Cerebrovascular disease	160-169
All other diseases of the circulatory system 100-125, 131, 13	4-138, 144-145, 147-151, 170-199
Diseases of the respiratory system	J00-J98
Acute upper respiratory infections	J00-J06
Influenza and pneumonia	J10-J18
Influenza	J10-J11
Pneumonia	J12-J18
Acute bronchitis and acute bronchiolitis	J20-J21
Bronchitis, chronic and unspecified	J40-J42
Asthma	J45-J46
Pneumonitis due to solids and liquids	J69
Other and unspecified diseases of the respiratory system J22, J3	0-J39, J43-J44, J47-J68, J70-J98
Diseases of the digestive system	К00-К92
Gastritis, duodenitis, and noninfective enteritis and colitis	K29, K50-K55
Hernia of abdominal cavity and intestinal obstruction without hernia	K40-K46, K56
All other and unspecified diseases of the digestive system	K00-K28, K30-K38, K57-K92
Diseases of the genitourinary system	N00-N95
Renal failure and other diseases of the kidney	N17-N19, N25, N27
Other and unspecified diseases of the genitourinary system N00	-N15, N20-N23, N26, N28-N95
Certain conditions originating in the prenatal period	P00-P96
Newborn affected by maternal factors and by complications of pregna	ncy,
labor and delivery	P00-P04
Newborn affected by maternal hypertensive disorders	P00.0
Newborn affected by other maternal conditions which may be unre	lated
to present pregnancy	P00.1- P00.9
Newborn affected by maternal complications of pregnancy	P01
Newborn affected by incompetent cervix	P01.0
Newborn affected by premature rupture of membranes	P01.1
Newborn affected by multiple pregnancy	P01.5
Newborn affected by other maternal complications of pregnancy	P01.2-P01.4, P01.6-P01.9
Newborn affected by complications of placenta, cord, and membranes	s P02
Newborn affected by complications involving placenta	P02.0-P02.3
Newborn affected by complications involving cord	P02.4-P02.6
Newborn affected by chorioamnionitis	P02.7
Newborn affected by other and unspecified abnormalities of memb	pranes P02.8-P02.9
Newborn affected by other complications of labor and delivery	P03
Newborn affected by noxious influences transmitted via placenta or b	reast milk P04
Disorders related to length of gestation and fetal malnutrition	P05-P08
Slow fetal growth and fetal malnutrition	P05
Disorders related to short gestation and low birthweight not elsewher	re classified P07
Extremely low birthweight or extreme immaturity	P07.0-P07.2
Other low birthweight or preterm	P07.1, P07.3
Disorders related to long gestation and high birthweight	P08
Birth trauma	P10-P15

