

CARP

Continuous Accreditation Readiness Program

15th Annual Direct Service Tribes National Meeting:
Utilizing People, Partnerships, Quality and Resources to
Strengthen our Communities

July 11th, 2018

ALBUQUERQUE AREA CARP TEAM



Introductions

○ CARP Team

- CAPT Brian Hroch, MPH, CIH, CSP, REHS
- Vanessa Vicenti, MT
- Olowañ de Herrera, RN, BSN
- Jennifer Nañez
- Alleyne Toya
- CAPT (ret) Tom Plummer, PE
- CAPT Wil Darwin
- Jeanette Garcia

Industrial Hygiene and Safety Manager

Area Laboratory Consultant

Public Health Nurse Consultant and Acting-Area Nurse Consultant

Behavioral Health Consultant

Health Systems Specialist

Director, Division of Health Facilities

ABQ Area Clinical Application Informaticist

Credentialing Consultant

○ Supporters and Contributors

- Leonard Thomas, MD
- Sandra Winfrey
- Russel Pederson
- Debra Grabowski
- Betty Namingha
- LT Helen Chavez
- CAPT (ret) Greg Powers
- CAPT Rebecca Grizzle
- CAPT Jeff Salvon-Harman, MD

Albuquerque Area Director

Executive Officer

Regional OEHE Director

Director, DEHS

Santa Fe SU QA Manager

Mescalero SU Lab Director

Mescalero SU QA Manager

Zuni SU QA Manager

Headquarters, Office of the Director





Prelude

A long time ago in a galaxy far, far, away...

What is CARP?

CARP is the Albuquerque Area's proactive approach at ensuring continuous accreditation readiness and preparedness among all of its Service Unit healthcare facilities.

The C's have it:

Comprehensive

Collaborative

Competency based

Continuous

Communication focused

Culture

100% accreditation success rate among all ABQ Area healthcare facilities during the 2015-2016 accreditation survey cycle. Never-ending preparations for the 2018-2019 accreditation survey cycle.



How it works

Action/Activity,
Description,
and
Frequency

Albuquerque Area's Continuous Accreditation Readiness Program

v.2018-04-23 11x17 printout recommended

Action/Activity	Description	Frequency	Survey Year (2016)				1st Year (2017)				2nd Year (2018)				3rd Year (2019)			
			1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr	1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr	1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr	1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr
Area-wide																		
Area Governing Body	Consists of Area Leadership and Service Unit CEO's	Quarterly																
Surveys (TJC, AAAHC, CARF, etc.)																		
Office Accreditation Surveys (TJC, AAAHC, CARF)	Represents multiple accreditation surveys occurring throughout the Area (biennial Laboratory Accreditation surveys are not specifically represented here)	Triennial																
Official Laboratory Accreditation Surveys (CAP, COLA)	Represents multiple laboratory accreditation surveys occurring throughout the Area	Biennial																
Corrective Actions from Accreditation Survey	As a result of the Official Accreditation survey, Evidence of Standards Compliance address deficiencies and demonstrate corrective actions and Performance Improvement measures. May range from immediate connections to lengthy facility capital improvement projects	Triennial																
Area Mock Surveys	Represents multiple mock surveys occurring throughout Area. Target is at least 12 mos before official accreditation survey. Replicates official accreditation surveys. Includes "Mock Survey Guide" & Tracers Surveys	Triennial																
Mock Survey Corrective Actions	Corrective Actions to be based upon Mock Survey "Punchlist". The "Punchlist" is to be provided to Service Unit at end of Area Mock Survey, with official mock survey report to follow	Follows Area Mock Survey																
Committees																		
Area Quality Improvement Committee	Consists of Area and Service Unit staff	Quarterly																
Area Institutional Environmental Health/Environment of Care (EOC) Committee	Consists of Area and Service Unit staff	Quarterly																
Area Infection Prevention Committee	Consists of Area and Service Unit staff	Quarterly																
Competencies for Infection Preventionist and Sterilization Techs	In addition to the Infection Prevention Program "Dashboard", Competencies were developed for the Infection Preventionist. Competencies for Sterilization Techs are in development	Continuous																
Meetings																		
Governing Body Meetings and Reporting	Service Unit Dashboards are scored and presented to Governing Body. Includes QPRA reporting, and the Area's Strategic Plan	Quarterly																
Area Operational Meetings/ Reviews of Service Units	These reviews/meetings are performed by the Area Office Leadership and focus on the Service Unit performance with Leadership, Quality and Finance	Each Year's 2nd and 4th Qtrs																
Area Medical Equipment Governing Body	Consists of Area and Service Unit staff	Quarterly																
Area Laboratory Supervisor Meetings	Consists of Area and Service Unit staff; Peer laboratory reviews, Compliance Reviews,	Quarterly																
Resources																		
Formal Accreditation Trainings, including webinars and updates	Frequency and topic depend upon identified needs of Area. May consist of formal classroom style trainings and/or webinars	Triennial to Annual																
Service Unit Dashboards	Maintained for Service Unit Planning and Area Office Reporting. Dashboard results are "scored" and reported on Scorecards to the Area Governing Body	Quarterly																
Area On-site Consultative Support (OEHE, Credentialing, Laboratory, etc.)	Monthly & Ongoing support provided to the Service Units from the Area Office. Includes On-Demand technical support as site visits/phone consults and participation at SU's monthly program meetings	Monthly/Continuous																
Service Unit Process Reviews & Assistance from Service Unit SME's	At the direction of the Area Director and Area Office, Service Unit SME's perform process reviews of fellow Service Units, which includes practices and may include standardization	Continuous																
Service Unit																		
Service Unit Preparedness	Service Unit program management, monitoring, planning and initiatives are imperative to Accreditation Compliance, Quality and Safety	Continuous																

