

<b>Sanitation Deficiency Level</b>		<b>Sanitation Deficiency Levels [25 U.S.C. § 1632(g)(4)]</b>
		<b>Description</b>
V	5	An Indian tribe or community that lacks a safe water supply and a sewage disposal system.
IV	4	An Indian tribe or community with a sanitation system which lacks either a safe water supply system or a sewage disposal system.
III	3	An Indian tribe or community with a sanitation system which has an inadequate or partial water supply and a sewage disposal facility that does not comply with applicable water supply and pollution control laws, or has no solid waste disposal facility.
II	2	An Indian tribe or community with a sanitation system which complies with all applicable water supply and pollution control laws, and in which the deficiencies relate to capital improvements that are necessary to improve the facilities in order to meet the needs of such tribe or community for domestic sanitation facilities.
I	1	An Indian tribe or community with a sanitation system which complies with all applicable water supply and pollution control laws, and in which the deficiencies relate to routine replacement, repair, or maintenance needs.
0	0	No deficiencies to correct.

**Enclosure 2: Sanitation Deficiency System Projects as of December 1, 2023  
All Projects and Associated Costs**

<b>Area</b>	<b>Eligible Cost</b>	<b>Ineligible Cost</b>	<b>Total Cost</b>	<b>Project Count</b>
Albuquerque (AL)	\$221,022,664	\$22,072,355	\$256,182,619	85
Alaska (AN)	\$2,239,282,085	\$252,962,411	\$2,583,573,148	295
Bemidji (BE)	\$103,528,220	\$28,426,988	\$146,935,858	84
Billings (BI)	\$75,287,691	\$26,498,159	\$103,391,950	51
California (CA)	\$169,615,993	\$97,494,318	\$296,887,032	67
Great Plains (GP)	\$462,285,484	\$351,703,516	\$816,127,000	145
Navajo (NA)	\$721,655,424	\$38,522,509	\$890,947,840	239
Nashville (NS)	\$81,069,471	\$7,578,453	\$94,687,079	23
Oklahoma City (OKC)	\$185,665,115	\$463,012,796	\$650,232,261	194
Phoenix (PH)	\$233,547,559	\$51,115,484	\$313,980,122	79
Portland (PO)	\$150,203,001	\$61,947,164	\$233,895,193	67
Tucson (TU)	\$14,956,288	\$1,219,712	\$16,176,000	17
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$4,658,118,995</b>	<b>\$1,402,553,865</b>	<b>\$6,403,016,102</b>	<b>1,346</b>

**Enclosure 3: FY 2024 Tier 1\*and Congressional Directed Spending Project Counts and Associated Cost Funded with the FY 2024 BIL Funding and FY 2024 Appropriation**

<b>Area</b>	<b>Construction Eligible Cost</b>	<b>Construction Ineligible Cost</b>	<b>Design and Construction Document Cost</b>	<b>Project Count*</b>
Albuquerque (AL)	\$2,739,865	\$7,635	\$73,500	4
Alaska (AN)	\$490,175,533	\$21,066,253	\$15,590,760	22
Bemidji (BE)	\$7,400,085	\$3,103,973	\$1,621,900	8
Billings (BI)	\$9,683,805	\$2,285,595	\$50,000	2
California (CA)	\$13,863,258	\$18,693,342	\$0	3
Great Plains (GP)	\$26,136,107	\$1,056,893	\$0	13
Navajo (NA)	\$33,219,000	\$0	\$8,297,600	18
Nashville (NS)	\$2,548,834	\$354,166	\$0	1
Oklahoma City (OKC)	\$20,768,559	\$11,932,249	\$0	10
Phoenix (PH)	\$11,549,490	\$355,510	\$670,827	6
Portland (PO)	\$33,895,776	\$6,505,224	\$4,734,000	2
Tucson (TU)	\$1,493,288	\$4,712	\$0	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$653,473,600</b>	<b>\$65,365,552</b>	<b>\$31,038,587</b>	<b>91</b>
(*DL 2 - DL 5 Projects)				

**Enclosure 4: FY 2024 Tier 2 Legacy List Project Counts and Associated Cost to be Funded with the FY 2024 BIL**

<b>Area</b>	<b>Planning, Design and Construction Document Cost</b>	<b>Project Count*</b>
Albuquerque (AL)	\$0	0
Alaska (AN)	\$1,621,500	5
Bemidji (BE)	\$1,234,500	6
Billings (BI)	\$80,000	1
California (CA)	\$1,420,204	8
Great Plains (GP)	\$1,294,000	2
Navajo (NA)	\$26,005,000	22
Nashville (NS)	\$0	0
Oklahoma City (OKC)	\$0	0
Phoenix (PH)	\$2,187,438	1
Portland (PO)	\$142,500	2
Tucson (TU)	\$0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$33,985,142</b>	<b>47</b>

(\*Tier 2 DL 2 – DL 5 Projects)