## List of 130 Causes of Infant Death (1999-present)

	ICD-10 Codes
Intrauterine hypoxia and birth asphyxia	P20-P21
Intrauterine hypoxia	P20
Birth asphyxia	P21
Respiratory distress of newborn	P22
Other respiratory conditions originating in the perinatal period	P23-P28
Congenital pneumonia	P23
Neonatal aspiration syndromes	P24
Interstitial emphysema and related conditions originating in the perin	natal period P2
Pulmonary hemorrhage originating in the perinatal period	P20
Chronic respiratory disease originating in the perinatal period	P22
Atelectasis	P28.0-P28.3
All other respiratory conditions originating in the perinatal period	P28.2-P28.9
Infections specific to the perinatal period	P35-P39
Bacterial sepsis of newborn	P36
Omphalitis of newborn with or without mild hemorrhage	P38
All other infections specific to the perinatal period	P35, P37, P39
Hemorrhagic and hematological disorders of newborn	P50-P61
Neonatal hemorrhage	P50-P52, P54
Hemorrhagic disease of newborn	P53
Hemolytic disease of newborn due to isoimmunization and other peri	natal jaundice P55-P59
Hematological disorders	P60-P6
Syndrome of infant of a diabetic mother and neonatal diabetes mellitus	P70.0-P70.2
Necrotizing enterocolitis of newborn	P7
Hydrops fetalis not due to hemolytic disease	P83.2
Other perinatal conditions P29, P70.3-P76, P78-P81, P83.0	0-P83.1, P83.3-P83.9, P90-P90
•	
Congenital malformations, deformations and chromosomal abnormalitie	s Q00-Q99
Congenital malformations, deformations and chromosomal abnormalitie Anencephaly and similar malformations.	s Q00-Q9 Q0
Congenital malformations, deformations and chromosomal abnormalitie Anencephaly and similar malformations. Congenital hydrocephalus	s Q00-Q9 Q00 Q0
Congenital malformations, deformations and chromosomal abnormalitie Anencephaly and similar malformations. Congenital hydrocephalus Spina bifida	s Q00-Q9 Q00 Q00 Q00 Q00
Congenital malformations, deformations and chromosomal abnormalitie Anencephaly and similar malformations. Congenital hydrocephalus Spina bifida Other congenital malformations of the nervous system	s Q00-Q99 Q00 Q00 Q00 Q01-Q02, Q04, Q06-Q00
Congenital malformations, deformations and chromosomal abnormalitie Anencephaly and similar malformations. Congenital hydrocephalus Spina bifida Other congenital malformations of the nervous system Congenital malformations of heart	s Q00-Q99 Q00 Q01-Q02, Q04, Q06-Q03 Q20-Q2
Congenital malformations, deformations and chromosomal abnormalitie Anencephaly and similar malformations. Congenital hydrocephalus Spina bifida Other congenital malformations of the nervous system Congenital malformations of heart Other congenital malformations of circulatory system	s Q00-Q99 Q00 Q01-Q02, Q04, Q06-Q0 Q20-Q24 Q25-Q28
Congenital malformations, deformations and chromosomal abnormalitie Anencephaly and similar malformations. Congenital hydrocephalus Spina bifida Other congenital malformations of the nervous system Congenital malformations of heart Other congenital malformations of circulatory system Congenital malformations of respiratory system	s Q00-Q9 Q00 Q00 Q01-Q02, Q04, Q06-Q0 Q20-Q2 Q25-Q24 Q30-Q3
Congenital malformations, deformations and chromosomal abnormalitie Anencephaly and similar malformations. Congenital hydrocephalus Spina bifida Other congenital malformations of the nervous system Congenital malformations of heart Other congenital malformations of circulatory system Congenital malformations of respiratory system Congenital malformations of digestive system	s Q00-Q99 Q00 Q01-Q02, Q04, Q06-Q07 Q20-Q24 Q25-Q24 Q30-Q34 Q35-Q44
Congenital malformations, deformations and chromosomal abnormalitie Anencephaly and similar malformations. Congenital hydrocephalus Spina bifida Other congenital malformations of the nervous system Congenital malformations of heart Other congenital malformations of circulatory system Congenital malformations of respiratory system Congenital malformations of digestive system Congenital malformations of digestive system Congenital malformations genitourinary system	s Q00-Q99 Q00 Q01 Q01-Q02, Q04, Q06-Q07 Q20-Q24 Q25-Q24 Q30-Q34 Q35-Q49 Q50-Q64
Congenital malformations, deformations and chromosomal abnormalitie Anencephaly and similar malformations. Congenital hydrocephalus Spina bifida Other congenital malformations of the nervous system Congenital malformations of heart Other congenital malformations of circulatory system Congenital malformations of respiratory system Congenital malformations of digestive system Congenital malformations of digestive system Congenital malformations genitourinary system Congenital malformations and deformations of musculoskeletal syste	s Q00-Q99 Q00 Q01 Q01-Q02, Q04, Q06-Q07 Q20-Q24 Q25-Q24 Q30-Q34 Q35-Q44 Q50-Q64
Congenital malformations, deformations and chromosomal abnormalitie Anencephaly and similar malformations. Congenital hydrocephalus Spina bifida Other congenital malformations of the nervous system Congenital malformations of heart Other congenital malformations of circulatory system Congenital malformations of respiratory system Congenital malformations of digestive system Congenital malformations of digestive system Congenital malformations genitourinary system Congenital malformations and deformations of musculoskeletal syste limbs and integument	s Q00-Q9 Q00 Q00 Q01-Q02, Q04, Q06-Q0 Q20-Q2 Q25-Q2 Q30-Q3 Q35-Q4 Q50-Q6 em, Q65-Q8
Congenital malformations, deformations and chromosomal abnormalitie Anencephaly and similar malformations. Congenital hydrocephalus Spina bifida Other congenital malformations of the nervous system Congenital malformations of heart Other congenital malformations of circulatory system Congenital malformations of respiratory system Congenital malformations of digestive system Congenital malformations of digestive system Congenital malformations genitourinary system Congenital malformations and deformations of musculoskeletal syste limbs and integument Down's syndrome	rs Q00-Q99 Q00-Q99 Q01-Q02, Q04, Q06-Q07 Q20-Q24 Q25-Q24 Q30-Q34 Q35-Q44 Q50-Q64 em, Q65-Q89 Q99