4 Year scope
broken down
by Quarters

Action/Activity	Description	Frequency	Survey Year (2016)				1st Year (2017)				2nd Year (2018)				3rd Year (2019)			
			1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr	1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr	1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr	1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr
Area-wide																		
Area Governing Body	Consists of Area Leadership and Service Unit CEO's	Quarterly	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█
Surveys (TJC, AAAHC, CARF, etc.)																		
Official Accreditation Surveys (TJC, AAAHC, CARF)	Represents multiple accreditation surveys occurring throughout the Area (Biennial Laboratory Accreditation surveys are not specifically represented here)	Triennial	Area-Wide Accreditation Surveys								Area-Wide Accreditation Surveys							
Official Laboratory Accreditation Surveys (CAP, COLA)	Represents multiple Laboratory accreditation surveys occurring throughout the Area	Biennial	Area-Wide Laboratory Accreditation Surveys								Area-Wide Laboratory Accreditation Surveys							
Corrective Actions from Accreditation Survey	As a result of the Official Accreditation survey, Evidence of Standards Compliance address deficiencies and demonstrate corrective actions and Performance Improvement measures. May range from immediate corrections to long-term facility capital improvement projects	Triennial	Accreditation Survey's Corrective Actions								Accreditation Survey's Corrective Actions							
			Facility Capital Improvement Projects								Facility Capital Improvement Projects							
Area Mock Surveys	Represents multiple mock surveys occurring throughout Area. Target is at least 12 mos before official accreditation survey. Replicates official accreditation surveys. Includes "Mock Survey Guide" & Tracers Surveys	Triennial	Mock Survey Process, Development and Improvement Committee								Area-Wide Mock Surveys (performed by Area's Mock Survey Team)							
Mock Survey Corrective Actions	Corrective Actions to be based upon Mock Survey "Punchlist". The "Punchlist" is to be provided to Service Unit at end of Area Mock Survey, with official mock survey report to follow	Follows Area Mock Survey	Corrective Actions								Follow-up Tracking							

Official Accreditation Surveys

- Representation of multiple accreditation surveys occurring throughout the Area for a four year period.
 - Health Care Facilities are on a 3 year accreditation cycle
 - Laboratories are on a 2 year accreditation cycle

- Corrective Actions are addressed:
 - Immediately to 60 days
 - Facility Capital Improvements may require greater time



Action/Activity	Description	Frequency	Survey Year (2016)				1st Year (2017)				2nd Year (2018)				3rd Year (2019)							
			1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr	1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr	1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr	1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr				
Area-wide																						
Area Governing Body	Consists of Area Leadership and Service Unit CEO's	Quarterly	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█				
Surveys (TJC, AAAHC, CARF, etc.)																						
Official Accreditation Surveys (TJC, AAAHC, CARF)	Represents multiple accreditation surveys occurring throughout the Area (Biennial Laboratory Accreditation surveys are not specifically represented here)	Triennial	Area-Wide Accreditation Surveys								Area-Wide Accreditation Surveys											
Official Laboratory Accreditation Surveys (CAP, COLA)	Represents multiple Laboratory accreditation surveys occurring throughout the Area	Biennial	Area-Wide Laboratory Accreditation Surveys								Area-Wide Laboratory Accreditation Surveys											
Corrective Actions from Accreditation Survey	As a result of the Official Accreditation survey, Evidence of Standards Compliance address deficiencies and demonstrate corrective actions and performance improvement measures. May range from immediate corrections to lengthy facility capital improvement projects	Triennial	Accreditation Survey's Corrective Actions <small>Immediate CAP's to TJC's 60 day ESC's-Evidence of Standards Compliance</small>								Accreditation Survey's Corrective Actions											
Area Mock Surveys	Represents multiple mock surveys occurring throughout Area. Target is at least 12 mos before official accreditation survey. Replicates official accreditation surveys. Includes "Mock Survey Guide" & Tracers Surveys	Triennial	Facility Capital Improvement Projects								Mock Survey Process, Development and Improvement Committee				Area-Wide Mock Surveys <small>(performed by Area's Mock Survey Team)</small>				Facility Capital Improvement Projects			
Mock Survey Corrective Actions	Corrective Actions to be based upon Mock Survey "Punchlist". The "Punchlist" is to be provided to Service Unit at end of Area Mock Survey, with official mock survey report to follow	Follows Area Mock Survey													Corrective Actions				Follow-up Tracking			

Area Mock Surveys

- o Representation of recurring mock surveys throughout the Area over the accreditation cycle.
- o Seeks to replicate official accreditation surveys and includes a "Mock Survey Guide" and Tracers
 - Previous target was at least 12 months before the (anticipated) official accreditation survey.
 - After comments through the "Mock Survey Process, Development and Improvement Committee" we revised the target to at least 18 months before the anticipated accreditation survey.



Albuquerque Area's Continuous Accreditation Readiness Program

Action/Activity	Description	Frequency	Survey Year (2016)				1st Year (2017)				2nd Year (2018)				3rd Year (2019)			
			1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr	1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr	1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr	1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr
Service Unit																		
Service Unit Preparedness	Service Unit program management, monitoring, planning and initiatives are imperative to Accreditation Compliance, Quality and Safety	Continuous	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	→
Intracycle Monitoring	Includes Focused Standards Assessment (FSA)	Continuous	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	→
WebCident, Patient/EOC/IC Tracers, Risk Assessments, Electronic Statement of Conditions (eSOC)	Ongoing processes and activities to assess and monitor conditions for quality and environment of care	Continuous	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	→	→
SU Programs and Meetings-QAPI/PDSA/GPRA/ Infection Prevention/EOC	Monthly/Quarterly meetings for SU Implementation of Area's Strategic Plan, QAPI, GPRA, Infection Prevention, EoC, PDSA, "AAAHC 10-Step", Improving Patient Care Home Model	Monthly																
Management Plan Evaluations & Policy Reviews/Revisions	Annual Evaluations of Managements Plans	Annual	█				█				█							
Environmental Rounds	Biannually for Patient Care Areas, Annually for Non-Patient Care Areas. Includes Infection Control, Environment of Care, Life Safety, Emergency Management	Bi-Annual	█				█				█				█			
Corrective Actions from Environmental Rounds	Various options for implementation exist which may be performed independently or may be performed in conjunction with Environmental Rounds	Bi-Annual Varies	█				█				█							

Service Units

- o Service Unit program management, monitoring, planning and initiatives are imperative to Accreditation Compliance, Quality and Safety.
 - Joint Commission’s Intracycle Monitoring, including Focused Standards Assessment (FSA)
 - WebCident, Patient/EOC/IC Tracers, Risk Assessments, Electronic Statement of Conditions (eSOC)
 - SU Programs and Meetings-QAPI/PDSA/GPRA/ Infection Prevention/EOC
 - Management Plan Evaluations & Policy Reviews/Revisions
 - Environmental Rounds and Corrective Actions





Albuquerque Area's Governing Body Environment of Care Dashboard and Scorecard

CAPT Richard Turner¹, CAPT Brian Hroch², CDR Gary Carter², Mr. Spencer Weaver³, Ms. Ann Buchanan⁴

¹Environmental Health Support Center; ²Albuquerque Area Office; ³Acoma Canoncito Laguna Service Unit; ⁴Mescalero Service Unit

Introduction

The Albuquerque Area developed a Dashboard and Scorecard for monitoring and reporting the status of the Area's Health Care Facilities (HCF's) for compliance and proper management of the Environment of Care's Safety Management, Life Safety and Emergency Management programs. The status of these programs are critical for: 1) protecting patients, staff and visitors 2) monitoring and improving the Environment of Care and 3) maintaining compliance with accreditation and regulatory requirements. These management tools were developed through a multi-disciplinary process with staff from the Area Office Leadership, OEHE and the Service Unit Health Care Facilities.