Congenital malformations, deformations and chromosomal abnormalitie Anencephaly and similar malformations. Congenital hydrocephalus Spina bifida Other congenital malformations of the nervous system Congenital malformations of heart Other congenital malformations of circulatory system Congenital malformations of respiratory system Congenital malformations of digestive system Congenital malformations genitourinary system Congenital malformations and deformations of musculoskeletal syste limbs and integument Down's syndrome Edwards syndrome	s Q00-Q9 Q00 Q01-Q02, Q04, Q06-Q07 Q20-Q2 Q25-Q2 Q30-Q3 Q35-Q4 Q50-Q6 em, Q65-Q8 Q90 Q91.0-Q91.1
Congenital malformations, deformations and chromosomal abnormalitie Anencephaly and similar malformations. Congenital hydrocephalus Spina bifida Other congenital malformations of the nervous system Congenital malformations of heart Other congenital malformations of circulatory system Congenital malformations of respiratory system Congenital malformations of digestive system Congenital malformations genitourinary system Congenital malformations and deformations of musculoskeletal syste limbs and integument Down's syndrome Edwards syndrome Patau's syndrome	s Q00-Q99 Q00 Q01-Q02, Q04, Q06-Q07 Q20-Q24 Q25-Q24 Q30-Q34 Q35-Q44 Q50-Q64 em, Q65-Q89 Q91 Q91.0-Q91.7 Q91.4-Q91.7
Congenital malformations, deformations and chromosomal abnormalitie Anencephaly and similar malformations. Congenital hydrocephalus Spina bifida Other congenital malformations of the nervous system Congenital malformations of heart Other congenital malformations of circulatory system Congenital malformations of respiratory system Congenital malformations of digestive system Congenital malformations genitourinary system Congenital malformations and deformations of musculoskeletal syste limbs and integument Down's syndrome Edwards syndrome Patau's syndrome Other congenital malformations and deformations	s Q00-Q99 Q00 Q01-Q02, Q04, Q06-Q07 Q20-Q24 Q25-Q24 Q30-Q34 Q35-Q44 Q50-Q64 em, Q65-Q8 Q91 Q91.0-Q91.3 Q91.4-Q91.3 Q10-Q18, Q86-Q89
Congenital malformations, deformations and chromosomal abnormalitie Anencephaly and similar malformations. Congenital hydrocephalus Spina bifida Other congenital malformations of the nervous system Congenital malformations of heart Other congenital malformations of circulatory system Congenital malformations of respiratory system Congenital malformations of digestive system Congenital malformations genitourinary system Congenital malformations and deformations of musculoskeletal syste limbs and integument Down's syndrome Edwards syndrome Patau's syndrome Other congenital malformations and deformations Other congenital malformations and deformations	s Q00-Q9 Q00 Q00 Q01-Q02, Q04, Q06-Q00 Q20-Q24 Q25-Q24 Q30-Q34 Q35-Q44 Q50-Q64 em, Q65-Q8 Q91 Q91.0-Q91. Q91.4-Q91. Q10-Q18, Q86-Q8
Congenital malformations, deformations and chromosomal abnormalitie Anencephaly and similar malformations. Congenital hydrocephalus Spina bifida Other congenital malformations of the nervous system Congenital malformations of heart Other congenital malformations of circulatory system Congenital malformations of respiratory system Congenital malformations of digestive system Congenital malformations genitourinary system Congenital malformations and deformations of musculoskeletal syste limbs and integument Down's syndrome Edwards syndrome Patau's syndrome Other congenital malformations and deformations Other chromosomal abnormalities, not elsewhere classified Symptoms, signs and abnormal clinical and laboratory findings,	s Q00-Q9 Q00 Q00 Q01-Q02, Q04, Q06-Q0 Q20-Q2 Q25-Q2 Q30-Q3 Q35-Q4 Q50-Q6 em, Q65-Q8 Q9 Q91.0-Q91. Q91.4-Q91. Q10-Q18, Q86-Q8 Q92-Q9
Congenital malformations, deformations and chromosomal abnormalitie Anencephaly and similar malformations. Congenital hydrocephalus Spina bifida Other congenital malformations of the nervous system Congenital malformations of heart Other congenital malformations of circulatory system Congenital malformations of respiratory system Congenital malformations of digestive system Congenital malformations genitourinary system Congenital malformations and deformations of musculoskeletal syste limbs and integument Down's syndrome Edwards syndrome Patau's syndrome Other congenital malformations and deformations Other chromosomal abnormalities, not elsewhere classified Symptoms, signs and abnormal clinical and laboratory findings, not elsewhere classified	s Q00-Q9 Q00 Q00 Q01-Q02, Q04, Q06-Q00 Q20-Q2 Q25-Q20 Q30-Q3 Q35-Q4 Q50-Q6 em, Q65-Q8 Q91 Q91.0-Q91. Q91.4-Q91. Q91.4-Q91. Q91.4-Q91. Q92-Q9 R00-R9
Congenital malformations, deformations and chromosomal abnormalitie Anencephaly and similar malformations. Congenital hydrocephalus Spina bifida Other congenital malformations of the nervous system Congenital malformations of heart Other congenital malformations of circulatory system Congenital malformations of respiratory system Congenital malformations of digestive system Congenital malformations genitourinary system Congenital malformations and deformations of musculoskeletal syste limbs and integument Down's syndrome Edwards syndrome Patau's syndrome Other congenital malformations and deformations Other chromosomal abnormalities, not elsewhere classified Symptoms, signs and abnormal clinical and laboratory findings, not elsewhere classified Sudden infant death syndrome	s Q00-Q99 Q00 Q01-Q02, Q04, Q06-Q07 Q20-Q24 Q25-Q28 Q30-Q34 Q35-Q44 Q35-Q44 Q50-Q64 em, Q65-Q84 Q910 Q91.0-Q91.3 Q91.4-Q91.7 Q91.4-Q91.7 Q92-Q99 R00-R99 R91
Congenital malformations, deformations and chromosomal abnormalitie Anencephaly and similar malformations. Congenital hydrocephalus Spina bifida Other congenital malformations of the nervous system Congenital malformations of heart Other congenital malformations of circulatory system Congenital malformations of respiratory system Congenital malformations of digestive system Congenital malformations genitourinary system Congenital malformations and deformations of musculoskeletal syste limbs and integument Down's syndrome Edwards syndrome Patau's syndrome Other congenital malformations and deformations Other chromosomal abnormalities, not elsewhere classified Symptoms, signs and abnormal clinical and laboratory findings, not elsewhere classified	Q00 Q01-Q02, Q04, Q06-Q07 Q20-Q24 Q25-Q28 Q30-Q34 Q35-Q49 Q35-Q49 Q50-Q64 em, Q65-Q89 Q91 Q91.0-Q91.3 Q91.4-Q91.7 Q10-Q18, Q86-Q89 Q92-Q99 R00-R99 R99