Methods

After developing the EOC Dashboard and Scorecard templates, pilot testing was performed with two of the Area's Hospitals (Acoma Canoncito Laguna (ACL) and Mescalero). After this testing, this process was implemented Area-wide for the first Governing Body meeting of 2016. This process is as follows: 1) The Service Unit staff will complete and update the individual EOC Dashboards and return to the IEH program. 2) The IEH program will then review, analyze, summarize and score (Green, Yellow, Red) the updated Dashboards and enter into the Area-wide EOC Scorecard. 3) These results will be provided to the Area's Governing Body. 4) The Governing Body will address noteworthy deficiencies identified from EOC Dashboard 5) The EOC Dashboard should also be used by the Service Unit's Safety and Facility Management staff for planning and monitoring EOC activities.

Individual Facility Specific EOC Dashboard

Requirement	Responsibility ^a	Weekly	Monthly	Quarterly	Semi-Annual	Annual	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	ANNUAL REVIEW
							A	N	D	P	A	U	U	E	O	D	C	S	
EOC/Safety Committee Meeting ^a	Safety Officer		(X)	(X)			C	C	X	C	C	C	X	C	C	S	S	S	N/A
Management Plans and Annual Evaluations																			
Safety Management	Safety Officer						RE												5
Security	Safety Officer						C		C										5
Hazardous Material & Waste	Safety Officer						RE			C									5
Fire Safety	Safety Officer						RE					X	C				S		5
Utility	Facility Management						RE												5
Medical Equipment	Biomed						RE							C					5
Emergency Operations Plan	Safety Officer/Emergency Mgr						RE												5
Risk Assessments																			
Safety Management Risk Assessment	Safety Officer					X				C									N/A
Security Risk Assessment	Security									C									N/A
Mock Surveys																			Current or Prior Year?
Performed	Area Office										C								2015
Corrective Actions	Multi-Disciplinary										C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	2014
Environmental Bounds																			
Clinical Environment	Safety Officer				X					C									N/A
Non-Clinical Environment	Safety Officer					X				C									N/A
Consultant Reports																			Current or Prior Year?
Ventilation Testing (Isolation, OR)	Facility Mgt/Safety/IEH						rel pressure ^a			C	C					S			2015
Ventilation Testing (CSB, Sealed Utility Rm)	Facility Mgt/Safety/IEH						rel pressure ^a			C	C					S			2015
Ventilation Testing (Lab, Gen, Bact, Path...)	Facility Mgt/Safety/IEH						rel pressure ^a			C	C					S			2015
Rad Protection Surveys-Med	IEH						every 3yrs												2014
Rad Protection Surveys-Dental	IEH						every 3yrs												2014
Nitrous Oxide Monitoring (Dental, Cryo)	IEH						every 3yrs												2015
Other Routine IEH Consultant Surveys	IEH						RE												2015
Emergency Management																			
Exercises	EOC Committee				X					C									5
EOP	EOC Committee																		5
Hazard Vulnerability Assessment (HVA)	EOC Committee					X													5
96-Hour Evaluation and EM Inventory ^a	EOC Committee																		5
COOP	EOC Committee																		5
Life Safety/Fire Safety																			
Fire Drills																			
Day Shift	Safety Officer				X					C									N/A
Evening Shift	Safety Officer				X					C									N/A
Night Shift	Facility Management									C									N/A
Health Centers: Name	Facility Management				X					C	C								N/A

Results

This reporting process was successfully utilized for the Area's first Governing Body meeting of 2016. This allowed the Governing Body members, OEHE and IEH staff to review the Area-wide EOC Scorecard, a summarized status of the Area's health care facility EOC programs. Additionally, health care facilities have also reported their satisfaction with the EOC Dashboard and have voluntarily begun using it as a planning and tracking tool.

Discussion

With the numerous participants, components and requirements for managing an EOC program, the Dashboard and Scorecard, provide a structured approach to planning, documenting and reporting these numerous activities. These management tools allow for local program management and planning. At the Area level, it also assists with accreditation compliance and preparation. Additionally, it allows for proper prioritization and focus of support to the Service Unit healthcare facilities.

Page 1

Aggregated Area-wide EOC Scorecard

FACILITY	Safety Management				Security			
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Hospital #1	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green
Hospital #2	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green
Hospital #3	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green
Hospital #4	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green
Hospital #5	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green
Hospital #6	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green
Hospital #7	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green
Hospital #8	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green

Area Governing Body

Conclusions/Recommendations

Recommendations for additional actions, include: 1) continuing the use of the EOC Dashboard/Scorecard by the Area's Governing Body 2) advocating for the health care facilities to use the Dashboard as a management tool 3) refining and improving the Dashboard with suggestions for improvement from the health care facilities 4) sharing this process as a resource with other Area's and through the IHS Quality Consortium.

CARP's Critical Components

- **Support**
 - Leadership
 - Service Unit
- **Commitment**
 - Quality of Care
 - Continual improvement
- **Involvement**
 - Integral aspect to CARP
- **Communication**
 - Fundamental bases for the success of CARP



CARP's Fundamentals

- Continually evolving and improving over time
- Maintains the focus on continual improvement and proactive approaches
- Establishes a working relationship between Area and Service Units
 - Team-based approach
- Provides structure and guidance to assist with the high turnover rate often seen within our sites.



CARP's Challenges

- Personnel
- Resources
- Time
 - Coordinating/scheduling
- Prioritization and Focus



The IHS Quality Framework

Goals

- Improve Health Outcomes for Patients Receiving Care
- Provide a Care Delivery Service All Patients Trust

Priorities

1. Strengthen Organizational Capacity to Improve Quality of Care & Systems
2. Meet & Maintain Accreditation for IHS Direct Service Facilities
3. Align Service Delivery Processes to Improve Patient Experience
4. Ensure Patient Safety
5. Improve Processes & Strengthen Communications for Early Identification of Risks



subject matter of complaints and patient harm reports, they are unlikely to provide hospital staff with the breadth of information needed to identify and diagnose

Half of Area Offices include methicillin-resistant staphylococci (MRSA) surveys or other quality improvement surveys or that these methods do not record review of Area Offices' and compliance mock surveys receive such surveys as the standards of care. Area Offices that they lack this type of oversight consultants to self-monitor frequent survey meeting benchmarks. Compare, to good care.

Data monitoring Traditional Hospital Commission (IHS) to IHS hospitals that are either measures can be taken day, and the h procedures this example, severe provide rates may be in the heart failure or seen that an GPRA measure are the quality

FINDINGS

Area Office hospital q

Eight of the t and these offi IHS has estab Governing Bo Area Offices, performance (quality of car extent to whic

Area Office: quality

Each of the ei monitor quali Area Offices limitations in they collected

A small num source of info the eight Area problems at h staff.³² Howe complaints re care. Further, per year for ir 1 complaint p

Patient harm reporting syst reported no p another 10 ho 1,000 "risk of

³¹ See 42 CFR §
³² The other three data trends, or p
³³ A "risk opport considered stuc conditions, and example, only pi average, patient:

Department of Health and Human Services

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE HOSPITALS: MORE MONITORING NEEDED TO ENSURE QUALITY CARE



Daniel R. Levinson
Inspector General

October 2016
OEI-06-14-00010

GAO Highlights

Highlights of GAO-17-181, a report to congressional requesters.

Why GAO Did This Study

IHS is charged with providing health care to American Indian/Alaska Native (AI/AN) people who are members or descendants of 567 tribes. AI/AN people born today have a life expectancy that is 4.4 years lower than all races in the United States, and they continue to die at higher rates than other Americans from preventable causes. Concerns about the quality of care provided to AI/ANs in IHS facilities have been identified recently by federal officials and tribal members. GAO was asked to review how IHS oversees the quality of care provided in its facilities.

This report examines IHS's oversight of the quality of care provided in its federally operated facilities. GAO reviewed policies and guidance related to quality of care in federally operated facilities and interviewed IHS officials at the headquarters level and all nine area offices with federally operated facilities. GAO also examined documents from governance meetings between area office and facility staff.