Cause of Death	ICD-10 Code
External causes of mortality	U01, V01-Y84
Accidents (unintentional injuries)	V01-X59
Transport accident	V01-V99
Motor vehicle accidents	/02-V04, V09.0, V09.2, V12-V14, V19.0-V19.2, V19.4-V19.6
V20	v79, V80.3, V80.2, V80.6-V80.5, V81.0-V81.1, V82.0-V82.1
	V83-V86, V87.0-V87.8, V88.0-V88.8, V89.0, V89.2
Other unspecified transport acci	ents V01, V05-V06, V09.1, V09.3-V09.9, V10-V11
V15-V18, V	.9.3, V19.8, V19.9, V80.0-V80.2, V80.6-V80.9, V81.2-V81.9
	V82.2-V82.9, V87.9, V88.9, V89.1, V89.3, V89.9, V90-V99
Falls	W00-W19
Accidental discharge of firearms	W32-W34
Accidental drowning and submersion	W65-W74
Accidental suffocation and strangulation i	bed W7
Other accidental suffocation and strangul	ion W76-W77, W81-W84
Accidental inhalation and ingestion of foo	or other objects causing
obstruction of respiratory tract	W78-W80
Accidents caused by exposure to smoke, f	e and flames X00-X09
Accidental poisoning and exposure to nox	ous substances X40-X49
Other and unspecified accidents	W20-W31, W35-W64, W85-W99, X10-X39, X50-X59
Assault (homicide)	X85-Y09
Assault (homicide) by hanging, strang	ation and suffocation X93
Assault (homicide) by discharge of fire	ms X93-X9!
Neglect, abandonment and other malt	atment syndromes Y06-Y07
Assault (homicide) by other and unspe	fied means U01.1-U01.3, X85-X90
	X92, X96-X99, Y00-Y05, Y08-Y09
Complications of medical and surgical car	Y40-Y84
Other external causes	X60-X84, Y10-Y36