What GAO Recommends

GAO recommends that the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services direct the Director of IHS to (1) as it implements its quality framework, ensure that agency-wide standards for the quality of care provided in its federally operated facilities are developed, that facility performance in meeting these standards is monitored over time, and that enhancements are made to its adverse event reporting system, and (2) develop contingency and succession plans for the replacement of key personnel.

IHS concurred with GAO's recommendations.

View GAO-17-181. For more information, contact Kathy King at (202) 512-7114 or kingk@gao.gov.

January 2017

INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE

Actions Needed to Improve Oversight of Quality of Care

What GAO Found

The Indian Health Service's (IHS) oversight of the quality of care provided in its federally operated facilities has been limited and inconsistent. While some oversight functions are performed at the headquarters level, the agency has delegated primary responsibility for the oversight of care to nine area offices. Area officials stated that the oversight they provide has included, for example, holding periodic meetings with facility staff, reviewing available quality performance data and reviewing adverse events. However, GAO found that this oversight was limited and inconsistent across IHS facilities, due in part to a lack of agency-wide quality of care standards. Specifically, GAO found:

- variation in the frequency of governing board meetings and the extent to which quality was a standing agenda item at these meetings;
- limited and inconsistent reporting of quality data across IHS areas and facilities; and
- inconsistent reporting of adverse events at federally operated facilities.

These inconsistencies are also exacerbated by significant turnover in area leadership. Officials from four of the nine area offices in our review reported that they each had at least three area directors in the past five years. According to IHS officials, the agency has not defined contingency or succession plans for the replacement of key personnel, including area directors. IHS's lack of agency-wide quality of care standards and lack of contingency and succession plans for key personnel are inconsistent with federal internal control standards. These standards suggest that agencies should establish and review performance standards and then monitor data to assess the quality of performance over time, and define contingency and succession plans for the replacement of key personnel to help IHS continue achieving its objectives. As a result, IHS officials cannot ensure that facilities are providing quality health care.

Recognizing the challenges it faces with overseeing and providing quality health care in its facilities, IHS finalized the development of a quality framework in November 2016 that outlines, at a high level, IHS's plan to develop, implement, and sustain a quality program intended to improve patient experience and ensure the delivery of reliably high quality health care. For example, the framework directs IHS to develop a quality office that will be responsible for identifying resource needs, structures, processes, and supports for an effective and sustainable quality assessment and performance improvement system. More specifically, the framework directs IHS to develop a process for monitoring select performance measures, such as measures of clinical care, patient access, and financial performance, for periodic review by leadership. The framework also explains that IHS will enhance its current patient safety reporting systems to encourage consistent use by staff. If effectively implemented, the quality framework could address the limited and inconsistent oversight of the quality of care provided in federally operated IHS facilities. As of November 2016, IHS officials stated that the agency has not yet selected quality performance measures but has plans to do so.

United States Government Accountability Office

<https://oig.hhs.gov/oei/reports/oei-06-14-00011.asp>

<http://www.gao.gov/products/GAO-17-181>

Looking ahead...

- Continue to monitor, assess, and address areas of improvement in our health care facilities
 - Requirements from the Agency, Department, Accrediting Bodies and the applicable multiple disciplines and subject areas.
- Improvement with Mock Survey process (based upon customer feedback)
 - More comprehensive involving additional subject areas
 - Additional “Surveyor days”
- Improvement in Quality Reporting
 - Modification of EOC/IC reporting templates
 - Development of Quality reporting templates





Thank you for your time!

Questions??

Albuquerque Area's Continuous Accreditation Readiness Program

11x17 printout recommended

Action/Activity	Description	Frequency	Survey Year (2016)				1st Year (2017)				2nd Year (2018)				3rd Year (2019)			
			1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr	1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr	1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr	1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr
Area-wide																		
Area Governing Body	Consists of Area Leadership and Service Unit CEOs	Quarterly																
Formal (JCAH, OASAS, COHR, etc.) Official Accreditation Surveys (TJC, AAAHC, CARF)	Represents multiple accreditation surveys occurring throughout the Area (Biennial Laboratory Accreditation surveys are not specifically represented here)	Triennial					Area-Wide Accreditation Surveys								Area-Wide Accreditation Surveys			
Official Laboratory Accreditation Surveys (CAP, CCLA)	Represents multiple Laboratory accreditation surveys occurring throughout the Area	Biennial					Area-Wide Laboratory Accreditation Surveys				Area-Wide Laboratory Accreditation Surveys							
Corrective Actions from Accreditation Survey	As a result of the Official Accreditation survey, Evidence of Standards Compliance address deficiencies and demonstrate corrective actions and Performance Improvement measures. May range from immediate corrections to long-term facility capital improvement projects	Intermittent					Accreditation Survey's Corrective Actions								Accreditation Survey's Corrective Actions			
Area Mock Surveys	Represents multiple mock surveys occurring throughout Area. Target is at least 12 mock before official accreditation survey. Includes official accreditation surveys. Includes "Mock Survey Guide" & Trainers Surveys	Triennial					Mock Survey Process, Development and Improvement Committee				Area-Wide Mock Surveys (performed by Area's Mock Survey Team)							
Mock Survey Corrective Actions	Corrective Actions to be based upon Mock Survey "Findings". The "Plan/Do" is to be provided to Service Unit at end of Area Mock Survey, with official mock survey report to follow	Follows area mock survey					Corrective Actions				Follow-up Tracking							
Committees																		
Area Quality Improvement Committee	Consists of Area and Service Unit staff	Quarterly																
Area Institutional Environmental Health/Environment of Care (EOC) Committee	Consists of Area and Service Unit staff	Quarterly																
Area Infection Prevention Committee	Consists of Area and Service Unit staff	Quarterly																
Competencies for Infection Preventionist and Sterilization Techs	In addition to the Infection Prevention Program "Dashboard", Competencies were developed for the Infection Preventionist. Competencies for Sterilization Techs are in development	Continuous																
Meetings																		
Governing Body Meetings and Reporting	Service Unit Dashboards are scored and presented to governing body. Includes: QIPRA reporting, and the Area's Strategic Plan	Quarterly																
Area Operational Meetings/ Reviews of Service Units	These reviews/meetings are performed by the Area Office Leadership and focus on the Service Unit performance with Leadership, Quality and Finance	Each Year's 2nd and 4th Qtrs																
Area Medical Equipment Governing Body	Consists of Area and Service Unit staff	Quarterly																
Area Laboratory Supervisor Meetings	Consists of Area and Service Unit staff, Peer laboratory reviews, Compliance Reviews	Quarterly																
Resources																		
Formal Accreditation Trainings, including webinars and updates	Frequency and topic depend upon identified needs of Area. May consist of formal classroom style trainings and/or webinars	Triennial to Annual																
Service Unit Dashboards	Maintained for Service Unit Planning and Area Office Reporting. Dashboards results are "scored" and reported to the Area Governing Body	Quarterly																
Area On-call Consultative Support (OEHE, Credentialing, Laboratory, etc.)	Monthly & Ongoing support provided to the Service Units from the Area Office. Includes On-Demand technical support at site/telephone consults and participation at SU's monthly program meetings	Monthly/Continuous																
Service Unit Process Reviews & Assistance from Service Unit SME's	At the direction of the Area Director and Area Office, Service Unit SME's perform process reviews of fellow Service Units, which includes practice and may include interdepartmental	Continuous																
Service Unit																		
Service Unit Preparedness	Service Unit program management, monitoring, planning and initiatives are imperative to Accreditation Compliance, Quality and Safety	Continuous																
Intracycle Monitoring	Includes Focused Standards Assessment (FSA)	Continuous																
Web/Client, Patient/EOC Tracers, Risk Assessments, Electronic Statement of Conditions (eSOC)	Ongoing processes and activities to assess and monitor conditions for quality and environment of care	Continuous																
SU Programs and Meetings: QAPI/PDSA/SPRA/ Infection Prevention/EOC	Monthly/Quarterly meetings for SU implementation of Area's Strategic Plan, QAPI, QIPRA, Infection Prevention, EOC, PDCA, "AAAHC 1-Step", Improving Patient Care Home Model	Monthly																
Management Plan Evaluations & Policy Reviews/Revisions	Annual Evaluations of Management Plans	Annual																
Environmental Rounds	Bi-annually for Patient Care Areas, Annually for Non-Patient Care Areas. Includes Infection Control, Environment of Care, Life Safety, Emergency Management	Bi-Annual																
Corrective Actions from Environmental Rounds	Various options for implementation exist which may be performed independently or may be performed in conjunction with Environmental Rounds	Bi Annual varies																