## Additional Causes of Death and Their Corresponding ICD-10 Codes (1999-Present)

(These categories are not included as part of the 113 cause of death or 130 causes of infant death lists. They are independent of these two lists but are valid cause of death codes to use for the causes indicated.)

Cause of Death	ICD-10 Codes
Alcohol-related deaths	E24.4, F10, G31.2, G62.1, G72.1,
	I42.6, K29.2, K70, K85.2, K86.0, R78.0, X45, X65, Y15
Breast cancer (females)	C50
Cervical cancer	C53
Colon-rectal-cancer	C18-C21
Drug-related deaths	D52.1, D59.0, D59.2, D61.1, D64.2, E06.4, E16.0,
E23.1, E	24.2, E27.3, E66.1, F11-F11.5, F11.7-F11.9, F12-F12.5, F12.7-F12.9,
F13-	F13.5, F13.7-F13.9, F14-F14.5, F14.7-F14.9, F15-F15.5, F15.7-F15.9,
F16-F16.5, F1	6.7-F16.9, F17.0, F17.3-F17.5, F17.7-F17.9, F18-F18.5, F18.7-F18.9,
F19-F19.5, F19	9.7-F19.9, G21.1, G24.0, G25.1, G25.4, G25.6, G44.4, G62.0, G72.0,
195.2, J70	0.2-J70.4, L10.5, L27.0-L27.1, M10.2, M32.0, M80.4, M81.4, M83.5,
	M87.1, R78.1-R78.5, X40-X44, X60-X64, X85, Y10-Y14
Firearm deaths	W32-W34, X72-X74, X93-X95, Y22-Y24, Y35.0, U01.4
Gastrointestinal disease deaths	A03-A03.3, A03.8-A03.9, A04, A06.1-A06.2, A06.4-A06.9,
	A07.0-A07.3, A07.8-A07.9, A08-A08.3, A08.5, A09, K29-K29.1,
K50.0-K50.1,	K50.8-K50.9, K51.0- K51.4, K51.8-K51.9, K52.0-K52.1, K52.8-K57.9
Human Immunodeficiency virus (HI	V) infection B24
Lung cancer	C33-C34
Maternal death	A34, 000-095, 098-099
Other injuries	Y10-Y21, Y25-Y34, Y36, Y87.2, Y89.1, Y89.9
Poisoning	U01.6-U01.7, X40-X49.9, X60-X69.9, X85-X90.9, Y10-Y19.9, Y35.2
Prostate cancer	C61, D29

## List of ICD-9-CM Codes used in Patient Care Charts and Tables

Condition	ICD-9-CM Codes
Infectious and parasitic diseases	001-139
Neoplasms	140-239
Endocrine, nutritional, and metabolic diseases and immunity disorders	240-279
Diseases of the blood and blood-forming organs	280-289
Mental disorders	290-319
Diseases of the nervous system and sense organs	320-389
Diseases of the circulatory system	390-459
Diseases of the respiratory system	460-519
Diseases of the digestive system	520-579
Diseases of the genitourinary system	580-629
Complications of pregnancy, childbirth, and the puerperium	630-679
Diseases of the skin and subcutaneous tissue	680-709
Diseases of the musculoskeletal system and connective tissue	710-739
Congenital anomalies	740-759
Certain conditions originating in the perinatal period	760-779
Symptoms, signs, and ill-defined conditions	780-799
Injury and poisoning	800-999
Supplementary classification (classification of factors influencing	
health status and contact with health service)	V01-V89

## (Numbers refer to chart and table numbers)

-	Chart	Table
A Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (see HIV)		
Alcohol	4.22	4.22
Ambulatory medical visits	E 17	5.17
Ambulatory medical visits, leading causes		
Area offices	1.1	
Asthma	5.31	5.31
В		
Births	3.1–3.7	3.1–3.7
c		
Cancer, all sites	4.29	4.29
Cancer, breast	4.31	4.31
Cancer, cervical	4.32	4.32
Cancer, colon-rectal	4.33	4.33
Cancer, lung	4.30	4.30
Cancer, prostate	4.34	4.34
Cerebrovascular diseases	4.28	4.28
D		
Dental	5.35	5.35
Diabetes	4.23	4.23
E	/	
Education	2.5, 2.6	
F		
Facilities		
Firearm injuries	4.21	4.21
G Gastrointestinal diseases	4.26	4.26
Gastrointestinal diseases	4.26	4.26
H Homicide	4.20	4.20
Heart disease	4.20	4.20
Hospital admissions	5.1-5.2	4.27
Hospital days	5.3	5.3
Hospitalization, leading causes	5.4-5.16	ر.ر
Human immunodeficiency virus	4.35	4.35
numan inimulodenciency virus	4.55	4.55
I Immunizations	5.32-5.34	
Income	2.9	
Infant mortality, rates	2.9 3.8-3.10, 3.25	3.8-3.10, 3.25
Infant mortality, leading causes	3.11-3.24	
Innotiont admissions	5.11-5.24	5.1
Inpatient days	5.3	5.3
Inpatient days	5.4-5.16	د.ر
inputient, leaunis causes	5.4-5.10	

Numbers refer to chart and table numbers)

-	Chart	Table
L Life expectancy at birth	4.36-4.38	
M		
Malignant neoplasms, all sites	4.29	4.29
Malignant neoplasms, breast		4.31
Malignant neoplasms, cervical	4.32	4.32
Malignant neoplasms, colon-rectal		4.33
Malignant neoplasms, lung		4.30
Malignant neoplasms, prostate		4.34
Map of Area Offices		
Mortality rates, all causes		4.1
Mortality rates, specific causes (see cause name)		
Mortality, leading causes		
N		
Neonatal mortality	3.9	3.9
0		
Outpatient visits	5.17	5.17
Outpatient visits, leading causes	5.18-5.30	
P		
Pneumonia and influenza	4.24	4.24
Poisoning	4.17	4.17
Population, user	2.1–2.4	
Postneonatal mortality	3.10	3.10
Poverty level	2.10	
Prenatal care	3.4	3.4
5		
Service units		
Socioeconomic factors	2.5-2.10	
Sudden Infant Death Syndrome	3.25	3.25
Suicide	4.19	4.19
U		
Unemployment		
Unintentional injuries	4.18	4.18
γ		
Years of Potential Life Lost	4.2	4.2

<sup>1</sup> Indian Health Service, Department of Health and Human Service. Adjusting for Misreporting on Indian Race on the State Death Certificate. November 1996.

<sup>2</sup> Fay MP, Feuer EJ. Confidence intervals for directly adjusted rates: a method based on the gamma distribution. *Stat Med* 16:791-801, 1997

<sup>3</sup> Anderson RN. United States life tables, 1998. National Vital Statistics Reports; vol 48 no. 18. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 2001.

<sup>4</sup> Heron MP, Hoyert DL, Murphy SL, Xu JQ, Kochanek KD, Tejada-Vera B. Deaths: Final data for 2006. National vital statistics reports; vol 57 no 14. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 2009.

<sup>5</sup> Martin JA, Hamilton BE, Sutton PD, Ventura SJ, et al. Births: Final data for 2006. National vital statistics reports; vol 57 no 7. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics. 2009.

<sup>6</sup> http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nvsr/nvsr47/nvs47_03.pdf	Accessed July 9, 2013.
<sup>7</sup> http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/icd.htm	Accessed July 9, 2013.
<sup>8</sup> http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nvsr/nvsr58/nvsr58_17.pdf	Accessed July 9, 2013.
<sup>9</sup> http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nvsr/nvsr58/nvsr58_21.pdf	Accessed July 9, 2013.
<sup>10</sup> http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nvsr/nvsr57/nvsr57_07.pdf	Accessed July 9, 2013.

